# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 08-60198 - CR - Harley / Viture

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ROBERT L. FURNESS,

Defendant.

FILED by D.C.

OCT 1 0 2008

STEVEN M. LARIMORE CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S.D. OF FLA. W.P.B.

## **PLEA AGREEMENT**

The United States of America and Robert L. Furness ("defendant") hereby enter into the following Plea Agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P."):

# RIGHTS OF DEFENDANT

- 1. The defendant understands his rights:
  - (a) to be represented by an attorney;
  - (b) to be charged by Indictment;
  - (c) to plead not guilty to any criminal charge brought against him;
- (d) to have a trial by jury, at which he would be presumed not guilty of the charge and the United States would have to prove every essential element of the charged offense beyond a reasonable doubt for him to be found guilty;
- (e) to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him and to subpoena
   witnesses in his defense at trial;
  - (f) not to be compelled to incriminate himself:

- (g) to appeal his conviction, if he is found guilty; and
- (h) to appeal the imposition of sentence against him.

# AGREEMENT TO PLEAD GUILTY AND WAIVE CERTAIN RIGHTS

The defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the rights set out in Paragraph 2. 1(b)-(g) above. The defendant also knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to file any appeal. any collateral attack, or any other writ or motion, including but not limited to an appeal under 18 U.S.C. § 3742 or a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 or § 2255, that challenges the sentence imposed by the Court if that sentence is consistent with or below the recommended sentence in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement, regardless of how the sentence is determined by the Court. This agreement does not affect the rights or obligations of the United States as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3742(b)-(c). Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b), the defendant will waive indictment and plead guilty at arraignment to a one-count Information to be filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The Information with charge the defendant with participating in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by rigging bids, fixing prices, and allocating market shares for sales of marine hose sold in the United States and elsewhere, beginning at least as early as 2000 and continuing until as late as May 2, 2007 in unreasonable restraint of foreign and interstate trade and commerce in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

The defendant, pursuant to the terms of this Plea Agreement, will plead guilty to the criminal charge described in Paragraph 2 above and will make a factual admission of guilt to the Court in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, as set forth in Paragraph 4 below.

## FACTUAL BASIS FOR OFFENSE CHARGED

- 4. Had this case gone to trial, the United States would have presented evidence sufficient to prove the following facts:
  - beginning at least as early as 1999 and continuing until as late as May 2, 2007. From the beginning of the relevant period until approximately December 31, 2006, the defendant was the president of a subsidiary corporation of Manuli Rubber Industries SpA ("Manuli") with its principal place of business in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. From on or around January 1, 2007 until the end of the relevant period, the defendant worked as a consultant for Manuli. During the relevant period, Manuli was a manufacturer of marine hose and was engaged in the sale of marine hose in the United States and elsewhere. Marine hose is a flexible rubber hose used to transfer oil between tankers and storage facilities and/or buoys. During the relevant period, the volume of commerce attributable to the defendant was greater than \$10 million, but less than \$40 million.
  - (b) During the relevant period, co-conspirators of the defendant entered into and engaged in a conspiracy, the primary purpose of which was to suppress and eliminate competition by rigging bids, fixing prices and allocating market shares for sales of marine hose sold in the United States and elsewhere. The defendant joined and participated in the conspiracy from at least as early as 2000 until as late as May 2, 2007. In furtherance of the

conspiracy, the defendant attended meetings and engaged in discussions with executives from other marine hose manufacturers, and with an individual who acted as the coordinator of the cartel. During such meetings and discussions, the defendant and co-conspirators agreed to rig bids, fix prices and allocate market shares for the sale of marine hose in the United States and elsewhere. Each of the conspiring manufacturers provided a co-conspirator, who acted as a coordinator, with information about upcoming marine hose jobs. The coordinator then designated, based on rules agreed to by the conspirators, which of the conspiring manufacturers would win the job, referring to the winning conspirator as "champion." After the champion had been designated, the coordinator provided the other conspirators with instructions regarding how much to bid on the job to ensure that the designated champion would win the job.

