UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

)

)

)

)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. RAYCOM MEDIA, INC., Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

Filed: Judge:

UNITED STATES' EXPLANATION OF CONSENT DECREE PROCEDURES

The United States submits this short memorandum summarizing the procedures regarding the Court's entry of the proposed Final Judgment. This Judgment would settle this case pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) (the "APPA"), which applies to civil antitrust cases brought and settled by the United States.

1. Today, the United States has filed a Complaint, a proposed Final Judgment, and a Hold Separate Stipulation and Order between the parties by which they have agreed that the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after the United States has complied with the APPA. The United States has also filed a Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed Final Judgment.

2. The APPA requires that the United States publish the proposed Final Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in the Federal Register and in certain newspapers at least sixty (60) days prior to entry of the proposed Final Judgment. The notice will inform members of the public that they may submit comments about the proposed Final Judgment to the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(c). 3. During the sixty-day period, the United States will consider, and at the close of that period respond to, any comments that it has received, and it will publish the comments and the United States' responses in the Federal Register.

4. After the expiration of the sixty-day period, the United States will file with the Court the comments and the United States' responses, and it may ask the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment (unless the United States has decided to withdraw its consent to entry of the Final Judgment, as permitted by Paragraph 2 of the Stipulation, *see* 15 U.S.C. § 16(d)).

5. If the United States requests that the Court enter the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the APPA, 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)-(f), then the Court may enter the Final Judgment without a hearing, provided that it concludes that the Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: August 28, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Ann Marie Blaylock (D.C. Bar. No. 967825) Litigation III Section Antitrust Division 450 5th St. NW, Suite 4000 Washington, DC 20530 (202) 616-5932 Facsimile: (202) 514-7308 ann.blaylock@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ann Marie Blaylock, hereby certify that on August 28, 2008, I caused a copy of the foregoing Explanation of Consent Decree Procedures to be served on defendant Raycom Media, Inc. by mailing the document first-class, postage prepaid, to the duly authorized legal representative of the defendant, as follows:

Counsel for Defendant Raycom Media, Inc.

Everett J. Bowman, Esq. Robinson Bradshaw & Hinson 101 North Tryon St., Suite 1900 Charlotte, NC 28246 Telephone: (704) 377-8329 Facsimile: (704) 373-3929 Email: ebowman@rbh.com

M Blanda

Ann Marie Blaylock (D/C. Bar. No. 967825) Litigation III Section Antitrust Division 450 5th St. NW, Suite 4000 Washington, DC 20530 (202) 616-5932 Facsimile: (202) 514-7308 ann.blaylock@usdoj.gov