

Eastern District of Kentucky  
**FILED**  
OCT 08 2015  
AT COVINGTON  
ROBERT R. CARR  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY  
NORTHERN DIVISION  
COVINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

INDICTMENT NO. 15-44-ART-CJS  
15 U.S.C. § 1

v.

KEIJI KYOMOTO,  
MIKIO KATSUMARU, and  
YUJI KURODA,

Defendants.

The Grand Jury charges:

Background

At times relevant to this Indictment:

1. COMPANY A was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan with its principal place of business in Hiroshima, Japan. COMPANY B was a joint venture, partially owned by COMPANY A, organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with offices in Topeka, Indiana, and Novi, Michigan. COMPANY A and COMPANY B were engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling automotive body sealing products to Honda Motor Company, Ltd., Toyota Motor Corporation, and certain of their subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, "Automobile Manufacturers") for installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States and elsewhere. Toyota Motor Corporation had a U.S. subsidiary located in Erlanger, Kentucky that was responsible for purchasing automotive body sealing products to be installed into Toyota vehicles.

2. Defendant KEIJI KYOMOTO was a citizen of Japan and resided in the United States. KYOMOTO was employed as Vice President of COMPANY B from at least as early as September 2003 until in or about April 2004, and as President of COMPANY B from in or about April 2004 until at least October 2011.

3. Defendant MIKIO KATSUMARU was a citizen and resident of Japan. KATSUMARU was employed by COMPANY A as a sales branch manager and Deputy Manager of the Sales and Marketing Division from at least as early as September 2003 until in or about July 2005, as Sales General Manager of the Automotive Division from in or about July 2005 until in or about March 2008, and as Manager of the Sales and Marketing Division from in or about March 2008 until at least October 2011. KATSUMARU was a Director of Company A from at least as early as September 2003 until in or about March 2008, and a Managing Director of Company A from in or about March 2008 until at least October 2011.

4. Defendant YUJI KURODA was a citizen and resident of Japan. KURODA was employed by COMPANY A as a sales branch manager from at least as early as September 2003 until at least October 2011.

5. Automotive body sealing products consist of body-side opening seals, door-side weather-stripping, glass-run channels, trunk lids, and other smaller seals. Automotive body sealing products are installed into automobiles to keep the interior dry from rain and free from wind and exterior noises.

6. COMPANY A, COMPANY B, and their co-conspirators supplied automotive body sealing products to Automobile Manufacturers for installation in vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States and elsewhere. COMPANY A, COMPANY B, and co-conspirators manufactured automotive body sealing products (a) in the United States for installation in

vehicles manufactured and sold in the United States; (b) in the United States for installation in vehicles manufactured in Canada that were imported into and sold in the United States; and (c) in Japan for installation in vehicles manufactured in Japan that were imported into and sold in the United States.

7. When purchasing automotive body sealing products, Automobile Manufacturers typically issued Requests for Quotation (“RFQs”) to suppliers on a model-by-model basis for model-specific parts. Automotive body sealing product suppliers submitted quotations, or bids, to Automobile Manufacturers in response to the RFQs, and Automobile Manufacturers awarded the contract to the selected supplier for the lifespan of the model, usually four to six years. Typically, the bidding process began approximately three years prior to the start of production of the model that is the subject of the RFQ.

8. Other corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this Indictment, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged in this Indictment and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it.

9. Whenever in this Indictment reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

Conspiracy to Restrain Trade

10. From at least as early as September 2003 and continuing until at least October 2011, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in Kenton County, in the Eastern District of Kentucky, and elsewhere,

KEIJI KYOMOTO,  
MIKIO KATSUMARU, and

YUJI KURODA,

defendants herein, along with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, knowingly joined and participated in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition in the automotive parts industry, in unreasonable restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

11. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the Defendants and their co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to allocate sales of, to rig bids for, and to fix, stabilize, and maintain prices of automotive body sealing products sold to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere.

