

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4
5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

6 Plaintiffs,

7
8 v.

9 ORACLE CORPORATION

10 Defendant.
11
12

CASE NO. C 04-0807 VRW

**PROPOSED ORDER PRECLUDING
DEFENDANT FROM DISCUSSING RDB
DATABASE PURSUANT TO RULE 37(c)(1)**

13 **PROPOSED ORDER**

14
15 AND NOW, this ____ day of June, 2004, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion to
16 Preclude Defendant from Discussing Rdb Database During Trial (filed on May 26, 2004), and
17 Oracle Corporation's Response, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED.
18 Oracle Corporation is PRECLUDED offering any evidence, testimony, or argument "at trial, at a
19 hearing, or on any motion" relating to Rdb Database.
20
21

22 IT IS SO ORDERED

23 Date: 6/ /2004

24 _____
25 VAUGHN R. WALKER
26 United States District Judge
27
28