| 1  | Filed April 7, 2006  |          |  |
|----|--|----------|--|
| 2  |  |          |  |
| 3  |  |          |  |
| 4  |  |          |  |
| 5  |  |          |  |
| 6  |  |          |  |
| 7  |  |          |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |          |  |
| 9  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |          |  |
| 10 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |          |  |
| 11 |  |          |  |
| 12 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA   | )        | Case No. CR 06-0159 MMC                      |
| 13 | v.   | <u> </u> | [PROPOSED] ORDER<br>FOR EXPEDITED SENTENCING |
| 14 | v.   | }        | PURSUANT TO L.R. 32-1(b)                     |
| 15 | SOLVAY S.A.,   | {        |  |
| 16 | Defendant.   | }        | DATE: April 19, 2006<br>TIME: 2:30 p.m.      |
| 17 | Defendant.   |          | COURT: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney                |
| 18 | Based on the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that it           |          |  |
| 19 | is able to exercise its sentencing authority in this case meaningfully without a presentence report. |          |  |
| 20 | Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the parties' joint request for expedited sentencing, and               |          |  |
| 21 | ORDERS that defendant Solvay S.A. be sentenced on an expedited basis pursuant to Crim. L.R.          |          |  |
| 22 | 32-1(b), on April 19, 2006, without need for a presentence report.                                   |          |  |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |          |  |
| 24 | Date: <u>April 7</u> , 2006  |          |  |
| 25 |  |          | /s/  |
| 26 | United States District Judge   |          |  |
| 27 |  |          |  |
| 28 |  |          |  |
|    |  |          |  |