-	Case4:14-cr-00582-PJH Documer	t1 Filed11/19/14 Page16 of 24			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 4104634) MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493) GABRIEL R. MARTINEZ (CSBN 275142) U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 934-5300 kate.patchen@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States	FILED NOV 192014 RICMARD W. WIEKING DEAK U. DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9 10	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT PJH			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	OAKLAND DIVISION CR 14 582				
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No.			
14	ν.)) VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 –			
15 16	ALVIN FLORIDA, JR.;) Bid Rigging (Count One);) 18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud (Counts			
17	ROBERT ALHASHASH RASHEED; JOHN LEE BERRY, III, a/k/a "JB";) Two through Nine); 18 U.S.C. §) 981(a)(1)(C); 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) –			
18	REFUGIO DIAZ, a/k/a "Cuco"; STEPHAN ALEXANDER FLORIDA;) Forfeiture			
19	Defendants.)			
20 21)			
21	INDICTMENT_				
23	The Grand Jury charges that:				
24	BACKGROUND				
25	1. At all times relevant to this Indictment, when California homeowners defaulted on				
26	their mortgages, mortgage holders could institute foreclosure proceedings and sell the properties				
27	through non-judicial public real estate foreclosure auctions ("public auctions"). These public				
28	auctions were governed by California Civil Code, Section 2924, et seq. Typically, a trustee was				
	INDICTMENT				

appointed to oversee the public auctions. These public auctions usually took place at or near the
 courthouse of the county in which the properties were located. The auctioneer, acting on behalf
 of the trustee, sold the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price. Proceeds from
 the sale were then used to pay the mortgage holders, other holders of debt secured by the
 property, and, in some cases, the defaulting homeowner (collectively "beneficiaries").

During the period covered by this Indictment, defendant ALVIN FLORIDA, JR.,
was a bidder at and purchased real estate at public auctions in Alameda County, California, and
directed employee defendants JOHN LEE BERRY, III, REFUGIO DIAZ, and STEPHAN
ALEXANDER FLORIDA, and others to bid on and purchase real estate at public auctions in
Alameda County, California.

During the period covered by this Indictment, defendant ROBERT ALHASHASH
 RASHEED was a bidder at and purchased real estate at public auctions in Alameda County,
 California.

During the period covered by this Indictment, defendants JOHN LEE BERRY,
 III, REFUGIO DIAZ, and STEPHAN ALEXANDER FLORIDA were bidders at and purchased
 real estate at public auctions in Alameda County, California, on behalf of defendant ALVIN
 FLORIDA, JR., and others.

18 COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging

19 5. The following individuals are hereby indicted and made defendants on the charge
20 contained in Count One below:

a. ALVIN FLORIDA, JR.;

b. ROBERT ALHASHASH RASHEED;

- c. REFUGIO DIAZ;
 - d. JOHN LEE BERRY, III; and
- e. STEPHAN ALEXANDER FLORIDA.

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

27 6. Paragraphs 1 through 4 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated herein
28 as if fully set forth in this Count.

INDICTMENT

21

22

23

24

25

26

7. 1 Beginning as early as May 2008 and continuing until in or about December 2010, 2 the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, the defendants, ALVIN FLORIDA, JR., 3 ROBERT ALHASHASH RASHEED, REFUGIO DIAZ, JOHN LEE BERRY, III, and 4 STEPHAN ALEXANDER FLORIDA, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, 5 entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain hundreds of selected properties offered at public auctions in Alameda 6 7 County in the Northern District of California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code. 8

8. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,
understanding, and concert of action among the defendants and co-conspirators to suppress
competition by refraining from and stopping bidding against each other to purchase hundreds of
selected properties at public auctions in Alameda County at non-competitive prices.

MEANS AND METHODS

9. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and
conspiracy, the defendants and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and
conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions;

- b. designating which conspirators would win selected properties at public auctions;
- c. refraining from and stopping bidding for selected properties at public auctions;

 d. purchasing selected properties at public auctions at artificially suppressed prices;

e. negotiating, making, and receiving payoffs for agreeing not to compete with co-conspirators; and

 f. holding second, private auctions, known as "rounds," to determine the payoff amounts and the conspirators who would be awarded the selected properties.

INDICTMENT

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 10. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated
 2 as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance
 3 thereof.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

11. The public auctions and the business activities of the defendants and coconspirators that are the subject of this Count were within the continuous and uninterrupted flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, during the period covered by this Count:

a. substantial proceeds from the sale of properties purchased by the conspirators pursuant to the bid-rigging conspiracy were transmitted from locations in one state to certain beneficiaries located in other states; b. instructions regarding the terms of sale of properties that would be purchased by the conspirators pursuant to the bid-rigging conspiracy were transmitted and communicated by certain beneficiaries located in one state to trustees located in other states; paperwork related to the sale of properties purchased by the conspirators c. pursuant to the bid-rigging conspiracy was sent by trustees located in one state to certain beneficiaries located in other states, notifying them of the sale of properties in which the beneficiaries held an interest; and d. beneficiaries included companies that operated in interstate commerce. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 12. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Count was carried out, in part, in

the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the return of this Indictment. ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

INDICTMENT

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

11

 $^{\prime\prime}$

//

1 COUNTS TWO THROUGH NINE: 18 U.S.C. § 1341 – Mail Fraud

The Grand Jury further charges that:

SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

13. Paragraphs 1 through 4 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated herein as if fully set forth in these Counts.