- (c) During the relevant period, marine hose sold by one or more of the conspirator firms, and equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of marine hose, as well as payments for marine hose, traveled in interstate and foreign commerce. The business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators in connection with the manufacture and/or sale of marine hose affected by this conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.
- (d) Acts in furtherance of this conspiracy were carried out within the Southern District of Florida within the relevant period. Manuli has operations in Broward County. In addition, on at least one occasion, members of the conspiracy, including the defendant, attended a meeting in Monroe County during which the conspirators discussed the implementation of the conspiracy. Both Broward and Monroe counties are within the Southern District of Florida.

(b)

#### POSSIBLE MAXIMUM SENTENCE

5. The defendant understands that the statutory maximum penalty which may be imposed against him upon conviction for a violation of Section One of the Sherman Antitrust Act as alleged is:

(a) a term of imprisonment for 10 years (15 U.S.C. § 1):

- (2) twice the gross pecuniary gain the conspirators derived from the crime, or (3) twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by the conspirators (15 U.S.C. § 1; 18 U.S.C. § 3571(b) and (d)); and

a fine in an amount equal to the greatest of (1) \$1 million,

- a term of supervised release of three (3) years following any term of (c) imprisonment (18 U.S.C. § 3559(a)(3); 18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2); and United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G.," "Sentencing Guidelines," or "Guidelines") §5D1.2(a)(2)). If the defendant violates any condition of supervised release, the defendant could be imprisoned for up to two (2) years (18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(3)).
- 6. In addition, the defendant understands that:
- pursuant to U.S.S.G. §5E1.1 or 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(3), the (a) Court may order him to pay restitution to the victims of the offense; and
- pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(A), the Court is required to order the (b) defendant to pay a \$100.00 special assessment upon conviction for the charged crime.

## SENTENCING GUIDELINES

7. The defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, but that the Court must consider the Guidelines in effect on the day of sentencing, along with the other factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), in determining and imposing sentence. The defendant understands that the Guidelines determinations will be made by the Court by a preponderance of the evidence standard. The defendant understands that although the Court is not ultimately bound to impose a sentence within the applicable Guidelines range, its sentence must be reasonable based upon consideration of all relevant sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §1B1.8, the United States agrees that self-incriminating information that the defendant provides to the United States pursuant to this Plea Agreement will not be used to increase the volume of affected commerce attributable to the defendant or in determining the defendant's applicable Guidelines range, except to the extent provided in U.S.S.G. §1B1.8(b). Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6B1.4, the United States and the defendant enter into the following stipulations:

- (a) The base offense level for the offense to which the defendant is pleading guilty, as established by U.S.S.G. § 2R1.1(a), is 12.
- (b) The offense to which the defendant is pleading guilty involved participation in an agreement to submit non-competitive bids, within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 2R1.1(b)(1), which increases the offense level by 1.
- (c) The volume of commerce attributable to the defendant within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 2R1.1(b)(2) is more than \$10,000,000; but less than \$40,000,000, which increases the offense level by 4.
- (d) Based on the defendant's role in the offense, a 3-level increase in the offense level is appropriate pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(b).

- (e) For purposes of U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, a 3-level reduction of the offense level for defendant's acceptance of responsibility is appropriate. However, should the United States obtain or receive additional evidence or information prior to sentencing that, in its sole discretion, it determines to be credible and materially in conflict with this stipulation, then the United States shall no longer be bound by this stipulation.
- (f) Based on the foregoing, defendant's adjusted offense level for the offense to which he is pleading guilty is 17. The Guidelines sentencing range for offense level 17 is 24 to 30 months imprisonment. The defendant's appropriate Guidelines fine range within the meaning of §2R1.1(c)(1) is \$210,000 to \$1,050,000.

