```
Julian S. Greenspun
    Irma Gonzalez Dirst
    Crossan R. Andersen
2
    Antitrust Division
    Department of Justice
3
     3101 Federal Building
    300 North Los Angeles Street
4
    Los Angeles, California 90012
    Telephone: (213) 688-2515
5
6
        Attorneys for the Plaintiff
7
                     'UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
                    CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
10
11
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                              Civil No. 78-1436-RMT (TX)
12
                    Plaintiff,
                                              STIPULATION RE:
                                                                CONSENT
13
          v.
                                                    JUDGMENT
14
    ACME MEAT COMPANY;
                                             Filed:
                                                     October 22, 1979
    BRISTOL FOODS, INCORPORATED, d/b/a
15
        GOLD PAK MEAT COMPANY;
                                             Entered:
                                                       January 31, 1980
    DELTA MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
    FEDERAL MEAT COMPANY;
16
    GEM PACKING COMPANY;
17
    GLOBE FACKING COMPANY;
    GREAT WESTERN PACKING COMPANY;
18
    MEAT PACKERS, INCORPORATED;
    O.K. MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
19
    QUALITY MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
     SERV-U MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
20
    SHAMROCK MEATS, INCORPORATED;
    UNION PACKING COMPANY; and
21
    WARD FOODS, INCORPORATED,
22
                    Defendants.
23
          IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the undersigned parties,
24
    by their respective attorneys, that:
25
                    A Final Judgment in the form attached may be
               (1)
26
```

filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of

any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

27

after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. §16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that Plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice on the defendant and by filing that notice with the Court.

(2) In the event Plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this stipulation, this stipulation shall be of no effect whatever, and the making of the stipulation shall be without prejudice to Plaintiff and the defendants in this or any other proceeding.

Dated:	This _	22nd	day of	October	, 1979.
For Pla	int(ff:	essatu.	0,0	/s/ Julian S.	Greenspun
John H. Assista	Shenei nt Atto	ield.) rney Gener	cal	Julian S. Green Attorney	spun .
^					

Joseph H. Widmar Divector of Operations

/s/Crossan R. Andersen
Crossan R. Andersen
Assistant Chief,
Antitrust Division
Los Angeles Field Office

```
1
    Julian S. Greenspun
    Irma Gonzalez Dirst
2
    Crossan R. Andersen
    Antitrust Division
3
    Department of Justice
    3101 Federal Building
4
    300 North Los Angeles Street
    Los Angeles, California 90012
5
    Telephone: (213) 688-2515
6
       Attorneys for the Plaintiff
7
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
                   CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10
                                             Civil No. 78-1436-RMT (TX)
                   Plaintiff,
11
                                                  FINAL JUDGMENT
12
    ACME MEAT COMPANY;
13
                                                    October 22, 1979
    BRISTOL FOODS, INCORPORATED, d/b/a )
                                            Filed:
       GOLD PAK MEAT COMPANY;
14
    DELTA MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
                                                       January 31, 1980
                                             Entered:
    FEDERAL MEAT COMPANY;
15
    GEM PACKING COMPANY;
    GLOBE PACKING COMPANY;
16
    GREAT WESTERN PACKING COMPANY;
    MEAT PACKERS, INCORPORATED;
17
    O.K. MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
    QUALITY MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
18
    SERV-U MEAT PACKING COMPANY;
    SHAMROCK MEATS, INCORPORATED;
19
    UNION PACKING COMPANY; and
    WARD FOODS, INCORPORATED,
20
                   Defendants.
21
22
         Plaintiff, United States of America, having filed its Complaint
23
    on April 13, 1978, and the plaintiff and the defendants, by their
24
    respective attorneys, having consented to the entry of this Final
25
    Judgment, without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law
```

issue, and without this Final Judgment constituting evidence with respect to any such issue;

herein, without admission by any party with respect to any such

NOW, THEREFORE, before any testimony or evidence has been taken herein, and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon the consent of the parties hereto,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

I

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and of the parties hereto. The Complaint states a claim against the defendants upon which relief may be granted under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §1.

