BERNARD H. MEYERS
GLENDA R. JERMANOVICH
SHAUNA I. MARSHALL
Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
Room 16216C
Box 36046
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: 415/556-6300

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY,

Defendant.

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

Civil No. C-78-1785 WAI

STIPULATION

Filed: December 15, 1980

Entered: March 12, 1981

It is stipulated by and between the plaintiff, the United States of America, and the defendant, B.F. Goodrich Company, by their respective attorneys, that:

- 1. A Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of either party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act [15 U.S.C. § 16] and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendant and by filing said notice with the Court.
- 2. In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever and

1 080-93 5P 77

the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to plaintiff and defendant in this or any other proceeding.

DATED: December 15, 1980

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

andred The thork

SANFORD M LITVACK

Assistant Attorney General

Antitrust Division

JOSEPH H. WIDMAR

BERNARD H. MEYERS

GLENDA R. JERMANOVICH

ANTHONY E DESMOND

ANTHONY E. DESMOND

Attorneys/

Department of Justice

SHAUNA I. MARSHALL

Attorneys

Department of Justice

FOR DEFENDANT B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY

JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE Attorneys for Defendant B.F. Goodrich Company

By:

GERALD W. PALMER, Esq.

and

HAROLD G. MUNTER, Esq.

Corporate Counsel

THE B. F. GOODRICH COMPANY

Harold F. Munter

HAROLD G. MUNTER, Esq.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

Civ. No. C-78-1785-WAI

v.

B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY,

FINAL JUDGMENT

Defendant.

Filed: December 15, 1980 Entered: March 12, 1981

Plaintiff, the United States of America, having filed its Complaint herein on August 8, 1978, and defendant, The B.F. Goodrich Company, having answered the Complaint and having appeared by its counsel, and both parties by their respective attorneys having consented to the making and entry of this Final Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and without this Final Judgment constituting evidence against or an admission by any party consenting hereto with respect to any such issue:

NOW, THEREFORE, before the taking of any testimony and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, as follows:

I.

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and of the parties hereto. The Complaint states claims upon

which relief may be granted against the defendant under Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

II.

As used in this Final Judgment:

- (A) "Defendant" means defendant The B.F. Goodrich Company;
- (B) "Person" means any individual, partnership, corporation, association, firm or any other business or legal entity;
- (C) "Tires" means tires used on automobiles, recreational vehicles and/or light trucks, except for those tires actually delivered to and installed by original equipment manufacturers on new vehicles;
- (D) "Dealer" or "tire dealer" shall mean any person who is in the business of selling tires; and
- (E) "B.F. Goodrich brand tires" means tires on which appear the name "B.F. Goodrich," "BFG," "Goodrich," or the B.F. Goodrich pentagonal, or any other tires sold through the BFG Brand Replacement Sales organization, or successors thereto.

III.

- (A) This Final Judgment applies to the defendant and to each of its officers, directors, agents, employees, domestic subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and to all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them who shall have received actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise; provided, however, that nothing contained herein shall apply to any transaction solely between defendant and its officers, directors, employees, domestic subsidiaries, or any of them when acting in such capacity.
- (B) The Defendant shall require, as a condition of the sale or other disposition of all, or substantially all, of the assets of the B.F. Goodrich Tire Group (formerly the B.F. Goodrich Tire Division), or that subdivision of defendant (by whatever name that subdivision is known) responsible for producing and distributing

B.F. Goodrich brand tires, that the acquiring party agree to be bound by the provisions of this Final Judgment. The acquiring party shall file with the Court, and serve upon the Plaintiff, its consent to be bound by this Final Judgment.

(C) This Final Judgment shall apply throughout the United States.

IV.

Defendant is enjoined and restrained from:

- (A) entering into, adhering to, maintaining, or enforcing any contract, agreement, combination or mutual understanding with any tire dealer:
 - (1) to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the price, discount, markup or margin of profit at which B.F. Goodrich brand tires are sold, or offered for sale; and/or
 - (2) by which said dealer or defendant is not to advertise the price of B.F. Goodrich brand tires;
- (B) encouraging or soliciting any complaints by any tire dealer regarding the pricing, discounting or price-related advertising of B.F. Goodrich brand tires by any other tire dealer; or
- (C) taking any coercive or joint action with respect to any tire dealer because of any complaint or other communication by any other tire dealer or wholly-owned B.F. Goodrich tire outlet regarding the pricing, discounting or price-related advertising of B.F. Goodrich brand tires.

V.

Defendant is enjoined and restrained from:

(A) suggesting or requiring that any tire dealer establish, adopt, advertise or adhere to any fixed, suggested or specified price, discount, markup or margin of profit on the sale of any B.F. Goodrich brand tires; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall prohibit the defendant from unilaterally suggesting

1 resale prices, discounts, markups or margins of profit for the sale 2 of B.F. Goodrich brand tires on the conditions (a) that any such oral suggestion shall include a statement in substance that each 3 dealer, and the defendant as well, is free to sell at whatever 4 5 prices, discounts, markups or margins of profit such dealer or 6 the defendant may unilaterally choose; (b) that any such written 7 suggestion shall include a statement on each page of any such 8 writing in substance that each dealer, and the defendant as well, 9 is free to sell at whatever prices, discounts, markups or margins 10 of profit such dealer or defendant may unilaterally choose; and 11 (c) that no less frequently than once each year defendant notify 12 its dealers that notwithstanding any such suggestion, each dealer, 13 and the defendant as well, is free to sell at whatever prices, discounts, markups or margins of profit each may unilaterally 14 15 choose; 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

- suggesting or requiring that any tire dealer not offer (B) the tire dealer's own guarantee on any B.F. Goodrich brand tire; or
- coercing or attempting to coerce any tire dealer to change its price or terms for the sale of B.F. Goodrich brand tires.

