JEANE HAMILTON (CSBN 157834)
ALBERT B. SAMBAT (CSBN 236472)
DAVID J. WARD (CSBN 239504)
CHRISTINA M. WHEELER (CSBN 203395)
MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493)
MICAH L. WYATT (CSBN 267465)
LIDIA MAHER (CSBN 22253)
E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 41204634)
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
Box 36046, Room 10-0101
San Francisco, CA 94102
christina.wheeler@usdoj.gov
david.ward@usdoj.gov
Telephone: (415) 436-6660

Attorneys for the United States

FILED
SEP -5 A 9:20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WHO

CR 13 587

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. DANIEL ROSENBLEDT, Defendant.	**INFORMATION** VIOLATIONS: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (Counts One & Three); 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (Counts Two & Four)

The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:

DANIEL ROSENBLEDT,

the defendant herein, as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. At all times relevant to this Information, when California homeowners defaulted on their mortgages, mortgage holders could institute foreclosure proceedings and sell the

properties through non-judicial public real estate foreclosure auctions ("public auctions"). These public auctions were governed by California Civil Code, Section 2924, *et seq.* Typically, a trustee was appointed to oversee the public auctions. These public auctions usually took place at or near the courthouse of the county in which the properties were located. The auctioneer, acting on behalf of the trustee, sold the property to the bidder offering the highest purchase price. Proceeds from the sale were then used to pay the mortgage holders, other holders of debt secured by the property, and, in some cases, the defaulting homeowner (collectively, "beneficiaries").

COUNT ONE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (San Mateo County)

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

2. Beginning as early as April 2008 and continuing until in or about January 2011, the defendant, DANIEL ROSENBLEDT, and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain selected properties offered at public auctions in San Mateo County in the Northern District of California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected properties at public auctions in San Mateo County at non-competitive prices.

4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions in San Francisco County;

b. designating which conspirator would win the selected properties at the public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the public auctions.

5. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

6. During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, beneficiaries located in states other than California received proceeds from the public auctions that were subject to the bid-rigging conspiracy.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT TWO: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (San Mateo County)

THE CONSPIRACY

8. Beginning as early as April 2008 and continuing until in or about January 2011 in San Mateo County in the Northern District of California, the defendant, DANIEL ROSENBLEDT, and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree with each other to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly devise and intend to devise and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries, and to obtain money and property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

9. The objects of the conspiracy were to fraudulently acquire title to selected properties sold at public auctions in San Mateo County, to make and receive payoffs, and to divert money to conspirators that would have gone to the beneficiaries.

//

//

10. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

MEANS AND METHODS

11. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. negotiating payoffs with one or more conspirators not to compete;

b. in some instances, falsely participating in foreclosure auctions to create the appearance that they were bidding competitively when, in fact, they were not;

c. purchasing the selected properties at public auctions at suppressed prices;

d. paying conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to the beneficiaries;

e. taking steps to conceal the fact that monies were diverted from the beneficiaries to the conspirators;

f. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements on records of public auctions that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds from the public auction to the beneficiaries and convey title to properties sold at the public auction; and

g. causing the suppressed purchase price to be reported and paid to the beneficiaries.

12. For the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowingly used and caused to be used the United States Postal Service and private or commercial interstate carriers. For example, trustees used the United States mail and private or commercial interstate carriers to transmit the Trustee's Deeds Upon Sale and other title documents to participants in the conspiracy. These mailings were foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary course of business.

//

//

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. The combination, conspiracy, and agreement to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.

COUNT THREE: 15 U.S.C. § 1 – Bid Rigging (San Francisco County)

THE COMBINATION AND CONSPIRACY

14. Beginning as early as November 2009 and continuing until in or about January 2011, the defendant, DANIEL ROSENBLEDT, and co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and restrain competition by rigging bids to obtain selected properties offered at public auctions in San Francisco County in the Northern District of California, in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of the Sherman Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 1.

15. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators to suppress competition by agreeing to refrain from or stop bidding against each other to purchase selected properties at public auctions in San Francisco County at non-competitive prices.

16. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. agreeing not to compete to purchase selected properties at public auctions in San Francisco County;

b. designating which conspirator would win selected properties at the public auctions for the group of conspirators; and

c. refraining from or stopping bidding for the selected properties at the public auctions.

//

//

17. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

18. During the period covered by this Information, the business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. For example, beneficiaries located in states other than California received proceeds from the public auctions that were subject to the bid-rigging conspiracy.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

COUNT FOUR: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud (San Francisco County)

THE CONSPIRACY

20. Beginning as early as November 2009 and continuing until in or about January 2011 in San Francisco County in the Northern District of California, the defendant, DANIEL ROSENBLEDT, and co-conspirators did willfully and knowingly combine, conspire, and agree with each other to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, namely, to knowingly devise and intend to devise and participate in a scheme and artifice to defraud beneficiaries, and to obtain money and property from beneficiaries by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises.

21. The objects of the conspiracy were to fraudulently acquire title to selected properties sold at public auctions in San Francisco County, to make and receive payoffs, and to divert money to conspirators that would have gone to the beneficiaries.

//

//

22. Various entities and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

MEANS AND METHODS

23. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. negotiating payoffs with one or more conspirators not to compete;

b. purchasing selected properties at public auctions at suppressed prices;

c. paying conspirators monies that otherwise would have gone to the beneficiaries;

d. taking steps to conceal the fact that monies were diverted from the beneficiaries to the conspirators;

e. making and causing to be made materially false and misleading statements on records of the public auctions that trustees relied upon to distribute proceeds from the public auctions to the beneficiaries and convey title to properties sold at the public auctions; and

f. causing suppressed purchase prices to be reported and paid to the beneficiaries.

24. For the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud and attempting to do so, the defendant and co-conspirators knowingly used and caused to be used the United States Postal Service and private or commercial interstate carriers. For example, trustees used the United States mail and private or commercial interstate carriers to transmit the Trustee's Deeds Upon Sale and other title documents to participants in the conspiracy. These mailings were foreseeable to the defendant in the ordinary course of business.

//

//

//

//

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

25. The combination, conspiracy, and agreement to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1349.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c))

26. Paragraph 1 and Paragraphs 8 through 13 and 20 through 25 are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth here for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

27. Upon conviction of the offenses alleged in Counts Two and Four of this Information, the defendant:

DANIEL ROSENBLEDT,

shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United State Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds the defendant obtained directly or indirectly as the result of said violation, including a monetary forfeiture judgment in the amount of $454,695.

28. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of said property:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;

d. has been substantially diminished in value; or

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

//

//

//

//

//

any and all interest that the defendant has in any other property, up to the value of the property described in Paragraph 27 above, shall be forfeited to the United States pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

William J. Baer
Assistant Attorney General

Scott D. Hammond
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Marvin N. Price
Director of Criminal Enforcement
United States Department of Justice
Antitrust Division

Brian J. Stretch
Attorney for the United States
Acting Under Authority Conferred
by 28 U.S.C. § 515

Phillip H. Warren
Chief, San Francisco Office

Peter K. Huston
Assistant Chief, San Francisco Office

Christina M. Wheeler
David J. Ward
Jeane Hamilton
Albert B. Sambat
Manish Kumar
Micah L. Wyatt
Lidia Maher
E. Kate Patchen
Trial Attorneys
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division