II

As used in this Final Judgment:

- (A) "Person" means any individual, partnership, firm, corporation, association, or other business or legal entity;
- (B) "Meat packer" means a business entity which slaughters cattle (or has cattle slaughtered for it by a third party) and dresses and sells such slaughtered cattle as beef products, such as dressed beef, primal cuts, fabricated meat or finished meat products;
- (C) "Carcass beef" means slaughtered beef which has been dressed and not processed into primal or sub-primal cuts or otherwise further products;
- (D) "Los Angeles area" means the geographic area comprising the Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, Ventura and Santa Barbara, California;

(E) "Defendant corporation" means each defendant named in the complaint in this case and listed in the caption in this Final Judgment, except the defendant Meat Packers, Incorporated, which no longer exists.

### III

The provisions of this Final Judgment are applicable to the defendant corporations and to each of their officers, directors, agents, employees, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and to all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them who shall have received actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise.

IV

Each defendant corporation is enjoined and restrained from entering into, adhering to, maintaining or furthering any contract, agreement, understanding, plan or program with any other person directly or indirectly, to:

- (A) Fix, determine, maintain or stabilize prices, or other terms or conditions for the sale of carcass beef to any third person in violation of the Sherman Act; and
- (B) Communicate or exchange information with any other meat packing company, employee or officer thereof, concerning the present or future prices, including terms and conditions of sale, that any meat packing company is charging or may charge for beef carcass(es) in the Los Angeles area as defined in Paragraph II(D) above. "Present prices" is herein

///

6

5

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

defined as prices being charged on the date of the communication or exchange and any open bid or offer as of said date.

Each defendant corporation is enjoined and restrained from:

- Directly or indirectly communicating or exchanging information with any other meat packing company, employee or officer thereof, concerning the present or future prices, including terms and conditions of sale, that any meat packing company is charging or may charge for beef carcass(es) in the Los Angeles area as defined in Paragraph II(D) above. "Present prices" is herein defined as prices being charged on the date of the communication or exchange and any open bid or offer as of said date.
- Directly or indirectly communicating or exchanging any information with any other meat packing company, employee or officer thereof, for the purpose of fixing, increasing, decreasing, maintaining or stabilizing the price of sale of carcass beef to purchasers thereof within the Los Angeles area as defined in Paragraph II(D) above.
- Joining, participating in or belonging to any trade association, organization, or other group with knowledge that any of the activities thereof are inconsistent with any terms of this Final Judgment.

Each defendant corporation is ordered and directed:

- (A) Within sixty (60) days after the date of entry of this Final Judgment to furnish a copy thereof to each of its directors, officers, plant managers and sales managers who have pricing responsibility in connection with the sale of carcass beef in the Los Angeles area; and shall advise and inform each such person that violation of this Final Judgment could result in a conviction for contempt of court and imprisonment and/or fine; and
- (B) Within sixty (60) days after the date of entry of this Final Judgment to file an affidavit of compliance with the Clerk of the Court, and serve upon the plaintiff reciting the fact and manner of compliance with provision (A) of this Section.

### VIII

(A) Each defendant corporation shall advise each of the persons described in Section VI(A) of this Final Judgment, and each of their successors, of its and their obligations under this Final Judgment, and for a period of ten (10) years from the entry of this Final Judgment, shall maintain a program to insure compliance with this Final Judgment. Defendant corporation's compliance

-5-

program shall include at a minimum the following with respect to each of the persons described in Section VI(B), and each of their successors:

- (1) The distribution to them of this Final Judgment;
- (2) The annual submission to
  them of a statement that corporate
  policy absolutely prohibits any
  violation of the antitrust laws
  or of this Final Judgment, and a
  knowing disregard of this policy,
  will result in termination;
  - (3) The imposition of a
    requirement that each of them sign
    and submit to his employer a
    certificate in substantially the
    following form:

