## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Criminal No.: 2:12-cr-20064-DML-MKM

v.

Filed: 1/30/2012

YAZAKI CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Count I: 15 U.S.C. § 1 Count II: 15 U.S.C. § 1 Count III: 15 U.S.C. § 1

# **INFORMATION**

# COUNT ONE CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE (15 U.S.C. § 1)

## THE UNITED STATES, ACTING THROUGH ITS ATTORNEYS, CHARGES:

## **Defendant and Co-Conspirators**

1. Yazaki Corporation ("Defendant") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business in Tokyo, Japan. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant was engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling automotive wire harnesses and related products, instrument panel clusters, and fuel senders to certain automobile manufacturers for installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States and elsewhere.

2. Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this Count, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged in this Count and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it.

3. Whenever in this Count reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by

or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

### **Background of the Offense**

4. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators supplied automotive wire harnesses and related products to certain automobile manufacturers for installation in vehicles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators manufactured automotive wire harnesses and related products (a) in the United States for installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States, (b) in Japan for export to the United States and installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States, and (c) in Japan for installation in vehicles manufactured in Japan for export to and sale in the United States.

5. Automotive wire harnesses are automotive electrical distribution systems used to direct and control electronic components, wiring, and circuit boards. The following are defined as "related products" for the purposes of this Information: automotive electrical wiring, lead wire assemblies, cable bond, automotive wiring connectors, automotive wiring terminals, electronic control units, fuse boxes, relay boxes, and junction blocks. When purchasing automotive wire harnesses and related products, automobile manufacturers issue Requests for Quotation ("RFQs") to automotive parts suppliers on a model-by-model basis for model specific parts. Automotive parts suppliers submit quotations, or bids, to the automobile manufacturers in response to RFQs, and the automobile manufacturers award the business to the selected automotive parts supplier for the lifespan of the model, which is usually four to six years. Typically, the bidding process for a particular model begins approximately three years prior to

the start of production. Japanese automobile manufacturers procure parts for U.S.-manufactured vehicles both in Japan and the United States.

#### **Conspiracy to Restrain Trade**

6. From at least as early as January 2000 and continuing until at least February 2010, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, Defendant and its co-conspirators participated in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive parts industry by agreeing to rig bids for, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of, automotive wire harnesses and related products sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by Defendant and its co-conspirators was in unreasonable restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

7. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among Defendant and its co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to rig bids for, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of, automotive wire harnesses and related products sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere.

#### Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

8. For purposes of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, Defendant and its co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. participating in meetings, conversations, and communications in the United States and Japan to discuss the bids and price quotations to be submitted to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

b. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, on bids and price quotations to be submitted to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

c. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, to allocate the supply of automotive wire harnesses and related products sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere on a model-by-model basis;

d. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, to coordinate price adjustments requested by certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

e. submitting bids, price quotations, and price adjustments to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere in accordance with the agreements reached;

f. selling automotive wire harnesses and related products to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices;

g. accepting payment for automotive wire harnesses and related products sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices;

h. engaging in meetings, conversations, and communications in the United States and elsewhere for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the agreed-upon bid-rigging and price-fixing scheme; and

i. employing measures to keep their conduct secret, including but not limited to using code names and meeting at private residences or remote locations.

### **Trade and Commerce**

9. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators sold to certain automobile manufacturers located in various states in the United States substantial quantities of automotive wire harnesses and related products shipped from outside the United States and from other states in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce. In addition, substantial quantities of equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of automotive wire harnesses and related products sold by Defendant and its co-conspirators, as well as payments for automotive wire harnesses and related products sold by Defendant and its co-conspirators, traveled in interstate and foreign trade and commerce. The business activities of Defendant and its co-conspirators in connection with the production and sale of automotive wire harnesses and related products that were the subject of the charged conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

10. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Count was carried out, at least in part, in the Eastern District of Michigan within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

## COUNT TWO CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE (15 U.S.C. § 1)

### THE UNITED STATES, ACTING THROUGH ITS ATTORNEYS, CHARGES:

### **Defendant and Co-Conspirators**

11. Each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 3 of Count One of this Information is realleged and reasserted here as if fully set forth in this Count.

#### **Background of the Offense**

During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators supplied instrument panel clusters to certain automobile manufacturers for installation in vehicles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators manufactured instrument panel clusters (a) in the United States for installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States,
(b) in Japan for export to the United States and installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States, and (c) in Japan for installation in vehicles manufactured in Japan for export to and sale in the United States.

13. Instrument panel clusters, also known as meters, are the mounted array of instruments and gauges housed in front of the driver of an automobile. When purchasing instrument panel clusters, automobile manufacturers issue RFQs to automotive parts suppliers on a model-by-model basis for model specific parts. Automotive parts suppliers submit quotations, or bids, to the automobile manufacturers in response to RFQs, and the automobile manufacturers award the business to the selected automotive parts supplier for the lifespan of the model, which is usually four to six years. Typically, the bidding process for a particular model begins approximately three years prior to the start of production. Japanese automobile manufacturers procure parts for U.S.-manufactured vehicles both in Japan and the United States.