12. Pursuant to his managerial role at COMPANY B, Defendant KYOMOTO instructed and encouraged certain of COMPANY B's employees under his supervision, directly and indirectly, to communicate with co-conspirators at other companies in order to allocate sales of, rig bids for, and fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of automotive body sealing products; was aware certain employees engaged in such communications; and condoned such communications. KYOMOTO also attended meetings in the United States with co-conspirators at another company during which KYOMOTO and co-conspirators discussed and reached agreements regarding sales of automotive body sealing products to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States.

13. Pursuant to his managerial role at COMPANY A, Defendant KATSUMARU instructed and encouraged certain of COMPANY A's employees under his supervision, directly and indirectly, to communicate with co-conspirators at other companies in order to allocate sales of, rig bids for, and fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of automotive body sealing products;

was aware certain employees engaged in such communications; and condoned such communications. KATSUMARU also instructed and encouraged certain of COMPANY A's employees to destroy evidence of the conspiracy.

14. Pursuant to his managerial role at COMPANY A, Defendant KURODA instructed and encouraged certain of COMPANY A's employees under his supervision, directly and indirectly, to communicate with co-conspirators at other companies in order to allocate sales of, rig bids for, and fix, stabilize, and maintain the prices of automotive body sealing products; was aware certain employees engaged in such communications; and condoned such communications. KURODA also instructed and encouraged certain of COMPANY A's employees to destroy evidence of the conspiracy.

Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

15. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the Defendants and their co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

(a) participating in, and directing, authorizing and consenting to the participation of subordinate employees in, meetings, conversations, and communications with employees of competitor companies to discuss the bids and price quotations to be submitted to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

(b) in such meetings, conversations, and communications with employees of competitor companies, agreeing on, exchanging information on, and directing, authorizing and consenting to subordinate employees agreeing on and exchanging information on, bids, price quotations, and price adjustments to be submitted to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;



(c) in such meetings, conversations, and communications with employees of competitor companies, agreeing to, and directing, authorizing, and consenting to subordinate employees agreeing to, allocate sales of automotive body sealing products sold to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere;

(d) submitting, and directing, authorizing and consenting to subordinate employees submitting, bids, price quotations, and price adjustments to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere, in accordance with their agreements with employees of competitor companies;

(e) selling automotive body sealing products to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices;

(f) accepting payment for automotive body sealing products sold to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere at collusive and noncompetitive prices; and

(g) employing measures to conceal their conduct, including, but not limited to, using code when referring to co-conspirator companies, meeting at restaurants, directing subordinates to destroy evidence, and destroying evidence of the conspiracy.


Trade and Commerce

16. During the period covered by this Indictment, the Defendants and their co-conspirators sold to Automobile Manufacturers in the United States and elsewhere substantial quantities of automotive body sealing products manufactured in the United States and shipped from other states, and manufactured outside the United States and assembled in vehicles imported into the United States, all in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and import trade and commerce. In addition, substantial quantities of equipment and supplies

necessary to the production and distribution of automotive body sealing products by COMPANY A, COMPANY B, and co-conspirators, as well as payments for automotive body sealing products sold by COMPANY A, COMPANY B, and co-conspirators, traveled in interstate and import trade and commerce. The business activities of the Defendants and their co-conspirators in connection with the production and sale of automotive body sealing products that were the subject of the charged conspiracy were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and import trade and commerce.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

A TRUE BILL




FOREPERSON

Dated: 10/8/15



BRENT SNYDER  
Acting Assistant Attorney General



FRANK J. VONDRAK  
Chief, Chicago Office



MARVIN N. PRICE, JR.  
Director of Criminal Enforcement  
Antitrust Division  
U.S. Department of Justice



ANDRE M. GEVEROLA, IL Bar No. 6281457

Assistant Chief

L. HEIDI MANSCHRECK, NY Bar No. 4537585

CHRISTINE M. O'NEILL, IL Bar No. 6300456

MATTHEW MCCROBIE, IL Bar No. 6309644

Trial Attorneys

U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

Chicago Office

209 S. LaSalle St., Suite 600

Chicago, IL 60604

Tel.: (312) 984-7200