6 14. Beginning as early as May 2008 and continuing until in or about December 2010, 7 the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Alameda County in the Northern District of 8 California, the defendants, ALVIN FLORIDA, JR., ROBERT ALHASHASH RASHEED, 9 REFUGIO DIAZ, JOHN LEE BERRY, III, and STEPHAN ALEXANDER FLORIDA, and 10 others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and with intent to defraud, devise 11 and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries and to obtain money and 12 property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, 13 representations, and promises, and for purposes of executing such scheme, did use and knowingly cause to be used the United States mail and private or commercial interstate carriers. 14

15 15. The purpose of the scheme was to fraudulently acquire title to hundreds of
16 selected properties sold at public auctions in Alameda County and to divert money to co17 schemers that would have gone to beneficiaries.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

MEANS AND METHODS OF THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

16. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged scheme to defraud, the defendants and co-schemers did those things that they schemed to do, including, among other things:

a. holding second, private auctions, known as "rounds," to determine payoff amounts and the schemers who would be awarded the selected properties;
b. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds to beneficiaries and to convey title to selected properties;

 paying co-schemers monies that otherwise would have gone to beneficiaries;

INDICTMENT

1	d. concealing rounds and payoffs from trustees and beneficiaries; and				
2	e. causing the suppressed purchase prices to be reported and paid to				
3	beneficiaries.				
4	USE OF THE MAILS				
5	17. In order to execute the scheme and artifice to defraud, the defendants and co-				
6	schemers knowingly used and caused to be used the United States Postal Service and private or				
7	commercial interstate carriers.				
8	18. On or about the dates and with respect to the individual defendants specified as to				
9	each count set forth below, the defendants and co-schemers did knowingly cause to be delivered				
10	by United States mail and private or commercial carriers, including the United States Postal				
11	Service, United Parcel Service, and FedEx, the items identified in each Count below:				
12	//				
13	//				
14					
15	11				
16	//				
17					
18					
19	//				
20	11				
21	//				
22					
23	//				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	11 .				
11 H H					
	6				
	INDICTMENT				

1	Count	Defendants	Approx.	То	Freese	
	Count	Detendants	Date		From	Description
2 3 4	2	Alvin Florida, Jr.; Refugio Diaz	12/11/2009	22538 Mission Blvd., Hayward, California	California Reconveyance Company	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale for 2335 94th Ave., Oakland, California
5 6 7 8	3	Alvin Florida, Jr.; Refugio Diaz	1/22/2010	437 Delvin Rd., Ste. 102, Napa, California	Priority Posting and Publishing	Purchase Money and Auction Paperwork for 5830 E. 17th Ave., Oakland, California
9 10 11 12	4	Alvin Florida, Jr.; Robert Alhashash Rasheed; John Lee Berry, III; Stephan Alexander Florida	3/4/2010	268 Saint Henry Dr., Fremont, California	CR Title Services, Inc.	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale for 5985 Corte Arboles, Pleasanton, California
12 13 14 15	5	Alvin Florida Jr.; John Lee Berry, III	3/31/2010	41111 Mission Blvd., Fremont, California	ReconTrust Company, N.A.	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale for 554 Andrews St., Livermore, California
16 17 18	6	Alvin Florida, Jr.	8/4/2010	585 Mandana Blvd. #9, Oakland, California	California Reconveyance Company	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale for 3058 Berlin Way, Oakland, California
19 20 21	7	Alvin Florida, Jr.; Robert Alhashash Rasheed; John Lee Berry, III	8/17/2010	2141 5th Ave., San Diego, California	ASAP Sales, Lender Processing Services, Inc.	Purchase Money and Auction Paperwork for 11830 Kilcullin Ct., Dublin, California
22 23 24	8	Alvin Florida, Jr.; Robert Alhashash Rasheed; Stephan Alexander Florida	9/9/2010	2100 Lakeshore Ave. Suite D, Oakland, California	Cal-Western Reconveyance Corporation	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale for 2100 66th Ave., Oakland, California
25 26 27 28	9	Alvin Florida, Jr.; Robert Alhashash Rasheed; John Lee Berry, III; Stephan Alexander Florida	11/23/2010	22538 Mission Blvd., Hayward, California	Cal-Western Reconveyance Corporation	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale for 2326 Maywood Ave., Oakland, California

INDICTMENT

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19. The scheme and artifice to defraud charged in Counts Two through Nine was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the return of this Indictment.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1341. FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)

Paragraphs 1 through 4 and Paragraphs 13 through 19 of this Indictment are
hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the
provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
Code, Section 2461(c).

Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28,
United States Code, Section 2461(c), upon conviction of the offenses alleged in Counts Two
through Nine of this Indictment, each defendant so convicted shall be jointly and severally liable
to forfeit to the United States any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained
directly or indirectly from the scheme and artifice to defraud alleged in said Counts.

16	22.	If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants, any of said property:		
17		a.	cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;	
18		b.	has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with,	
19			a third party;	
20		с.	has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;	
21		d.	has been substantially diminished in value; or	
22		e.	has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided	
	1			

without difficulty,

any and all interest that the defendants have in any other property, up to the value of the property
described in Paragraph 21, above, shall be forfeited to the United States pursuant to Title 21,
//

1 United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c). 2 3 Dated: 11-18-2014 4 A TRUE BILL. 5 6 7 FOREPERSON 8 9 10 Marc Siegel 11 Deputy Assistant Attorney General Chief, San Francisco Office 12

9

Manish Kumar, Trial Attorney E. Kate Patchen, Assistant Chief Gabriel R. Martinez, Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division

by 28 U.S.C. § 515

INDICTMENT

Marvin N. Price

Antitrust Division

Brian J. Stretch

Director of Criminal Enforcement

Attorney for the United States Northern District of California

Acting Under Authority Conferred

United States Department of Justice

ŧ.,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27