From: Cameron Myhrvold

Monday, February 02, 1998 6:16 PM Sent: Brad Chase; David Cole; Laura Jennings To:

John Ludwig; Rodney Vieira; Bill Veghte; Bill Koszewski; Bengt Akerlind Cc:

Subject: RE: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

News to me as well.

-Original Message From: Brad Chase

Sent:

To:

David Cole; Laura Jennings; Cameron Myhrvold John Ludwig; Rodney Vieira; Bill Veghte; Bill Koszewski; Bengt Akerlind RE: OEM Internet Plans: Summary Cc:

Subject:

huh? this is news to me. billk did you know anything about this

why are we doing this?

-Original Message---om: David Cole From:

Monday, February 02, 1998 3:40 PM Laura Jennings; Cameron Myhrvold Sent: To:

Cc: Brad Chase; John Ludwig; Rodney Vieira; Bill Veghte FW: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

Subject:

is this what you want to see happen?

--Original Me<u>s</u>sage-

Rodney Vieira From:

Sent: Monday, February 02, 1998 3:27 PM

To:

John Ludwig; David Cole Bill Veghte RE: OEM Internet Plans: Summary Subject:

I just got back from a meeting with bengta regarding Compaq. Apparently, we are days away from signing a deal with Compaq which allows them to put their own ISP sign up wizard in the Windows setup (in Welcome, I think). This means on our present course, we end up with two ISP sign up wizards in Welcome. We'll obviousily need to fix this problem.

Other interesting facts....

- Users will be given an email name like....rodneyv@presario.com
- Users are pointed at presario.com...their portal
- They will have lots of links to MS sites
- Their keyboard will have at least one key which points to presario.com

Rodney

-Original Message-

From: John Ludwig Sent: Monday, February 02, 1998 7:39 AM
To: Rodney Vieira; David Cole
Subject: Re: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

i view some of this as unavoidable. as long as people are willing to pay oems a finder's fee, they will always be motivated to work around our solution UNLESS we are also willing to pay oems a fee which i am very doubtful we would do.

-Original Message--

From: Rodney Vieira < rodneyv@MICROSOFT.com < mailto:rodneyv@MICROSOFT.com >> To: David Cole < davidcol@microsoft.com < mailto:davidcol@microsoft.com>>; John Ludwig < iohnlu@MICROSOFT.com <mailto:johnlu@MICROSOFT.com>>
Date: Monday, February 02, 1998 7:38 AM
Subject: FW: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

Interesting data below on a trend which has OEMs and ISPs partnering. OEMs give ISPs a desktop icon for a



MS98 0112073 CONFIDENTIAL

bounty. This complicates our plan to consolidate and simplify the process of getting online. Not to mention making it difficult to point users to the portal page. My thoughts are that at a minimum we need to convince OEM/ISPs to use the infastructure we are putting in place for Windows....the "Get Connected" software. This way users have one consistent method for getting on line. Additionally, they are funneled through our referral server so that we can assign them identity and other items in the future. Obviousily, we would have to allow OEMs/ISPs to have an exclusive presence on the referral server if the user came from the OEMs PC.

I'm meeting with cameronm, lauraj, and bradc on Wednesday to go over this and other issues related to ISPs/AOL.

Rodney

----Original Message---From: Brad Chase

Sent: Sunday, February 01, 1998 12:10 PM

To: Laura Jennings; Cameron Myhrvold; Rodney Vieira

Subject: FW: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

fyi

----Original Message----From: Bill Koszewski

Sent: Friday, January 30, 1998 11:00 AM

To: Brad Chase

Cc: Yusuf Mehdi; Sergio Pineda

Subject: Fw: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

Progress in response to your action item of learning more about OEMs' Internet Access programs. Sergio's initial report is below. Let us know if this data is what you want or if you have additional questions.

We will summarize this for you in a short slide deck by Tuesday.

Do you want a quick (30min.?) OEM review with Sergio, Yus and me ahead of time? If so, pls. have Nancy schedule.

Thanks

Bill

----Original Message-----

From: Sergio Pineda < sergiop@MICROSOFT.com < <mailto:sergiop@MICROSOFT.com>>>

To: Bill Koszewski < billk@MICROSOFT.com < <mailto:billk@MICROSOFT.com>>> Cc: Sergio Pineda < sergiop@MICROSOFT.com < <mailto:sergiop@MICROSOFT.com>>>>

Date: Friday, January 30, 1998 10:29 AM Subject: OEM Internet Plans: Summary

To collect my data I've spoken with AMs and few OEMs (HP, GW). Key findings include:

Which ISPs are striking business deals with OEMs?

- Trend is for more OEMs to offer a custom icon on the desktop to get the user online. Reason for this is
 ease of use and guarantees the OEM a bounty. Currently the following OEMs offer this implementation:
 HP, GW, Acer.
- OEMs are striking deals with a single ISP to drive users to the web:

OEN	l IS	Р

CPQ **GTE IBM** IGN GW Web America Networks (Note: GW brands the service) HP **GTE GTE** Acer PB No preferred ISP though they feature Prodigy in an special offers folder They are looking for a preferred ISP Dell NetCom Toshiba

AOL is not being considered by either PB or Dell as a preferred ISP. Reason is that both Dell and PB
have technical issues with AOL bits and Dell feels that AOL is too strong a player (ie. Dell may not get
what it wants if they go with AOL)

What fees are being paid to the OEM?

 OEMs won't reveal but it's between \$10-\$40 per user. Likely the \$40 fee is for deals that were signed at least 12 months ago. Doesn't seem realistic that an ISP would pay \$40/user today given that few if any are making any money in the access business

How is the offer to users structured?

- Users are not required to submit a credit card
- Users are given 51 free hours over 3 months: max of 2 hrs/day, 17 hrs/mo. This is how they stretch the 51 hours to 3 month

How many users are getting online?

OEMs won't reveal this data though HP said their implementation is driving more than 10% of new
Pavilion PC users to the Web. I doubt it's more than 20% because she said the 10% number is below their
expectations but they still plan to continue with this implementation.

How are the offers promoted?

 No special promotions other than the icon and any special folders created to promote the offer. Reason: too costly to create POP for merchandising