

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

SWEETHEART BAKERS, INC.,
THE E. H. KOESTER BAKERY
COMPANY, and
THE HAUSWALD BAKERY,

Defendants.)

Civil No. 71-821 T

Filed: July 29, 1971

COMPLAINT

The United States of America, by its attorneys, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this action against the defendants named herein and complains and alleges as follows:

I

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This complaint is filed under Section 4 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 4), commonly known as the Sherman Act, in order to prevent and restrain the continuing violation by the defendants, as hereinafter alleged, of Section 1 of said Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

2. Each of the defendants transacts business and is found within the District of Maryland.

II

DEFINITIONS

3. When used herein the term:

(a) "Bread" means all sizes and weights of pan-baked bread and rolls; and

(b) "Eastern Shore market" means the counties of Kent, Queen Annes, Talbot, Dorchester, Somerset, Wicomico, Caroline, and Worcester in the State of Maryland; the counties of Sussex, Kent and the southern portion of New Castle in the State of Delaware; and the northern portion of the county of Accomack in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

III

DEFENDANTS

4. The corporations named below are hereby made defendants herein. Each of these corporations is organized and exists under the laws of Maryland and has its principal place of business in the city indicated below. Within the period of time covered by this complaint, each of these defendants has engaged in the business of producing, selling, or distributing bread in the Eastern Shore market:

<u>Name of Corporation</u>	<u>Principal Place of Business</u>
Sweetheart Bakers, Inc.	Salisbury, Maryland
The E. H. Koester Bakery Company	Baltimore, Maryland
The Hauswald Bakery	Baltimore, Maryland

IV

CO-CONSPIRATORS

5. Various individuals not made defendants in this complaint participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

V

NATURE OF TRADE AND COMMERCE

6. During the period of time covered by this complaint, each defendant produced at its baking plant or plants in the

cities indicated below, bread which was sold or distributed in the Eastern Shore market:

<u>Title</u>	<u>Plant Location</u>
Sweetheart Bakers, Inc.	Salisbury, Maryland
The E. H. Koester Bakery Company.	Baltimore, Maryland
The Hauswald Bakery	Baltimore, Maryland

7. Substantial quantities of ingredients used in the production of bread sold or distributed by the defendants in the Eastern Shore market are shipped in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate commerce to the baking plants of the defendants from states other than those in which such baking plants are located.

8. Substantial quantities of bread produced by the defendants in their respective plants are sold and distributed in other states, and are shipped into such other states in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate commerce.

9. During the period of time covered by this complaint, total sales of bread in the Eastern Shore market by the defendants were at least \$5 million annually.

VI

OFFENSE CHARGED

10. Beginning at least as early as April 1969, the exact dates being to the plaintiff unknown, and continuing thereafter up to and including the date of the filing of this complaint (with the exception of The Hauswald Bakery which ceased serving the Eastern Shore market in or about August 1970), the defendants and co-conspirators have engaged in a continuous combination and conspiracy in unreasonable restraint of the aforesaid interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Act of Congress of July 2,

1890, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 1), commonly known as the Sherman Act. This combination and conspiracy will continue unless the relief hereinafter prayed for is granted.

11. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendants and co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which have been and are: (a) to fix, raise and maintain the prices of bread in the Eastern Shore market; and (b) to fix and stabilize other terms and conditions for the sale of bread in the Eastern Shore market.

12. For the purpose of forming and effectuating the aforesaid combination and conspiracy, the defendants and co-conspirators have done those things which, as hereinbefore charged, they combined and conspired to do.

VII

EFFECTS

13. The aforesaid combination and conspiracy has had the following effects, among others:

(a) Prices of bread in the Eastern Shore market have been raised to and maintained at artificial, non-competitive levels;

(b) Purchasers have been deprived of free and open competition in the sale of bread in the Eastern Shore market; and

(c) Competition in the sale of bread in the Eastern Shore market among defendants and co-conspirators has been restrained.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays:

1. That the Court adjudge and decree that the defendants engaged in an unlawful combination and conspiracy in restraint of the aforesaid interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

2. That each of the defendants, its subsidiaries, successors, transferees, assigns, and the respective officers, directors, agents, and employees thereof, and all other persons acting or claiming to act on behalf thereof, be perpetually enjoined and restrained from, in any manner, directly or indirectly:

(a) Continuing, maintaining or renewing the combination and conspiracy hereinbefore alleged, or from engaging in any other combination or conspiracy having a similar purpose or effect, or from adopting or following any practice, plan, program, or device having a similar purpose or effect;

(b) Entering into any agreement, arrangement, or understanding with any other person:

(1) To raise or maintain prices or to fix or stabilize other terms or conditions for the sale of any bakery product to any third person; or

(2) To exchange or communicate any information concerning the prices or other terms or conditions at or upon which any bakery product is to be sold to any third person; and


(c) Communicating to any other person information concerning the prices or other terms or conditions for the sale of any bakery product to any third person prior to the release thereof to the public or trade generally.

3. That each defendant be ordered to individually and independently review and determine its prices and other terms and conditions for the sale of bakery products, put into effect those prices, terms, and conditions so determined, and file with this Court affidavits certifying that these requirements have been fulfilled.

4. That the Court order each defendant to maintain records showing meetings with or communications to or from any other producer of any bakery products.

5. That the plaintiff have such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.


6. That the plaintiff recover the costs of this suit.




JOHN N. MITCHELL
Attorney General



J. E. WATERS



RICHARD W. McLAREN
Assistant Attorney General



DONALD J. FRICKEL
Attorneys, Department of Justice



BADDID J. RASHID



GERALD A. CONNELL
Attorneys, Department of Justice

GEORGE BEALL
United States Attorney