UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal No: CR-H-94-58
)
V.)
)
GLAZIER FOODS CO.,)[filed 4/26/94]
)
Defendant.)

GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT GLAZIER FOODS COMPANY'S MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF JENCKS MATERIAL

The United States of America, through its attorneys, hereby responds to Defendant Glazier Foods Company's Motion for Production of Jencks Material with Legal Authorities in Support (hereinafter "Motion").

Defendant has asked the Court to order early disclosure of Jencks Act Material. Because the evidence in this case will closely resemble that presented in <u>United States v. John J. Johnson</u>, No. CR-H-92-152 (S.D. Tex.), which Glazier Foods's counsel also tried, Defendant effectively has the majority of the Jencks material for this case in its possession already. No purpose is served in duplicating production of this material and the government does not plan to do so, as defense counsel was advised by letter dated April 5, 1994 (attached as Exhibit A).

The Jencks Act does not otherwise contemplate the early disclosure sought by Defendant. <u>United States v. Lowenberg</u>, 853 F.2d 295, 300 (5th Cir. 1988). However, to avoid delay and ensure ample opportunity for use, the United States will produce

to Defendant any Jencks material not already produced three days before trial, just as it did in the <u>Johnson</u> trial.

Where <u>Brady</u> material is contained within a witness's statement, Jencks timing controls disclosure. <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Scott</u>, 524 F.2d 465, 467-68 (5th Cir. 1975) ("the rule announced in <u>Brady</u> is not a pretrial remedy and was not intended to override the mandate of the Jencks Act"). If any <u>Brady</u> material included within Jencks Act statements exists, the government will produce it three days prior to trial, if it has not already been produced.

Because the United States has effectively turned over the great majority of Jencks statements to Defendant and offered to turn over any other Jencks material not received before the previous trial three days prior to trial, Defendant's Motion should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

"/s/"

JANE E. PHILLIPS

JOAN E. MARSHALL

MARK R. ROSMAN

Attorneys

U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 1100 Commerce Street, Room 8C6 Dallas, Texas 75242-0898 (214) 767-8051

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the Government's Response to Defendant Glazier Foods Company's Motion for Production of Jencks Material and proposed order was sent via Certified Mail-Return Receipt Requested this 25th day of April, 1994, to:

Joel M. Androphy, Esq. Berg & Androphy 3704 Travis Street Houston, Texas 77002

"/s/"

JANE E. PHILLIPS
Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Criminal No: CR-H-94-58

)	
V.)	
GLAZIER FOODS CO., Defendant.)))	
ORDER		
Upon consideration	of the Defendant Glazier Foods	
Company's Motion for Production of Jencks Materials and the		
Government's Response,		
The Defendant's Mot	ion is hereby DENIED.	

DONE AND ENTERED THIS _____ day of _____, 1994.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE