

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4
5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*

6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 ORACLE CORPORATION

9 Defendant.

Case No: C 04-00807 VRW

**JOINT
CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
AND PROPOSED ORDER**

10
11
12 In accordance with Civil Local Rule ("L.R.") 16-9, the parties to the above-entitled action
13 jointly submit this Case Management Statement and Proposed Order. Except as otherwise stated
14 herein, the parties are in agreement as to the provisions of this Order. The parties request that the
15 Court adopt the non-disputed provisions of this Order as the Case Management Order in this case
16 and, as to disputed provisions (indicated herein in italics), request that the Court determine which
17 of the conflicting provisions suggested by each side should be included in the final Order.

18 Unless otherwise indicated, all time frames referenced herein refer to calendar days.

19 **DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE**

20 1. This action arises from an unsolicited bid by Defendant Oracle Corporation
21 ("Oracle") to acquire PeopleSoft, Inc. ("PeopleSoft") (hereinafter referred to as the "Proposed
22 Acquisition"). Oracle and PeopleSoft each is a party to the Proposed Acquisition. Both Oracle
23 and PeopleSoft sell enterprise software applications to enterprises, institutions, organizations and
24 government units throughout the United States, including integrated Human Resource
25 Management ("HRM") and Financial Management Service ("FMS") software. Since June 2003,
26 the United States and each of the seven Plaintiff States (collectively, "Plaintiffs") have been
27 investigating the Proposed Acquisition (hereinafter, collectively "Plaintiffs' Investigation").
28

1 2. The principal legal issue is whether or not the Proposed Acquisition would likely
2 substantially lessen competition in interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 7 of the
3 Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. Plaintiffs maintain that the Proposed Acquisition would likely
4 substantially lessen competition in the sale of high function HRM and FMS software, and result
5 in higher prices, less functionality and decreased support. Oracle maintains that the Proposed
6 Acquisition would likely not substantially lessen competition in the sale of high function HRM
7 and FMS software and will, instead, result in improved products at reduced costs to customers.

8 **ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

9 3. The parties request that this case be exempted from participating in any
10 Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) process because they believe that no ADR process is
11 likely to deliver benefits to the parties sufficient to justify the resources consumed by its use.

12 **INITIAL DISCLOSURES**

13 4. In lieu of initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1):

14 a. Plaintiffs’ initial disclosures:

15 1. Under the terms and conditions set forth below, Plaintiffs shall
16 produce to Defendant all correspondence, documents, data, email, statements, declarations,
17 affidavits, oral examination transcripts, depositions or any other materials, whether in hard-copy
18 or electronic form, exchanged between Plaintiffs and any non-party in the course of Plaintiffs’
19 Investigation of the Proposed Acquisition (collectively, Plaintiffs’ “Investigation Materials”).
20 Plaintiffs shall produce these Investigation Materials regardless of whether those materials were
21 received informally or through compulsory process, such as a subpoena or Civil Investigative
22 Demand. To the extent not covered by the above, Plaintiffs also shall, under the terms and
23 conditions set forth below, produce all correspondence, documents, data, email, statements,
24 declarations, affidavits, oral examination transcripts, depositions or any other materials
25 exchanged between Plaintiffs and PeopleSoft, a party to the Proposed Acquisition, or its counsel.
26 Plaintiffs are not required to produce documents or other written materials originally received
27 from Defendant back to the Defendant. This Paragraph shall not be construed as requiring the
28

1 production of Plaintiffs' attorney work product, confidential attorney-client communications, or
2 materials subject to the deliberative process or any other governmental privilege.

3 2. Except as otherwise provided by the Protective Order and
4 consistent with the terms of Paragraph 9 below, the disclosures set forth in Paragraph 4.a.1 shall
5 commence forthwith upon entry of the Protective Order by the Court, shall be made on a rolling
6 basis, and shall be completed within 10 business days of the entry of the Protective Order.

7 Plaintiffs propose the following language:

8 3. *By no later than March 22, 2004, Plaintiffs' side shall serve upon*
9 *Defendant a list of those organizations (e.g., corporations and government entities) that*
10 *Plaintiffs believe are likely to have discoverable information that Plaintiffs may use to support*
11 *their claims or defenses.*

12 Defendant proposes the following language:

13 3. *To permit timely third party discovery by Defendant, Plaintiffs'*
14 *side shall also serve upon Defendant by no later than March 22, 2004, a list of those*
15 *organizations (e.g., corporations and government entities) that Plaintiffs believe are like to*
16 *provide witnesses who would testify at trial on Plaintiffs' behalf, live or by deposition.*

17 b. Defendant's initial disclosures:

18 1. Under the terms and conditions set forth below, Defendant shall
19 produce to Plaintiffs two sets of copies of all correspondence, documents, data, email,
20 statements, declarations, affidavits, oral examination transcripts, depositions or any other
21 materials, whether in hard-copy or electronic form, exchanged between Defendant and any non-
22 party or party to the Proposed Acquisition in the course of responding to Plaintiffs' Investigation
23 of or otherwise relating to the Proposed Acquisition, including materials sent or received by
24 Oracle in connection with any litigation arising from the Proposed Acquisition (collectively
25 Defendant's "Proposed Acquisition Materials"). Defendant shall produce these Proposed
26 Acquisition Materials regardless of whether those materials were received informally or through
27 compulsory process. Defendant is not required to produce documents or other written materials
28

1 originally received from Plaintiffs back to the Plaintiffs. This Paragraph shall not be construed
2 as requiring the production of Defendant's attorney work product, or confidential attorney-client
3 communications.

4 2. Except as otherwise provided by the Protective Order and
5 consistent with the terms of Paragraph 9 below, the disclosures set forth in Paragraph 4.b.1 shall
6 commence forthwith upon entry of the Protective Order by the Court, shall be made on a rolling
7 basis, and shall be completed within 10 business days of the entry of the Protective Order.

8 Plaintiffs propose the following language:

9 3. *By no later than March 22, 2004, Defendant shall serve upon*
10 *Plaintiffs a list of those organizations (e.g., corporations and government entities) that*
11 *Defendant believes are likely to have discoverable information that Defendant may use to*
12 *support its claims or defenses.*

13 4. *Under the terms and conditions set forth in Paragraph 4.b.1 & 2*
14 *above, Defendant also shall produce to Plaintiffs two sets of copies of all discount request forms,*
15 *Executive Approval Forms, or other approval documents dated January 1, 2002 through the*
16 *present relating to the sale of E Business Suite, Financial Management, or Human Resources*
17 *software applications.*

18 Defendant proposes the following language:

19 *Insofar as Paragraph 9 is resolved as Defendant proposes, Defendant does not object to*
20 *the provisions of the proposed Paragraphs 4.b.1 and 4.b.2; Defendant otherwise reserves further*
21 *discussion of these proposed subparagraphs. Defendant opposes the inclusion of proposed*
22 *subparagraph 4.b.3 & 4 in the Case Management Order.*

23 **DISCOVERY AND PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES**

24 The parties agree to the following plan and schedule for discovery and pre-trial
25 disclosures:

26 5. Discovery Period. The period for fact discovery shall begin upon the entry of this
27 Order and shall be completed by June 4, 2004 except as otherwise provided in this Order. All
28

1 written discovery shall be served in a time frame that will permit timely responses to be served in
2 accordance with Paragraph 8.

3 6. Privilege Issues and Future Discovery Disputes.

4 Within 2 business days of the date this Order is entered by the Court, Plaintiff
5 United States shall submit to Defendant in electronic form a list of the documents produced by
6 Defendant during Plaintiff's Investigation as to which a claim of privilege is in dispute, including
7 an indication of the basis of the challenge. Within 5 business days thereafter, Oracle shall
8 respond to each of Plaintiff's challenges and provide in electronic form a revised version of the
9 list provided by Plaintiff with an indication as whether each challenged document is being
10 produced or withheld. All documents as to which the privilege claim is being withdrawn shall be
11 produced to Plaintiff along with the responsive list. To the extent that the Defendant's response
12 does not satisfy Plaintiff's challenges, Plaintiff may seek additional relief from the Court,
13 including a request for the appointment of a Magistrate. Should the resolution of these issues
14 impact any Plaintiff's ability to complete deposition discovery, the Court will entertain a motion
15 to extend the deadlines contained in this Order.

16 All other discovery disputes arising in the course of this action will be submitted pursuant
17 to this Court's standing order.

18 7. Depositions.

19 a. Absent good cause shown, depositions taken upon entry of this Order by
20 the Court shall be limited to no more than 25 per side (excluding experts), plus those depositions
21 of the parties' designated witnesses as set forth in Paragraph 10 of this Order. For the purpose of
22 this Order, a deposition of a party or non-party taken pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal
23 Rules of Civil Procedure shall count as one deposition. Depositions taken for the sole purpose of
24 establishing the authenticity and admissibility of documents shall not count against the 25
25 deposition limit.

26 b. Depositions of any party or party to the Proposed Acquisition shall be no
27 more than one day in length, with the exception that each side may designate a total of five such
28

1 depositions to run up to two days in length. Absent stipulation or good cause shown, no Oracle
2 witness previously deposed in the course of Plaintiffs' Investigation shall be deposed again for
3 more than one additional day.

4 c. Depositions of non-party witnesses shall be no more than one day in
5 length. Depositions of expert witnesses shall be no more than two days in length. The parties
6 and affected non-parties may stipulate to additional time for individual depositions. Absent
7 agreement of the parties, the length of depositions provided for in this Scheduling Order may be
8 modified by order of this Court only for good cause shown.

9 Plaintiffs propose the following language:

10 d. *The depositions of employees and former employees of Oracle taken by the*
11 *Plaintiffs and defended by Oracle's counsel during Plaintiffs' Investigation may be used for all*
12 *purposes for which party depositions may be used under Fed. R. Civ. P. 32.*

13 Defendant proposes the following language:

14 d. *Defendant will not object on hearsay grounds to the admissibility of*
15 *depositions of employees and former employees of Oracle taken by the Plaintiffs and defended by*
16 *Oracle's counsel during Plaintiffs' investigation of the transaction underlying this action.*

17 8. Written Discovery.

18 Plaintiffs propose the following language:

19 a. *Interrogatories shall be limited to 15 for Plaintiffs' side and 25 for*
20 *Defendant, including sub-parts.*

21 Defendant proposes the following language:

22 a. *Absent good cause shown, Plaintiffs' side shall be limited to 15*
23 *interrogatories, including sub-parts. Defendant shall be limited to 15 interrogatories, including*
24 *sub-parts, plus one additional initial set of interrogatories patterned after those Plaintiffs*
25 *propounded as part of a Request for Additional Information under the Hard-Scott-Rodino*
26 *Antitrust Improvements Act.*

1 b. There will not be a limit on the number of requests for the production of
2 documents or requests for admissions that may be served by the parties. Objections to any
3 written discovery shall be served within 10 days of service of the written discovery request, and
4 complete responses and/or responsive productions (subject to any objections that have not been
5 ruled upon) shall be served within 20 days of service.

6 c. Non-parties shall serve any objections to subpoenas issued pursuant to
7 Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 at least 5 days before the return date. To minimize burdens on non-parties, the
8 party that serves a document subpoena on a non-party pursuant to Rule 45 shall copy any
9 documents produced to that party by the non-party and produce them to the other side within 2
10 business days of their receipt.

11 9. Confidential Information.

12 a. Discovery and production of confidential information shall be governed by
13 the attached Protective Order, a copy of which shall be included with any discovery requests,
14 notices or subpoenas directed to non-parties. Pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order, for
15 an interim period all materials provided to Oracle by Plaintiffs or by Oracle to Plaintiffs as part
16 of the Paragraph 4 initial disclosures shall be presumptively treated as confidential materials that
17 can only be reviewed by the persons identified in subparagraph b below. Within 3 business days
18 of the date that the Protective Order is approved by the Court, notice of and access to the
19 Protective Order shall be provided by the Plaintiffs to all non-parties that produced documents
20 during Plaintiffs' Investigation and by Oracle to all non-parties that have produced documents to
21 Oracle during the Plaintiffs' Investigation or otherwise relating to the Proposed Acquisition. The
22 non-parties shall have 10 business days from the date of receiving notice of the Protective Order
23 in which to review the Protective Order, designate previously-produced materials as confidential
24 under the Protective Order, and to seek additional relief from the Court if they determine that the
25 Protective Order does not adequately protect their confidential materials. No non-party materials
26 shall be disseminated to anyone beyond those persons identified in subparagraph b below until
27 the passage of this 10-day period. If a non-party seeks additional relief from the Court, materials
28

1 for which additional protection has been sought will not be produced to anyone other than those
2 persons identified in subparagraph b below until the Court has ruled.

3 Plaintiffs propose the following language:

4 *b. During the interim period described in subparagraph a, materials*
5 *exchanged pursuant to Paragraph 4 may only be reviewed by attorneys for Latham & Watkins*
6 *(outside counsel for Oracle) and counsel for the United States and the Plaintiff States. Plaintiffs'*
7 *proposed version of the Protective Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.*

8 Defendant proposes the following language:

9 *b. During the interim period described in subparagraph a, materials*
10 *exchanged pursuant to Paragraph 4 may only be reviewed by counsel of record for the parties.*
11 *Defendant's proposed version of the Protective Order is attached hereto as Exhibit B.*

12 10. Trial Witness Lists. The parties shall exchange preliminary lists, not to exceed 25
13 per side, of the witnesses they intend to call live at trial, the order they will be called, a brief
14 description of the subjects to which they will testify and the estimated time of direct examination
15 by May 10, 2004. By June 1, 2004, the parties may amend such lists with respect to the order of
16 their witnesses, the estimated time of direct examination, and to add or subtract no more than 5
17 witnesses. Such amended, final lists shall be submitted to the Court. In no event may the total
18 number of witnesses on the final list of witnesses to be called live exceed 25. Notwithstanding
19 the limitation on the number of depositions that a party may take and the deadline for fact
20 discovery set forth above, the parties shall have the right to subpoena documents from or relating
21 to any witness on the opposing party's preliminary or final witness lists, and to depose any such
22 witness if not previously subpoenaed or deposed since the filing date of this action.

23 Defendant proposes the following language:

24 *In the event the Court declines to enter Defendant's proposed Paragraph 4.a.3,*
25 *Defendant proposes April 15, 2004 as the date for Plaintiffs' disclosure of trial witnesses, and*
26 *April 29, 2004, for Defendant's disclosure of trial witnesses.*

1 11. Expert Witnesses.

2 a. The parties shall designate the experts that they will call in their respective
3 case in chief and case in defense no later than April 8, 2004. Rebuttal experts shall be designated
4 by Plaintiffs no later than April 19, 2004. The parties shall exchange reports conforming to the
5 requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). The parties agree that drafts of expert reports and
6 correspondence with counsel need not be exchanged.

7 b. Plaintiffs' initial report(s) will be served on the Defendant no later than
8 April 29, 2004.

9 c. Defendant's report(s) will be served on Plaintiffs no later than May 13,
10 2004.

11 d. Plaintiffs' rebuttal report(s) shall be served on Defendant no later than
12 May 27, 2004. Plaintiffs' rebuttal report shall address Plaintiffs' responses to Defendants'
13 claims, including claims related to the efficiencies or synergies that would arise from the
14 proposed merger and Defendant's claim that it has remedied any competitive harm.

15 e. Depositions of the parties' experts shall be conducted after the exchange
16 of the above-referenced reports. Depositions of each party's experts shall be completed by June
17 11, 2004.

18 f. If required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e)(1), each party shall serve supplemental
19 expert reports up to 72 hours prior to the beginning of the submitting expert's deposition, but in
20 no event later than June 11, 2004 unless otherwise agreed to by the parties. Supplemental expert
21 reports shall be limited to information disclosed to the submitting side within 3 days before or
22 any time after the date of the expert's initial report.

23 12. Nationwide Service of Trial Subpoenas. Good cause having been shown in view
24 of the geographic dispersion of potential witnesses in this action, the parties are permitted,
25 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 23, to issue trial subpoenas that may run into any other federal district
26 requiring witnesses to attend this Court. The availability of nationwide service of process,
27
28

1 however, does not make a witness that is otherwise “unavailable” for purposes of Fed. R. Civ. P.
2 32 and Fed. R. Evid. 804, available under those rules.

3 13. Deposition Designations. The parties shall exchange (page and line number)
4 designations of deposition testimony to be offered at trial no later than June 7, 2004. Each party
5 must provide counter designations of deposition testimony no later than June 11, 2004.
6 Objections to any deposition designations or counter designations shall be exchanged no later
7 than June 14, 2004.

8 14. Exhibit Lists. No later than May 21, 2004, the parties shall exchange lists of
9 exhibits that each party anticipates introducing at trial during its case in chief, as well as a
10 marked set of these exhibits. Such lists will be compiled in an agreed-upon electronic format
11 capable of being sorted by exhibit number, chronological order, and Bates-stamp alphabetical
12 and numerical order. The parties will also endeavor to agree upon reasonable limits on the
13 number of trial exhibits that may be designated by each side. All documents contained on a
14 party’s exhibit list must have been previously produced during Plaintiffs’ Investigation or during
15 discovery in this case. The parties will exchange objections to the exhibits to be offered by the
16 other party no later than June 2, 2004. Documents produced after May 21, 2004 that a party
17 wishes to add to its exhibit list must be added within 3 business days of their receipt. Objections
18 to such documents must be made within 3 business days after the date upon which they are added
19 to the opposing party’s exhibit list. Documents identified on or added to any party’s exhibit list
20 that are not timely objected to pursuant to this Paragraph shall be presumed to be authentic and
21 admissible. Exhibit lists need not include exhibits used solely for purposes of cross-examination
22 or rebuttal.

23 Demonstrative exhibits, other than those to be used by experts, do not need to be included
24 on exhibit lists, but unless otherwise agreed or ordered, need to be served on all counsel of record
25 at least 48 hours before any such exhibit may be introduced, or otherwise used, at trial.

26 Demonstrative exhibits to be used by experts that are properly disclosed pursuant to Paragraph 11
27 may be slightly revised before use, provided that the slightly revised version is served on all
28

counsel of record at least 48 hours before any such exhibit is to be introduced, or otherwise used, at trial.

The parties will endeavor to resolve any objections regarding the authenticity or admissibility of all exhibits (including demonstratives and those used during the case in chief, cross-examination or rebuttal) in advance of their use. Any objections to exhibits that are not resolved by the parties after they have conferred will be resolved by the Court.