#### SENTENCING AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), the United States and the defendant agree that the appropriate disposition of this case is, and agree to recommend jointly that the Court impose a sentence requiring the defendant to serve a period of imprisonment of fourteen (14) months and require the defendant to pay to the United States a criminal fine of \$75,000, payable in full before the fifteenth (15th) day after the date of judgment ("the recommended sentence"). The parties agree that there exists no aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the U.S. Sentencing Commission in formulating the Sentencing Guidelines justifying a departure pursuant to U.S.S.G. §5K2.0. The parties agree not to seek or support any sentence outside of the Guidelines range or any Guidelines adjustment for any reason that is not set forth in this Plea Agreement. The parties further agree that the recommended sentence set forth in this Plea Agreement is reasonable. The United States agrees that it will not seek an order of restitution because of the availability of civil causes of action. The defendant

understands that the Court will order him to pay a \$100 special assessment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(A) in addition to any fine imposed.

- Guidelines incarceration and fine ranges exceed the term of imprisonment and fine contained in the recommended sentence set out in Paragraph 8 above. Subject to the full and continuing cooperation of the defendant, as described in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, and prior to sentencing in this case, the United States agrees that it will make a motion, pursuant to U.S.S.G. §5K1.1, for a downward departure from the Guidelines incarceration and fine ranges in this case and will request that the Court impose the term of imprisonment and fine contained in the recommended sentence set out in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement because of the defendant's substantial assistance in the United States' investigation and prosecutions of violations of federal criminal law in the marine hose industry.
- described in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, and before sentencing in the case, the United States will fully advise the Court and the Probation Office of the fact, manner, and extent of the defendant's cooperation and his commitment to prospective cooperation with the United States' investigation and prosecutions, all material facts relating to the defendant's involvement in the charged offense, and all other relevant conduct. The United States may request, and the defendant will not oppose, that sentencing be postponed until his cooperation is complete.
- The United States and the defendant understand that the Court retains complete discretion to accept or reject the recommended sentence provided for in Paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement. The defendant understands that, as provided in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3)(B), if the

Court does not impose a sentence consistent with the recommendation contained in this Plea Agreement, he nevertheless has no right to withdraw his plea of guilty.

## **DEFENDANT'S COOPERATION**

- States in the prosecution of this case, the conduct of the current federal investigation of violations of federal antitrust and related criminal laws involving the manufacture or sale of marine hose, any other federal investigation resulting therefrom, and any litigation or other proceedings arising or resulting from any such investigation to which the United States is a party ("Federal Proceeding"). The ongoing, full, and truthful cooperation of the defendant shall include, but not be limited to:
  - (a) producing all non-privileged documents, including claimed personal documents, and other materials, wherever located, in the possession, custody, or control of the defendant, requested by attorneys and agents of the United States:
  - (b) making himself available for interviews, not at the expense of the United
     States, upon the request of attorneys and agents of the United States;
  - (c) responding fully and truthfully to all inquiries of the United States in connection with any Federal Proceeding, without falsely implicating any person or intentionally withholding any information, subject to the penalties of making false statements (18 U.S.C. § 1001) and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503, et seq.);
  - (d) otherwise voluntarily providing the United States with any non-privileged material or information, not requested in (a) (c) of this paragraph, that he may have that is related to any Federal Proceeding; and
    - (e) when called upon to do so by the United States in connection with any

Federal Proceeding, testifying in grand jury, trial, and other judicial proceedings, fully, truthfully, and under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury (18 U.S.C. § 1621), making false statements or declarations in grand jury or court proceedings (18 U.S.C. § 1623), contempt (18 U.S.C. §§ 401 - 402), and obstruction of justice (18 U.S.C. § 1503, et seq.).

