	Case3:11-cr-00913-RS Document1	÷	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SIDNEY A. MAJALYA (CA Bar No. 205047) JACKLIN CHOU LEM (CA Bar No. 255293) MANISH KUMAR (CA Bar No. 269493) Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6660 Fax: (415) 436-6687 sidney.majalya@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States E-filin9	ZOII DEC 13 HORTMAN US DISTATOS HORTMAN US DISTATOS CALLED CALLED	
° 9	UNITED STATES DISTI		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12		11 0913	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
14	v. inf	ORMATION 400	
15		LATIONS: ISC & 1 - Conspiracy in Restraint of	
16	Defendant.	J.S.C. § 1 - Conspiracy in Restraint of le (Counts One - Six);	
17) San	Francisco Venue	
18			
19	The United States of America, acting through i		
20	Bix nor and privile no	SIK HUR aka DANIEL HUR ("defendant"),	
21 22		the defendant as follows:	
23	1. During the time periods relevant to this Information, the defendant was an		
24	employee of Hitachi-LG Data Storage, Inc. ("HLDS") and had responsibilities relating to optical disk drive ("ODD") sales. Between November 2005 and May 2006, defendant was an Account		
25		•	
26		Manager with responsibility for the Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") account; between May 2006 and December 2006, he was an HP account Team Member; between January 2007 and	
27			
28			
	INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR -1-	_	

was the Taipei, Taiwan office General Manager.

2. ODDs are devices that use laser light or electromagnetic waves to read and/or write data. ODDs typically both read and write data, but some are only readers. There are several types of ODDs, including the following: CD-ROM, CD-RW, DVD-ROM, DVD-RW, and Combo drives. Optical disk drives can be manufactured according to varying specifications. For example, ODDs are available in different heights (e.g., half-height and slim), and use different types of interfaces to connect to a computer's motherboard (e.g., Parallel Advanced Technology Attachment (PATA) and Serial Advanced Technology Attachment (SATA)). HLDS and other ODD sellers typically sell their products to computer makers such as HP.

Whenever in this Information reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of 3. 11 any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction 12 by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were 13 actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

During the time periods relevant to Counts One through Six:

HP hosted ODD procurement events in which the defendant and co-**(a)** conspirators participated. HP was a major manufacturer of computer hardware, software, and services marketed and sold to business enterprises and individual consumers. HP purchased ODDs that were incorporated into technology products sold by HP to businesses and individuals.

(b) HP's ODD procurement events were competitive bidding events in which participants would be awarded varying amounts of ODD supply depending on where participants' pricing ranked in any particular event.

COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)

NOVEMBER 2005 HP PROCUREMENT EVENT

Paragraphs 1 through 4 of this Information are repeated, realleged, and 5. incorporated into this Count as if fully set forth herein.

27 11

28

11

INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR

- 2 -

Case3:11-cr-00913-RS Document1 Filed12/13/11 Page5 of 10

i		
1	6. From approximately November 2005 to approximately April 2006, the defendant	
2	and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and	
3	restrain competition for a November 2005 ODD procurement event held by HP for the purchase	
4	of CD-ROM, CD-RW, DVD-ROM, and Combo drives, in unreasonable restraint of interstate	
5	trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.	
6	7. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of an agreement,	
7	understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial	
8	terms of which were: to predetermine the rank order in which the bidders would finish on HP's	
9	November 2005 ODD procurement event for CD-ROM, CD-RW, DVD-ROM, and Combo	
10	drives; to submit collusive, noncompetitive, and rigged bids for the procurement event; and to	
11	provide HP with ODDs and receive payment from HP as a result of the collusive bidding.	
12	8. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Count was carried out, in part, in	
13	the Northern District of California.	
14	MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY	
15	9. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and	
16	conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired	
17	to do, including, among other things:	
18	(a) participating in meetings, discussions, and communications in the	
19	United States or elsewhere to discuss bidding strategies and prices of ODDs;	
20	(b) agreeing, during those meetings, discussions, and communications on	
21	how participants would bid on ODDs;	
22	(c) bidding on ODDs in accordance with the agreements reached; and	
23	(d) exchanging information on sales, production, market share, capacity, and	
24	pricing of ODDs for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the	

agreements and preparing for future conspiratorial discussions.

CO-CONSPIRATORS

27 10. Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this Count,
28 participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged in this Count and performed acts and made

INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR

- 3 -

statements in furtherance of the offense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

INTERSTATE TRADE AND COMMERCE

11. During the time period relevant to this Count, defendant and co-conspirators sold and distributed ODDs in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce to customers located in states or countries other than the states or countries from which the defendant and co-conspirators sold ODDs.

12. The business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Count were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.

COUNT ONE IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1. <u>COUNT TWO</u>: 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)

AUGUST 2006 HP PROCUREMENT EVENT

13. Paragraphs 1 through 4, 8, and 10 through 12 of this Information are repeated, realleged, and incorporated into this Count as if fully set forth herein.

15 14. From approximately August 2006 to approximately December 2006, the
defendant and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to
suppress and restrain competition for an August 2006 ODD procurement event held by HP for
the purchase of half-height PATA and SATA DVD-ROM drives, in unreasonable restraint of
interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code,
Section 1.

15. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of an agreement,
understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial
terms of which were: to predetermine the rank order in which the bidders would finish on HP's
August 2006 ODD procurement event for half-height PATA and SATA DVD-ROM drives; to
submit collusive, noncompetitive, and rigged bids for the procurement event; and to provide HP
with ODDs and receive payment from HP as a result of the collusive bidding.

27 28 MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and

INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR

16.

- 4 -

Case3:11-cr-00913-RS Document1 Filed12/13/11 Page7 of 10

1	conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired	
2	to do, including, among other things:	
3	(a) participating in meetings, discussions, and communications in the	
4	United States or elsewhere to discuss bidding strategies and prices of ODDs;	
5	(b) agreeing, during those meetings, discussions, and communications on	
6	how participants would bid on ODDs;	
7	(c) bidding on ODDs in accordance with the agreements reached;	
8	(d) exchanging information on sales, production, market share, capacity, and	
9	pricing of ODDs for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the	
10	agreements and preparing for future conspiratorial discussions; and	
. 11	(e) authorizing, ordering, and consenting to the participation of subordinate	
12	employees in the conspiracy.	
13	COUNT TWO IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.	
14	COUNT THREE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)	
15	AUGUST 2007 HP PROCUREMENT EVENT	
16	17. Paragraphs 1 through 4, 8, 10 through 12, and 16 of this Information are repeated,	
17	realleged, and incorporated into this Count as if fully set forth herein.	
19	18. From approximately August 2007 to approximately December 2007, the	
19	defendant and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to	
20	suppress and restrain competition for an August 2007 ODD procurement event held by HP for	
21	the purchase of Lightscribe DVD-RW drives, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and	
22	commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.	
23	19. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of an agreement,	
24	understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial	
25	terms of which were: to predetermine the rank order in which the bidders would finish on HP's	
26	August 2007 ODD procurement event for Lightscribe DVD-RW drives; to submit collusive,	
27	noncompetitive, and rigged bids for the procurement event; and to provide HP with ODDs and	
28	//	
	INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR	

- 5 -

.....

receive payment from HP as a result of the collusive bidding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT THREE IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT FOUR: 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)

MAY, AUGUST, AND NOVEMBER 2008 AND

FEBRUARY 2009 HP PROCUREMENT EVENTS

20. Paragraphs 1 through 4, 8,10 through 12, and 16 of this Information are repeated, realleged, and incorporated into this Count as if fully set forth herein.

21. From approximately May 2008 to approximately June 2009, the defendant and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition for ODD procurement events held by HP for the purchase of half-height SATA DVD-RW drives in May 2008, August 2008, November 2008, and February 2009, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

22. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of an agreement,
understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial
terms of which were: to predetermine the rank order in which the bidders would finish on HP's
May 2008, August 2008, November 2008, and February 2009 ODD procurement events for half height SATA DVD-RW drives; to submit collusive, noncompetitive, and rigged bids for the
procurement events; and to provide HP with ODDs and receive payment from HP as a result of
the collusive bidding.

COUNT FOUR IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT FIVE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)

JULY AND OCTOBER 2008 HP PROCUREMENT EVENTS

23. Paragraphs 1 through 4, 8, 10 through 12, and 16 of this Information are repeated, realleged, and incorporated into this Count as if fully set forth herein.

26 24. From approximately July 2008 to approximately March 2009, the defendant and
27 co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and
28 restrain competition for ODD procurement events held by HP for the purchase of 12.7mm SATA

INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR

- 6 -

DVD-RW drives in July and October 2008, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and
commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

25. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of an agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were: to predetermine the rank order in which the bidders would finish on HP's July and October 2008 ODD procurement events for 12.7mm SATA DVD-RW drives; to submit collusive, noncompetitive, and rigged bids for the procurement events; and to provide HP with ODDs and receive payment from HP as a result of the collusive bidding.

COUNT FIVE IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT SIX: 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)

OCTOBER 2008 SUPPLEMENTAL HP PROCUREMENT EVENT

26. Paragraphs 1 through 4, 8, 10 through 12, and 16 of this Information are repeated, realleged, and incorporated into this Count as if fully set forth herein.

Prom approximately October 2008 to approximately March 2009, the defendant
and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and
restrain competition for a supplemental October 2008 ODD procurement event held by HP for
the purchase of 12.7mm SATA DVD-RW drives, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and
commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

28. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of an agreement,
understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the substantial
terms of which were: to predetermine the rank order in which the bidders would finish on HP's
October 2008 ODD procurement event for 12.7mm SATA DVD-RW drives; to submit collusive,
noncompetitive, and rigged bids for the procurement event; and to provide HP with ODDs and

24 // 25 // 26 //

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

27 1//

28 //

INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR

-7-

¹ receive payment from HP as a result of the collusive bidding.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SHARIS A

DZEN

Acting Assistant Attorney General

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Director of Criminal Enforcement

U.S. Department of Justice

Northern District of California

SCOTT D. HAMMOND

JOHN TERZAKEN

Antitrust Division

MELINDAL. HAAG United States Attorney

² COUNT SIX IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

sh PHILLÎP H. WARREN

Chief, San Francisco Office

PETER K. HUSTON Assistant Chief, San Francisco Office

STONEY A. MAJALYA JACKLIN CHOU LEM MANISH KUMAR Trial Attorneys, San Francisco Office

INFORMATION U.S. v. HUR