15. Service of Pleadings and Discovery on Other Parties.

a. The parties designate the following individual(s) to receive service of all pleadings, discovery requests and delivery of all correspondence in this matter (including a principal designate, noted with an asterisk (“*”)) below:

i. For Plaintiff United States:

Conrad J. Smucker, Esq.*	conrad.smucker@usdoj.gov	(202) 514-5642
Kyle D. Andeer, Esq.	kyle.andeer@usdoj.gov	(415) 436-6712
Garrett M. Liskey	garrett.liskey@usdoj.gov	(202) 616-8383

ii. For the Plaintiff States:

Mark B. Tobey, Esq.*	mark.tobey@oag.state.tx.us	(514) 463-1262
----------------------	--	----------------

iii. For Defendant Oracle:

Daniel M. Wall, Esq.	dan.wall@lw.com	(415) 395-8240
Karen E. Silverman, Esq.	karen.silverman@lw.com	(415) 395-8232
Joshua N. Holian, Esq.*	joshua.holian@lw.com	(415) 646-8343

b. Plaintiffs and Defendant shall serve all pleadings and discovery requests, including Rule 45 subpoenas for documents, and shall deliver all correspondence on the other parties’ designate by email. The serving party will telephone the other parties’ principal designate(s) at the time the materials are sent for service to alert them that the materials are being served. Any party’s principal designate served by email shall promptly confirm receipt. Electronic delivery with confirming receipt shall be treated in the same manner as hand delivery for purposes of calculating discovery response times under the Federal Rules. However, email service that is delivered after 5:30 pm Pacific Time, shall be treated as if it was received the

1 following business day. Plaintiffs and Defendant shall serve all pleadings in accordance with the
2 Northern District of California's rules for Electronic Case Filing.

3 16. Stipulations of Fact. The parties shall exchange proposed stipulations of fact no
4 later than June 7, 2004.

5 17. Pre-Trial Submission. To be submitted pursuant to this Court's standing order.

6 18. Pre-Trial Motions.

7 a. The parties shall submit *Daubert* briefs, if any, by June 7, 2004. If
8 necessary, the Court will hold a hearing on *Daubert* and the sufficiency of expert disclosure
9 issues on June 17, 2004.

10 b. The parties shall serve all motions *in limine* by June 9, 2004. Memoranda
11 supporting the motions *in limine* shall be no more than 10 pages in length. Opposition to
12 motions *in limine* shall be filed by June 14, 2004. Oppositions to any such motions shall be no
13 more than 10 pages in length. The Court will hold a hearing on motions *in limine* on June 17,
14 2004.

15 19. Pre-Trial Conference. The Pre-Trial Conference will be held on June 17, 2004,
16 unless otherwise directed by the Court.

17 **TRIAL SCHEDULE**

18 20. The parties request a trial date of June 21, 2004, or as soon thereafter as the Court
19 calendar permits. The parties anticipate that each side shall need approximately 10 days to
20 submit its respective case.

21 21. The Defendant shall not consummate the Proposed Acquisition until 7 days
22 following a final ruling by this Court after trial.

1
2 Dated: _____

3 Renata B. Hesse (CA Bar No. 148425)
4 Claude F. Scott, Esq.
5 Pam Cole, Esq. (CA Bar No. 208286)
6 Phillip R. Malone, Esq. (CA Bar No.
7 163969
8 U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9 Antitrust Division
10 450 Golden Gate Avenue
11 Room 10-0101, Box 36046
12 San Francisco, CA 94102
13 Tel. (415) 436-6660
14 Fax (415) 436-6683
15 *Counsel for Plaintiff United States*

16
17
18
19
20
21 Dated: _____

22 Mark Tobey, Esq.
23 Assistant Attorney General
24 Office of the Attorney General
25 P.O. Box 12548
26 Austin, Texas 78711-2548

27 Jay L. Himes, Esq.
28 Chief, Antitrust Bureau
Office of the Attorney General of New York
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10271
Counsel for Plaintiff States

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Daniel M. Wall, Esq.
LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel. (415) 395-8240
Fax (415) 395-8095
Counsel for Defendant Oracle Corp.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

DATED: March____, 2004

Case Management Order, C 04-00807 VRW -- Page 14