

The Department of Justice is posting this court document as a courtesy to the public. An official copy of this court document can be obtained (irrespective of any markings that may indicate that the document was filed under seal or otherwise marked as not available for public dissemination) on the Public Access to Court Electronic Records website at <a href="https://pacer.uscourts.gov">https://pacer.uscourts.gov</a>. In some cases, the Department may have edited the document to redact personally identifiable information (PII) such as addresses, phone numbers, bank account numbers, or similar information, and to make the document accessible under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, which requires federal agencies to make electronic information accessible to people with disabilities.

## Exhibit P

```
Page 1
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
2
      In re: UNITED STATES of
3
      AMERICA and the STATE of
4
     MICHIGAN,
5
                 Plaintiffs, :
                                 :Case No.
6
                                 :5:15-CV-12311-JEL-DRG
      v.
7
      HILLSDALE COMMUNITY HEALTH:
      CENTER, W.A. FOOTE MEMORIAL:
     HOSPITAL d/b/a ALLEGIANCE
     Health, COMMUNITY HEALTH
9
      CENTER of BRANCH COUNTY,
      and PROMEDICA HEALTH
      SYSTEM, INC.,
10
                 Defendants. :
11
12
         CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
13
                Wednesday, December 14, 2016
14
              Video Deposition of SUSAN H. MANNING,
15
      PH.D., taken at the Law Offices of Baker
16
17
      Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC,
      901 K Street NW, Washington, D.C., beginning at
18
19
      9:35 a.m., before Ryan K. Black, a Registered
      Professional Reporter, Certified Livenote
20
      Reporter and Notary Public in and for District
21
      of Columbia.
22
```

marketing in Hillsdale County?

- A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question, please?
  - Q. Sure.

2.1

Did you form an opinion on whether

Allegiance and Hillsdale Hospital, in fact, had
an agreement that Allegiance would restrict

marketing in Hillsdale County?

- A. I did not form an opinion on that.

  I was asked to assume that there was an alleged agreement.
- Q. Did any of the work you performed prove the existence of an agreement that Allegiance would restrict marketing in Hillsdale County?
- A. Given that my analysis assumes their agreement, my analysis would not be, I guess, informative of your -- on your question.
- Q. So the work you performed did not prove the existence of an agreement that Allegiance would restrict marketing in Hillsdale County; is that correct?

- A. Since my agreement assumes -- since my analysis assumes their agreement -- there is an agreement. It does -- it is, by definition, assuming it occurred, so I'm not sure how my analysis would go to the proof of that agreement.
- Q. And, similarly, the work you performed could not disprove the existence of an agreement that Allegiance would restrict marketing in Hillsdale County; is that correct?
- A. On the fact of whether or not there is an agreement, my analysis would -- would not go to the fact of the agreement; however, because I'm assuming there is an agreement, my analysis does examine what the effect on competition, and, subsequently, on whether or not there were anticompetitive or procompetitive effects, my analysis would, in fact, cover that.
  - O. So --

2.0

2.1

A. So I want to be clear that the fact of the agreement is very different than what the effect of the agreement would be.

- Q. So just limiting the question to the fact of your agreement, is it correct that the work you performed did not disprove the fact of an agreement that Allegiance would restrict marketing in Hillsdale County?
- A. My analysis was not designed to answer the question that you pose, so my analysis does not go to that inquiry.
- Q. Do you agree that Allegiance competes with Hillsdale Hospital?
  - A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. Do you agree that hospitals use marketing to attract patients?
- A. I agree that marketing is one element of competition for patients, yes, that hospitals typically use.
- Q. So do you agree that marketing is one thing that hospitals use to attract patients?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you agree that hospital marketing is a way that hospitals compete?
- A. Yes.

- relied on, the Court did exclude my analysis.
  - Q. Is that the only time that a court has rejected your expert testimony?
    - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q. To your knowledge, has a court in any other case criticized your expert opinion?
- A. Courts, obviously in reaching their opinion, accept part of an expert's -- may accept part of an expert's opinion and may accept the other expert's opinion, so there are -- there are cases that I've testified where the Court accepted some of my opinion and relied on that and in other cases chose to adopt the opinion of the other expert.
- Q. And in some of those cases, to your knowledge, did a court, in particular, criticize the potions of your expert opinion that the Court did not accept?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. And is customer allocation a form of market allocation?
- A. Yes.

Susan H. Manning, Ph.D

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the aforesaid testimony was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and place indicated; that said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the testimony of said deponent was correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision with computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is a true and correct record of the testimony given by the witness; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this 26th day of December 2016.

Ryan K. Black

John R. Han.