	Case 8:09-cv-00275-AG-AN Document 135 Filed 01/08/2010 Page 1 of 4
1	LOWELL R. STERN
2	lowell.stern@usdoj.gov United States Department of Justice
	Antitrust Division 450 5th Street, N.W., Suite 8700
4	Washington, D.C. 20530 Telephone: (202) 307-0922
	Facsimile: (202) 307-6283 Attorney for Plaintiff
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10) CASE NO.: 8:09-cv-00275-AG-AN
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATE OF
12	Plaintiff,) COMPLIANCE WITH THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT
13	v.)
14	MICROSEMI CORPORATION,) Hon. Andrew J. Guilford
15	Defendant.)
16	
17	
18	Plaintiff, the United States of America, by the undersigned
19	attorney, hereby certifies that, in compliance with the
20	provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15
21	U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) ("APPA"), the following procedures have been
22	followed in preparation for the entry of final judgment in the
23	above-captioned matter:
24	1. The proposed Final Judgment, Competitive Impact
25	Statement, and Stipulation Regarding Proposed Final Judgment
26	("Stipulation"), by which the parties agreed to the Court's
27	
28	1

1 entry of the Final Judgment following compliance with the APPA, 2 were filed on August 20, 2009.

2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), the proposed Final
Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the
Federal Register on September 1, 2009. See United States v.
Microsemi Corp., 2009 WL 2730419 (Sept. 1, 2009), 74 Fed. Reg.
45242.

8 3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §16(b), copies of the proposed
9 Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were furnished
10 to anyone requesting them and were made available on the
11 Antitrust Division's internet site, as were the Complaint and
12 the Stipulation.

4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c), summaries of the terms
of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement
were published in *The Washington Post*, a newspaper of general
circulation in the District of Columbia, for seven days
beginning on September 6, 2009 and ending on September 12, 2009,
and in *The Los Angeles Times*, a newspaper of general circulation
in the Central District of California, for seven days beginning
on September 13, 2009 and ending on September 19, 2009.

5. As stated in the Competitive Impact Statement, there were no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment, so none were furnished to any person pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) or listed pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c).

2

27

28

On November 6, 2009, defendant filed with the Court 6. 1 2 descriptions of written or oral communications by or on its behalf with any officer or employee of the United States 3 concerning or relevant to the proposed Final Judgment, as 4 5 required by 15 U.S.C. \S 16(g).

6 7. During the sixty-day comment period for the receipt and consideration of written comments specified in 15 U.S.C. 7 §§ 16(b)-(d), the United States received no comments from 8 members of the public concerning the proposed Final Judgment. 9

10 The United States having published its proposed 8. 11 settlement, and defendants having certified their pre-settlement 12 contacts with government officials, the parties have fulfilled 13 their obligations under the APPA. Pursuant to the Stipulation 14 and 15 U.S.C. §16(e), the Court may now enter the Final Judgment, if it determines that the entry of the Final Judgment 15 is in the public interest. 16

17 9. Plaintiff's Competitive Impact Statement demonstrates 18 that the proposed Final Judgment satisfies the public interest standard of 15 U.S.C. § 16(e). Plaintiff therefore requests 19 that the Court enter the Final Judgment without further 20 21 proceedings.

22

24

25

26

27

28

23 Dated: January 8, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

By: lslLowell R. Stern Attorney for Plaintiff

3

	Case 8:09-cv-00275-AG-AN Document 135 Filed 01/08/2010 Page 4 of 4
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 8th day of January, 2010, I
3	will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court
4	using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of
5	such filing (NEF) to the following:
6	Brett J. Williamson
7	Darin J. Glasser O'Melveny & Myers LLP
8	
9	Newport Beach, CA 92660-6429
10	Michael E. Antalics Benjamin G. Bradshaw
11	O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1625 Eye Street, N.W.
12	Washington, D.C. 20006
13 14	/s/ Lowell R. Stern
14	Attorney for Plaintiff
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4