## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS Houston Division

	.998
ر المحرود الم	Consof Caura

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED,	)
Plaintiff—Cross–Respondent,	) )
vs.	Civil Action No. H-95-5237
DANIEL C. KAUFMAN, et al.,	)
Defendants—Cross–Petitioner.	)

## GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE CRONE'S APRIL 25, 1996, PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 72 (a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the government respectfully states its objections to Magistrate Judge Crone's April 25, 1996, order granting Houston Industries Incorporated's ("HII") Request for Protective Order.

- 1. The government specifically objects to the requirement in Paragraph 4(a) that, when notifying HII of an intention to disclose designated materials in a manner permitted by the Antitrust Civil Process Act, the government must "identify with specificity . . . . the third party to whom it intends to disclose" such materials. This provision prevents the government from preserving the anonymity of its potential witnesses and interferes with the government's ability to conduct its investigation. The government moves that Paragraph 4(a) be modified to require the government to identify "the classification (e.g. competitor or customer) of the third party to whom it intends to disclose" designated materials.
- 2. The government specifically objects to paragraph 1(a) of the Protective Order, which states that HII's discretion to designate materials for protection shall "include, but not be limited to (a) documents or data which HII determines in good faith to be sensitive, commercial, and proprietary or (b) the specific load and energy data of HL&P's customers; information

concerning the prices, economics, terms or conditions of capacity, energy and/or steam sale contracts available to HL&P's customers; analyses, proposals or communications regarding opportunities for alternative electric generation resources available to HL&P's customers."

Because no order entered by this Court should authorize designation beyond materials protectible under FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c)(7, the government moves that Paragraph 1 be modified by striking the words "but not be limited to."

3. The government generally objects to the Magistrate Judge's failure to require HII to show "good cause" before granting its Request for Protective Order.

As shown more fully in the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Magistrate Judge's Protective Order is clearly erroneous and contrary to law and should therefore be modified or set aside.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel C. Kaufman

D.C. Bar No. 118422

Rebekah J. French

Montana State Bar No. 2463

U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division Transportation, Energy & Agriculture Section 325 Seventh Street, N.W. — Suite 500

Washington, D.C. 20530

(202) 514-8369

(202) 307-2784 (fax)

## **Certificate of Service**

The undersigned hereby certifies that true and correct copies of the foregoing document were, on this 8th day of May, 1996, served by overnight courier for next business day delivery to the counsel of record listed below.

J. Gregory Copeland Rufus W. Oliver BAKER & BOTTS, L.L.P. 910 Louisiana One Shell Plaza Houston, Texas 77002

Phone: (713) 229-1301 Fax: (713) 229-1522 Hugh Rice Kelly, Senior Vice President and

General Counsel

Michael Jines, Senior Counsel

HOUSTON INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED

25th Floor — Electric Tower

Houston, Texas 77002 Phone: (713) 207-7265 Fax: (713) 220-5503

Rebekah J. French