UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

and

STATE OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiffs,

v.

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC., CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS, COMCAST CORP., TIME WARNER CABLE INC., COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS, LLC, Case: 1:12-cv-01354 (RMC)

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT

Plaintiff United States of America, by the undersigned attorney, certifies that it has

complied with the provisions of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-

(d) ("APPA" or "Tunney Act"), and states:

1. The proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were filed on

August 16, 2012;

2. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(b), the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive

Impact Statement were published in the Federal Register on August 23, 2012, see 77 Fed. Reg.

51,048 (2012);

3. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(c), a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement were published in *The Washington Post*, a newspaper of general circulation in the District of Columbia, for seven consecutive days beginning on August 18, 2012 and ending on August 24, 2012;

4. The 60-day comment period specified in 15 U.S.C. § 16(b) commenced on August 24, 2012, and terminated on October 23, 2012;

5. The United States received and responded to four comments on the proposed Final Judgment. The comments and responses were filed with the Court on March 11, 2013, and were published in the *Federal Register* on March 21, 2013, *see* 78 Fed. Reg. 17,473 (2013), and published on the Department of Justice's Web site at < <u>http://www.justice.gov/atr/cases/</u> <u>verizoncable.html</u> >;

6. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 16(g), the Defendants jointly filed with the Court on August 27, 2012, a description of communications by or on behalf of each Defendant with any officer or employee of the United States concerning or relevant to the proposed Final Judgment;

Pursuant to the Stipulation and Order filed on August 16, 2012, and 15 U.S.C. §
16(e), the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after it determines that the proposed
Final Judgment serves the public interest;

 The United States's Competitive Impact Statement and Response to Comments demonstrate that the proposed Final Judgment satisfies the public interest standard of 15 U.S.C. § 16(e); and

9. The parties have now satisfied all the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), as a condition for entering the proposed Final

2

Judgment, and it is now appropriate for the Court to make the necessary public interest determination required by 15 U.S.C. § 16(e) and to enter the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: March 26, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES:

/s/ Jared A. Hughes Jared A. Hughes Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division Telecommunications & Media Section 450 Fifth Street N.W., Suite 7000 Washington, DC 20530 Telephone: (202) 598-2311 Facsimile: (202) 514-6381 Jared.Hughes@usdoj.gov