#### **Conspiracy to Restrain Trade**

14. From at least as early as December 2002 and continuing until at least February 2010, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, Defendant and its co-conspirators participated in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive parts industry by agreeing to rig bids for, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices

of, instrument panel clusters sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by Defendant and its co-conspirators was in unreasonable restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

15. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among Defendant and its co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to rig bids for, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of, instrument panel clusters sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere.

### Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

16. For purposes of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, Defendant and its co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. participating in meetings, conversations, and communications in the United States and Japan to discuss the bids and price quotations to be submitted to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, on
bids and price quotations to be submitted to certain automobile manufacturers in the
United States and elsewhere;

c. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, to allocate the supply of instrument panel clusters products sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere on a model-by-model basis;

d. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, to coordinate price adjustments requested by certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

e. submitting bids, price quotations, and price adjustments to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere in accordance with the agreements reached;

f. selling instrument panel clusters to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices;

g. accepting payment for instrument panel clusters sold to certain automobile manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices;

h. engaging in meetings, conversations, and communications in the United States and elsewhere for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the agreed-upon bid-rigging and price-fixing scheme; and

i. employing measures to keep their conduct secret, including but not limited to using code names and meeting at private residences or remote locations.

#### **Trade and Commerce**

17. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators sold to certain automobile manufacturers located in various states in the United States substantial quantities of instrument panel clusters shipped from outside the United States and from other states in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce. In addition, substantial quantities of equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of instrument panel clusters sold by Defendant and its co-conspirators, as well as payments for instrument panel clusters sold by Defendant and its co-conspirators, traveled in interstate and foreign trade and commerce. The business activities of Defendant and its co-

conspirators in connection with the production and sale of instrument panel clusters that were the subject of the charged conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.

### Jurisdiction and Venue

18. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Count was carried out, at least in part, in the Eastern District of Michigan within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

## COUNT THREE CONSPIRACY TO RESTRAIN TRADE (15 U.S.C. § 1)

## THE UNITED STATES, ACTING THROUGH ITS ATTORNEYS, CHARGES:

### **Defendant and Co-Conspirators**

19. Each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 3 of Count One of this Information is realleged and reasserted here as if fully set forth in this Count.

#### **Background of the Offense**

20. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators supplied fuel senders to an automobile manufacturer for installation in vehicles manufactured and/or sold in the United States and elsewhere. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators manufactured fuel senders (a) in the United States for installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States and (b) in Japan for export to the United States and installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States.

21. Fuel senders reside in the fuel tank of an automobile and measure the amount of fuel in the tank. When purchasing fuel senders, automobile manufacturers issue RFQs to automotive parts suppliers on a model-by-model basis for model specific parts. Automotive

parts suppliers submit quotations, or bids, to the automobile manufacturers in response to RFQs, and the automobile manufacturers award the business to the selected automotive parts supplier for the lifespan of the model, which is usually four to six years. Typically, the bidding process for a particular model begins approximately three years prior to the start of production. Japanese automobile manufacturers procure parts for U.S.-manufactured vehicles both in Japan and the United States.

#### **Conspiracy to Restrain Trade**

22. From at least as early as March 2004 and continuing until at least February 2010, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, Defendant and its co-conspirators participated in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive parts industry by agreeing to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of fuel senders sold to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by Defendant and its co-conspirators was in unreasonable restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

23. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among Defendant and its co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to to fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of fuel senders sold to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere.

#### Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

24. For purposes of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, Defendant and its co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

a. participating in meetings, conversations, and communications in Japan to discuss the price quotations to be submitted to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere;

b. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, on price quotations to be submitted to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere;

c. agreeing, during those meetings, conversations, and communications, to coordinate price adjustments requested by an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere;

d. submitting price quotations and price adjustments to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere in accordance with the agreements reached;

e. selling fuel senders to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices; and

f. accepting payment for fuel senders sold to an automobile manufacturer in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices.

#### **Trade and Commerce**

25. During the period covered by this Count, Defendant and its co-conspirators sold to an automobile manufacturer located in various states in the United States substantial quantities of fuel senders shipped from outside the United States and from other states in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce. In addition, substantial quantities of equipment and supplies necessary to the production and distribution of fuel senders sold by Defendant and its co-conspirators, as well as payments for fuel senders sold by Defendant and its co-conspirators, traveled in interstate and foreign trade and commerce. The

business activities of Defendant and its co-conspirators in connection with the production and sale of fuel senders that were the subject of the charged conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and commerce.

## Jurisdiction and Venue

26. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Count was carried out, at least in part, in the Eastern District of Michigan within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.

## ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

Dated: \_January 30, 2012\_\_\_\_\_

Sharis A. Pozen Acting Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice /s/

Lisa M. Phelan Chief, National Criminal Enforcement Section Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice

/s/ Scott D. Hammond Deputy Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice

/s/ John F. Terzaken, III Director of Criminal Enforcement Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice

/s/ Kathryn Hellings Shane Cralle Matthew Lunder Trial Attorneys National Criminal Enforcement Section Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice 450 5th St. NW, Suite 11300 Washington, DC 20530-0001 Tel: (202) 307-0934