	Case3:12-cr-00785-WHA Document1	Filed11/01/12 Page2 of 10
1	JEANE HAMILTON (CSBN 157834) ALBERT B. SAMBAT (CSBN 236472)	2012 NOV -1 A 9 50
2	DAVID J. WARD (CSBN 239504)	A 9.50
3	CHRISTINA M. WHEELER (CSBN 203395) MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493)	
4	MICAH L. WYATT (CSBN 267465)	CAUND CAN
5	LIDIA MAHER (CSBN 222253) U.S. Department of Justice	
6	Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue	
7	Box 36046, Room 10-0101	
8	San Francisco, CA 94102 david.ward@usdoj.gov	
9	Telephone: (415) 436-6660	
10	Attorneys for the United States	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
14	CR _{inal} 12 785	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	INFORMATION
16		VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 –
17	v.)	Bid Rigging (Counts One & Three);
18		18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (Counts Two &
19	NORMAN MONTALVO,	Four)
20	Defendant.	
21)	
22	The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:	
23	NORMAN MONTALVO,	
24	the defendant herein, as follows:	
25	BACKGROUND	
26	1. At all times relevant to this Information, when California homeowners defaulted	
27	on their mortgages, mortgage holders could institute foreclosure proceedings and sell the	
28		
	INFORMATION – NORMAN MONTALVO – 1	

Case3:12-cr-00785-WHA Document1 Filed11/01/12 Page3 of 10

properties through non-judicial public real estate foreclosure auctions ("public auctions"). These public auctions were governed by California Civil Code, Section 2924, *et seq.* Typically, a trustee was appointed to oversee the public auctions. These public auctions usually took place at or near the courthouse of the county in which the properties were located. The auctioneer, acting on behalf of the trustee, sold the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price. Proceeds from the sale were then used to pay the mortgage holders, other holders of debt secured by the property, and, in some cases, the defaulting homeowner (collectively, "beneficiaries"). <u>COUNT ONE</u>: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (San Mateo County)

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

Beginning as early as June 2008 and continuing until in or about September 2010,
 the defendant NORMAN MONTALVO and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a
 combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain
 selected properties offered at public auctions in San Mateo County in the Northern District of
 California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the
 Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,
understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress
competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected
properties at public auctions in San Mateo County at non-competitive prices.

4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and
conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired
to do, including, among other things:

a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions
in San Mateo County;

b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the
public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the
public auctions.

5. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated 1 as conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance 2 thereof. 3

TRADE AND COMMERCE

5 6. During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, 6 and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, beneficiaries located in 7 states other than California received proceeds from the public auctions that were subject to the 8 bid-rigging conspiracy. 9

10

4

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in 11 part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this 12 Information. 13

14 ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1. COUNT TWO: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (San Mateo County) 15 16

THE CONSPIRACY

17 8. Beginning as early as June 2008 and continuing until in or about September 2010 in San Mateo County in the Northern District of California, the defendant NORMAN 18 19 MONTALVO and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree 20 with each other to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly 21 devise and intend to devise and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries, and 22 to obtain money and property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises. 23

The objects of the conspiracy were to fraudulently acquire title to selected

24 25

26

9.

properties sold at public auctions in San Mateo County, to make and receive payoffs, and to divert money to conspirators that would have gone to the beneficiaries.

11 27

11

28

1 10. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated
 as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance
 thereof.

MEANS AND METHODS

5 11. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the
6 defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including, among other
7 things:

a. negotiating payoffs with one or more conspirators not to compete;

9 b. in some instances, falsely participating in foreclosure auctions to create
10 the appearance that they were bidding competitively when, in fact, they were not;

11 12

13

8

4

c. purchasing the selected properties at public auctions at suppressed prices;

d. paying conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to the beneficiaries;

14 e. taking steps to conceal the fact that monies were diverted from the
15 beneficiaries to the conspirators;

f. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements
on records of public auctions that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds from the public
auction to the beneficiaries and convey title to properties sold at the public auction; and

19 g. causing the suppressed purchase price to be reported and paid to the20 beneficiaries.

12. For the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to
do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowingly used and caused to be used the United States
Postal Service and private or commercial interstate carriers. For example, trustees used the
United States mail and private or commercial interstate carriers to transmit the Trustee's Deeds
Upon Sale and other title documents to participants in the conspiracy. These mailings were
foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary course of business.

27 ||

11

11

28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. The combination, conspiracy, and agreement to violate Title 18, United States
Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District
of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.

COUNT THREE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (San Francisco County)

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

8 14. Beginning as early as March 2009 and continuing until in or about April 2010, the
9 defendant NORMAN MONTALVO and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a
10 combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain
11 selected properties offered at public auctions in San Francisco County in the Northern District of
12 California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the
13 Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

14 15. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,
15 understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress
16 competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected
17 properties at public auctions in San Francisco County at non-competitive prices.

18 16. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and
19 conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired
20 to do, including, among other things:

a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions
in San Francisco County;

b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the
public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the
public auctions.

27

11

H

1

5

6

7

28

Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated 1 17. as conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance 2 3 thereof.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the 5 18. defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, 6 and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, beneficiaries located in 7 states other than California received proceeds from the public auctions that were subject to the 8 9 bid-rigging conspiracy.

10

4

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11 19. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in 12 part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this 13 Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1. 14 COUNT FOUR: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (San Francisco County) 15 16

THE CONSPIRACY

17 20. Beginning as early as March 2009 and continuing until in or about April 2010, in San Francisco County in the Northern District of California, the defendant NORMAN 18 19 MONTALVO and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree with each other to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly 20 devise and intend to devise and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries, and 21 to obtain money and property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent 22 pretenses, representations, and promises. 23

24 25

26

The objects of the conspiracy were to fraudulently acquire title to selected 21. properties sold at public auctions in San Francisco County, to make and receive payoffs, and to divert money to conspirators that would have gone to the beneficiaries.

27

H

11

28

22. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated
 as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance
 thereof.

MEANS AND METHODS

5 23. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the
6 defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including, among other
7 things:

negotiating payoffs with one or more conspirators not to compete; 8 a. 9 b. purchasing selected properties at public auctions at a suppressed price; 10 paying conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to the ¢. beneficiaries; 11 d. 12 taking steps to conceal the fact that monies were diverted from the beneficiaries to the conspirators; 13 14 e. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements 15 on records of public auctions that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds from the public

auctions to the beneficiaries and convey title to properties sold at the public auctions; and
 f. causing suppressed purchase prices to be reported and paid to the

18 || beneficiaries.

4

19 24. For the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to
20 do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowingly used and caused to be used the United States
21 Postal Service and private or commercial interstate carriers. For example, trustees used the
22 United States mail and private or commercial interstate carriers to transmit the Trustee's Deeds
23 Upon Sale and other title documents to participants in the conspiracy. These mailings were
24 foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary course of business.

25 ||//

26 ||//

27 ///

28 ||//

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25. The combination, conspiracy, and agreement to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.

7 8 9 Scott D. Hammond

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Marvin Price

Director of Criminal Enforcement
 United States Department of Justice

oup (a) 14 Bran J. Stretch

Attorney for the United States
Acting Under Authority Conferred
by 28 U.S.C. § 515

Chief, San Francisco Office

Peter K. Huston Assistant Chief, San Francisco Office

David J. Ward Jeane Hamilton Albert B. Sambat Christina M. Wheeler Manish Kumar Micah L. Wyatt Lidia Maher Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division