"The undersigned hereby (1)
acknowledges receipt of a copy of
the 1979 Meat Packing Final Judgment
and a written directive setting forth
the company policy regarding
compliance with the antitrust laws and
with such Final Judgment, (2) represents
that the undersigned has read and
understands such Final Judgment and
directive, (3) acknowledges that the

undersigned has been advised and
understands that non-compliance with
such policy and Final Judgment will
result in dismissal, and (4) acknowledges
that the undersigned has been advised
and understands that non-compliance
with the Final Judgment may also result
in conviction for contempt of court and
imprisonment and/or fine";

- (4) The holding of one or more meetings with them to review the terms of this Final Judgment and the obligations it imposes, with such meetings to be arranged and conducted so that each of them attends at least one such meeting per year.
- (B) For a period of ten (10) years from the entry of this Final Judgment, each defendant corporation shall file with the Court and with the plaintiff, on the anniversary date of this Final Judgment, a sworn statement, by a responsible official designated by the defendant corporation to perform such duties, and the person in charge of sales, setting forth all steps it has taken during the preceding year to discharge its obligations under this Section VII. This statement shall be accompanied by copies of all written directives issued by the defendant corporation during the prior year with respect to compliance with the antitrust laws

and with this Final Judgment.

(C) The plaintiff may demand and obtain a more detailed statement of a defendant corporation's compliance with this Final Judgment if plaintiff determines that such defendant corporation's annual compliance statement incompletely states the steps it has taken to discharge its duties under this Section VII.

## VIII

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall prohibit any defendant corporation:

- (A) From entering into or carrying out a bona fide purchase or sale transaction involving such defendant and any other person engaged in the production and/or sale of carcass beef, when such person is either purchasing carcass beef on his own behalf or acting as a purchasing agent or group buying representative on behalf of any third person; or
- (B) Communicating information to another person engaged in the production and/or sale of carcass beef in the course of, and related to, negotiating for, entering into, or carrying out a transaction as described in Section VIII(A).

# IX

(A) For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, any duly authorized representative of the

Department of Justice shall, on written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to any defendant corporation made to its principal office, be permitted, subject to any legally recognized privilege:

- (1) Access during the office hours of such defendant corporation to inspect and copy all books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda, and other records, and documents in the possession or under the control of such defendant corporation, who may have counsel present, relating to any matters contained in the Final Judgment; and
- of such defendant corporation and without restraint or interference from it, to interview any officers, directors, agents, partners or employees of such defendant corporation, any of whom may have counsel present, regarding any such matters.
- (B) Each defendant corporation, upon the written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division shall submit such reports in writing with respect to any of the matters contained in this Final Judgment, as may from time to time be requested, subject to any legally recognized privilege.

No information obtained by the means provided in this
Section IX shall be divulged by any representative of the
Department of Justice to any person other than a duly authorized
representative of the Executive Branch of the United States,
except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United
States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with
this Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by law.

If at any time information or documents are furnished by a defendant corporation to plaintiff, such defendant corporation represents and identifies in writing the material in any such information or documents which is of a type described in Rule 26(c)(7) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and said defendant corporation marks each pertinent page of such material "Subject to claim of protection under Rule 26(c)(7) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure," then 10 days notice shall be given by plaintiff to such defendant corporation prior to divulging such material in any legal proceeding (other than a Grand Jury proceeding) to which the defendant corporation is not a party.

X

Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to this Final Judgment to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Final Judgment or for the modification of any of the provisions herein and for the enforcement of compliance herewith and the punishment of the violation of any of the provisions contained herein. The duration of this decree shall be ten (10) years from the date of entry of the Final Judgment.

Entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: This 31st day of January , 1979.

/s/ Robert M. Takasugi
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-11-