| | Case 3:17-cr-00249-CRB Doc | ument 1 Filed 05/08/17 Page 3 of 6 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | LESLIE A. WULFF (CSBN 277979) MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 269493) ANN CHO LUCAS (CSBN 309026) United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 934-5300 Facsimile: (415) 934-5399 Leslie.Wulff@usdoj.gov | FILED MAY - 8 2017 SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 8 | Attorneys for the United States | |
| 9 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 12 | SAN FRAN | CISCO RESIGN 7 249 |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | Case No. 17 CR |
| 15 | v. | INFORMATION |
| 16 | v. | |
| 17 | BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC, | 15 U.S.C. § 1 — Price Fixing |
| 18 | Defendant. | |
| 19 | The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges: | |
| 20 | I. | |
| 21 | DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE | |
| 22 | 1. BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC ("defendant") is hereby made defendant on the | |
| 23 | charge contained in this Information. | |
| 24 | 2. Beginning at least as early as the first quarter of 2011 and continuing until at | |
| 25 | least as late as the fourth quarter of 2013, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, | |
| 26 | in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant and its coconspirators | |
| 27 | knowingly entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to fix, raise, and | |
| 28 | maintain the prices of packaged seafood sold in the United States. The combination and | |
| | INFORMATION | |

Ш

conspiracy engaged in by the defendant and coconspirators was an unreasonable restraint of interstate commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement. 4 understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and coconspirators, the substantial terms of which were to fix, raise, and maintain prices of packaged seafood.

6 4. For purposes of this Information, packaged seafood consists of shelf-stable tuna fish. 7

II.

DEFENDANT AND COCONSPIRATORS

5. During the time period covered by this Information, the defendant was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and had its principal place of business in San Diego, California.

6. During the time period covered by this Information, the defendant was a producer of packaged seafood and was engaged in the sale of packaged seafood in the United States and elsewhere.

7. Various business organizations and individuals, not made defendants in this 16 17 Information, participated as coconspirators in the offense charged in this Information and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it. 18

8. Whenever in this Information reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any business organization, the allegation means that the business organization engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

III.

MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

9. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and its coconspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

| | Case 3:17-cr-00249-CRB Document 1 Filed 05/08/17 Page 5 of 6 | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| 1 | a. engaged in conversations and discussions and attended meetings with | | |
| 2 | representatives of other major packaged-seafood-producing firms; | | |
| 3 | b. agreed and reached mutual understandings during these conversations, | | |
| 4 | discussions, and meetings, to fix, raise, and maintain the prices of packaged seafood sold in the | | |
| 5 | United States; and | | |
| 6 | c. negotiated prices with customers and issued price announcements for | | |
| 7 | packaged seafood in accordance with the agreements and mutual understandings reached. | | |
| 8 | IV. | | |
| 9 | TRADE AND COMMERCE | | |
| 10 | 10. During the time period covered by this Information, packaged seafood sold by | | |
| 11 | the defendant and one or more of the coconspirator firms, and equipment and supplies | | |
| 12 | necessary to the production and distribution of packaged seafood, as well as payments for | | |
| 13 | packaged seafood, traveled in interstate commerce. | | |
| 14 | 11. During the time period covered by this Information, the business activities of | | |
| 15 | defendant and its coconspirators in connection with the sale of packaged seafood were within | | |
| 16 | the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate commerce. | | |
| 17 | W | | |
| 18 | N | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | N. | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Andrew C. Finch

Acting Assistant Attorney General

Brent Snyder Deputy Assistant Attorney General

am

Marvin N. Price, Jr. Director of Criminal Enforcement United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division

De aling

Brian Stretch United States Attorney Northern District of California

then

E. Kate Patchen Chief, San Francisco Office

Whit

Leslie A. Wulff Manish Kumar Ann Cho Lucas Trial Attorneys United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division