

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, STATE	)	
OF ALABAMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	)	
STATE OF IOWA, STATE OF KANSAS,	)	
STATE OF MINNESOTA, STATE OF NORTH	)	
DAKOTA, and STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,	)	
	)	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	)	Civil No.:
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC., and	)	
ALLTEL CORPORATION,	)	
	)	
<i>Defendants.</i>	)	

**PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES'S
EXPLANATION OF CONSENT DECREE PROCEDURES**

Plaintiff United States submits this short memorandum summarizing the procedures regarding the Court's entry of the proposed Final Judgment. This Judgment would settle this case pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)-(h) (the "APPA"), which applies to civil antitrust cases brought and settled by the United States.

1. Today, plaintiffs United States and the states of Alabama, California, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, North Dakota and South Dakota have filed a Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Preservation of Assets Stipulation and Order, between the parties by which they have agreed that the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment following compliance with the APPA. Plaintiff United States has also filed a Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed Final Judgment.

2. The APPA requires that plaintiff United States publish the proposed Final

Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in the *Federal Register* and in certain newspapers at least sixty days prior to entry of the proposed Final Judgment. The notice will inform members of the public that they may submit comments about the proposed Final Judgment to the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division (*see* 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)-(c)).

3. During the sixty-day period, plaintiff United States will consider, and at the close of that period respond to, any comments that it has received, and it will publish the comments and plaintiff United States' responses in the *Federal Register*.

4. After the expiration of the sixty-day period, plaintiff United States will file with the Court the comments and plaintiff United States' responses, and it may ask the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment (unless plaintiffs have decided to withdraw their consent to entry of the Judgment, as permitted by Section IV.A of the Preservation of Assets Stipulation and Order) (*see* 15 U.S.C. § 16(d)).

5. After compliance with the APPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(e)-(f), the Court may enter the

proposed Final Judgment without a hearing, provided that it concludes that the Final Judgment is in the public interest and that the United States has not withdrawn its consent.

Dated: October 30, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES:


Hillary B. Burchuk (DC Bar No. 366755)
Lawrence M. Frankel (DC Bar No. 441532)
Attorneys, Telecommunications & Media
Enforcement Section
U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division
City Center Building
1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 8000
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 514-5621
Facsimile: (202) 514-6381