VI.

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall prohibit defendant from:

Proposing to any B.F. Goodrich dealer or prospective B.F. Goodrich dealer that it join in any joint advertisement to promote the sale of B.F. Goodrich brand tires, or agreeing with any such dealer to participate in any such joint advertisement or publishing or causing to be published any such joint advertisement; provided, however, that any such actual or proposed joint advertisement shall include a statement to the effect that any advertised prices are those offered by defendant and are not necessarily those offered by participating B.F. Goodrich dealers;

- (B) Engaging in negotiations or communications with any person for the purpose of a proposed or actual bona fide sale by defendant of B.F. Goodrich brand tires; or
- (C) Formulating or submitting with any B.F. Goodrich dealer a bona fide joint bid or quotation, made in writing and in response to a formal invitation, for the sale of B.F. Goodrich brand tires to any public or private entity where performance of any contract entered into as a result of that bid or quotation is to be carried out, in whole or in part, through the sale or delivery of B.F. Goodrich brand tires by one or more B.F. Goodrich dealers.

VII.

Defendant is ordered and directed:

- (A) within 60 days after entry of this Final Judgment to provide a copy of this Final Judgment to
 - (1) each of defendant's officers and directors with responsibility for selling, pricing or advertising B.F. Goodrich brand tires;
 - (2) each of defendant's employees with responsibility for selling, pricing, or advertising B.F. Goodrich brand tires to dealers; and
 - (3) each of defendant's employees having managerial responsibility for selling, pricing or advertising
- B.F. Goodrich brand tires from B.F. Goodrich retail outlets; and to obtain and retain for 10 years documents sufficient to show receipt thereof;

.:::::...

....

- (B) for a period of 10 years from the date of entry of this Final Judgment, to provide a copy of this Final Judgment to each of its future officers, directors and employees in the positions described in Paragraph A above and to obtain and retain for 10 years documents sufficient to show receipt thereof;
- (C) to file with this Court and serve upon the plaintiff within 90 days after the date of entry of this Final Judgment an

affidavit as to the fact and manner of compliance with subsections A and D of this Section VII;

- (D) within 60 days after entry of this Final Judgment to provide a copy of this Final Judgment to all of defendant's present dealers, and, within the ensuing four years, to provide a copy of this Final Judgment to each new franchised dealer;
- (E) during the period in which this Final Judgment is in effect, to advise each of its officers and employees in positions described in paragraph (A) above of its and their obligations under this Final Judgment. Defendant shall maintain a program to insure compliance with this Final Judgment, which program shall include at a minimum the following with respect to each of such persons:
 - (1) the annual distribution to them of this Final Judgment;
 - directive setting forth the defendant's antitrust compliance program, with such directive to include (a) an admonition that non-compliance with such program and this Final Judgment will result in appropriate disciplinary action determined by the defendant and which may include dismissal, and (b) advice that the defendant's legal staff is available at all reasonable times to confer with such persons regarding any compliance questions or problems;
 - (3) the imposition of an annual requirement that each of them sign and submit to the defendant a certificate in substantially the following form:
 - (1) has received a copy of the Final Judgment and a written directive setting forth the

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he/she

Company policy regarding compliance with the antitrust laws and with such Final Judgment,

(2) has read and understands such Final Judgment and directive, (3) has been advised and understands that non-compliance with such policy and Final Judgment will result in appropriate disciplinary measures determined by the Company and which may include dismissal, and (4) has been advised and understands that non-compliance with the Final Judgment may also result in conviction for contempt of court and imprisonment and/or fine; and

(4) the holding of one or more meetings with them to review the terms of this Final Judgment and the obligations it imposes, with such meetings to be arranged and conducted so that each of them attends at least one such meeting within approximately each twelvementh period.

VIII.

For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, and subject to any legally recognized privilege, from time to time:

- (A) duly authorized representatives of the Department of Justice shall, upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to defendant made to its principal office, be permitted:
 - (1) access during the office hours of defendant to inspect and copy all books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda, and other records and documents, in the possession or under the control of defendant, which may have counsel present, relating to any matters contained in this Final Judgment; and

- (2) subject to the reasonable convenience of defendant and without restraint or interference from it, to interview officers, directors, agents, partners, members or employees of defendant, who may have counsel present, regarding any such matters;
- (B) defendant, upon the written request of the Attorney
 General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust
 Division, shall submit such reports in writing, under oath if
 requested, with respect to any of the matters contained in this
 Final Judgment as may from time to time be requested.

No information or documents obtained by the means provided in this Section VIII shall be divulged by any representative of 'the Department of Justice to any person other than a duly authorized representative of the Executive Branch of the United States, except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgement, or as otherwise permitted by law;

(C) if at the time information or documents are furnished by the defendant to plaintiff, the defendant represents and identifies in writing the material in any such information or documents to which a claim for protection may be asserted under Rule 26(c)(7) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the defendant marks each pertinent page of such material, "Subject to Claim of Protection under Rule 26(c)(7) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure," then ten (10) days' notice shall be given by plaintiff to the defendant prior to divulging such material in any legal proceeding (other than a grand jury proceeding) to which the defendant is not a party.

IX

Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enabling either of the parties to this Final Judgment to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders or directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of

. 080-93 :P 77 this Final Judgment, for the modification of any of the provisions hereof, for the enforcement of compliance therewith, and for the punishment of violation thereof.

Χ.

The provisions of this Final Judgment shall be in effect for a period of not longer than ten (10) years from the date of entry of this Final Judgment.

XI.

Entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: March 12, 1981

William A. Ingram
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

#

||-