#### **UNITED STATES' AGREEMENT**

- defendant, as described in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, and upon the Court's acceptance of the guilty plea called for by this Plea Agreement and the imposition of the recommended sentence, the United States will not bring further criminal charges against the defendant for any act or offense committed before the date of this Plea Agreement that was undertaken in furtherance of an antitrust conspiracy involving the manufacture or sale of marine hose ("Relevant Offense"). The nonprosecution terms of this paragraph do not apply to civil matters of any kind, to any violation of the federal tax or securities laws, or to any crime of violence.
- 14. The defendant understands that he may be subject to administrative action by federal or state agencies other than the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, based upon the conviction resulting from this Plea Agreement, and that this Plea Agreement in no way controls whatever action, if any, other agencies may take. However, the United States agrees that, if requested, it will advise the appropriate officials of any governmental agency considering such administrative action of the fact, manner, and extent of the cooperation of the defendant as a matter for that agency to consider before determining what administrative action, if any, to take.

# REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL

15. The defendant has reviewed all legal and factual aspects of this case with his attorneys and is fully satisfied with his attorneys' legal representation. The defendant has thoroughly reviewed this Plea Agreement with his attorneys and has received satisfactory explanations from his attorneys concerning each paragraph of this Plea Agreement and alternatives available to the defendant other than entering into this Plea Agreement. After conferring with his attorneys and considering all available alternatives, the defendant has made a knowing and voluntary decision to enter into this Plea Agreement.

#### **VOLUNTARY PLEA**

16. The defendant's decision to enter into this Plea Agreement and to tender a plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and is not the result of force, threats, assurances, promises, or representations other than the representations contained in this Plea Agreement. The United States has made no promises or representations to the defendant as to whether the Court will accept or reject the recommendations contained within this Plea Agreement.

## **VIOLATION OF PLEA AGREEMENT**

- faith, during the period that any Federal Proceeding is pending, that the defendant has failed to provide full and truthful cooperation, as described in Paragraph 12 of this Plea Agreement, or has otherwise violated any provision of this Plea Agreement, the United States will notify the defendant or his counsel in writing by personal or overnight delivery or facsimile transmission and may also notify his counsel by telephone of its intention to void any of its obligations under this Plea Agreement (except its obligations under this paragraph), and the defendant shall be subject to prosecution for any federal crime of which the United States has knowledge including, but not limited to, the substantive offenses relating to the investigation resulting in this Plea Agreement. The defendant agrees that, in the event that the United States is released from its obligations under this Plea Agreement and brings criminal charges against the defendant for any Relevant Offense, the statute of limitations period for such offense shall be tolled for the period between the date of the signing of this Plea Agreement and six (6) months after the date the United States are notice of its intent to void its obligations under this Plea Agreement.
- of him resulting from the release of the United States from its obligations under this Plea.

  Agreement based on the defendant's violation of the Plea Agreement, any documents, statements, information, testimony, or evidence provided by him to attorneys or agents of the United States, federal grand juries, or courts, and any leads derived therefrom, may be used against him in any such further prosecution. In addition, the defendant unconditionally waives his right to challenge the use of such evidence in any such further prosecution, notwithstanding the protections of Fed. R. Evid. 410.

# ENTIRETY OF AGREEMENT

- This Plea Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the

  United States and the defendant concerning the disposition of the criminal charge in this case. This

  Plea Agreement cannot be modified except in writing, signed by the United States and the defendant.
- 20. The undersigned attorneys for the United States have been authorized by the Attorney General of the United States to enter this Plea Agreement on behalf of the United States.
- 21. A facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for the purpose of executing this Plea Agreement. Multiple signature pages are authorized for the purpose

of executing this Plea Agreement

Robert L. Furress

Defendant

Stephen Pave, Esq.

7600 Red Road, Suite 200

South Miami, FL 33143-5408

305-661-1984

Counsel for Defendant

J. Brady Dugan

Craig Y. Lee

Portia R. Brown

Jon B. Jacobs

Attorneys, Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice

National Criminal Enforcement Section

Muke R. Rooms

450 5th Street, NW, Suite 11300

Washington, DC 20530

202-514-1953

Counsel for the United States

24, 2008