

# **EXHIBIT B**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

OKLAHOMA STATE CHIROPRACTIC  
INDEPENDENT PHYSICIANS  
ASSOCIATION and LARRY M. BRIDGES,

*Defendants.*

Case No 13-CV-21-TCK-TLW

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THE ANTITRUST  
PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT**

Plaintiff United States of America, by the undersigned attorney, certifies that it has complied with the provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) (“APPA” or “Tunney Act”), and states:

1. The proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were filed on January 10, 2013;
2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in the *Federal Register* on January 22, 2013, *see* 78 Fed. Reg. 4439-4445 (2013);
3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c), a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement was published in *The Washington Post*, a newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia, for seven consecutive days beginning on January 24, 2013, and ending on February 1, 2013, and published in the *Tulsa*

*World*, a newspaper of general circulation in Tulsa, Oklahoma, for seven days beginning on January 23, 2013, and ending on January 31, 2013.

4. The 60-day comment period specified in 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) commenced on February 1, 2013, and terminated on April 2, 2013;

5. The United States did not receive any public comments on the proposed Final Judgment.

6. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(g), the Defendants jointly filed with the Court on January 16, 2013, a description of communications by or on behalf of each Defendant with any officer or employee of the United States concerning or relevant to the proposed Final Judgment;

7. Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order filed on January 10, 2013, and 15 U.S.C. § 16(e), the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after it determines that the proposed Final Judgment serves the public interest;

8. The United States's Competitive Impact Statement and Response to Comments demonstrate that the proposed Final Judgment satisfies the public interest standard of 15 U.S.C. § 16(e); and

9. The parties have now satisfied all the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), as a condition for entering the proposed Final Judgment, and it is now appropriate for the Court to make the necessary public interest determination required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(e) and to enter the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: April 15, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

          s/Richard Mosier            
RICHARD MOSIER  
(D.C. Bar No. 492489)  
Trial Attorney

United States Department of Justice  
Antitrust Division  
Litigation I Section  
450 Fifth Street, N.W., Suite 4100  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
Telephone: (202) 307-0585  
Facsimile: (202) 307-5802  
Email: Richard.Mosier@usdoj.gov