From:

Peggy O'Neill [Peggy.ONeill@oracle.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, September 16, 2003 05:09 John VanDecker@metagroup.com

To: Cc:

roland.ellingsen@metagroup.com: Ward.Sharon

Subject:

heads up: META mentioned in internal Oracle e-mail in PSFT lawsuit



Meta 1 doc.pdf

Hi John,

Attached is the full text of an e-mail trail where META is mentioned. PSFT MAY decide to make this e-mail public (they got court permission to d dn't want you to be caught off-guard.

As you can see, this was an internal e-mail discussion between me, Sharon and Betty about a draft of yours.

Predate don't hesitare to call me on my cell phone at 650-388-7961 if you want discuss this personally. As you know, our relationship with META is

very important to us.

Thanks Teggy

Peggy O'Neill From: Betty Cho Tc: SHARON, WARD CC: Re: Please review: Client Advisor Piece to Be Published on Tuesday 06/07/2003 01:37:06 AM EST Date: Great feedback, Betty! As I said in my other e-mail, as long as we the script" that LJE laid out in the con call --- and you highlight those details below --- I'm totally fine. Our call DID provide examples οf how we have clear options for supporting customers, and it's annoying the industry analysts are glossing over it. I dont' mind them thumping for being everly simplistic in migrating customers from PSFT to 11i ---'. 'm sure it's not as easy as LJE was trying to make it sound like. We've certainly wounded PSFT. I was just reviewing most of the media coverage and the industry analysts concur, our launching this hostile takeover attempt really highlights PSFT's weakness to the whole market. if we don't end up closing the deal, this is going to take PSFT time to And, of course, our corporate image of being aggressive, brash, and to the tune of a different drummer has been reinforced. I dunno about guys, but today I was very proud to be an Cracle employee! Peggy Betty Cho wrote: > Just throwing my two cents into the ring: > It will be hard to dissuade META from their opinion that a multi-ERP > vendor world will be better for their customers. (Despite the fact that > the trend is towards fuller suite offerings - they were really

> their naivete during the conference call when the analysts asserted
that
> Oracle's move to buy PSFT would benefit point solution vendors while

> the same breath suggesting that Oracle would deepen the depth and

```
> breadth of our apps portfolio by acquiring PSFT. A fuller and deeper
> suite of products is exactly why the suite vendors will have the
> advantage over best-of-breeds.) However, it's important for META to
> acknowledge that Oracle announced it's commitment to supporting
> PSFT customers. Furthermore, META should not discount that Oracle is
> offering PSFT customers the following options:
> 1) Stay on PSFT apps and be supported
> 2) Migrate to EBS -OR- to the equivalent product at no extra license
> 3) PSFT customers can take advantage of the ORCL Outsourcing offering
> the conduit towards EBS (which, in some respects, dilutes the
argument
> that PSFT customers will face a painful upgrade or migration to EBS)
> We need to start pushing the analysts to recognize that Oracle does
> to support PSFT customers and in a few different ways.
> ~BC
> Peggy O'Neill wrote:
> > Can you and Sharon please handle comments back to John
> > Thanks
\Rightarrow
> > Johr.VanDecker@metagroup.com wrote:
> > > Peggy,
> > >
>>> Wanted to give you the opportunity to review/comment on this
>>> piece. Hope all is well!
> > > Oracle Attempts to Cash in on ERP
> > > On June 9, Oracle will formally make a cash offer to acquire
> > PeopleSoft ($16/share). With the acquisition, Oracle will
> > discontinue selling PeopleSoft products to new prospects. Oracle
> > claims that it will, however, continue to support all PeopleSoft
> > products and begin incorporating PeopleSoft features into future
> > versions of the eBusiness Suite (EBS). At issue is the fate of
> > > the numerous organizations that currently employ PeopleSoft
> > > products.
> > > From Oracle's perspective, customers could migrate from
> > > PeopleSoft 7.x or 8.x to EBS, although it is questionable as to
> > what it will take for that migration (we believe it will be
> > > comparable to a new install), including moving non-Oracle
> > database users to the Oracle database. Oracle gets to preserve
> > > its own architecture (e.g., Oracle tools, PL/SQL, forms), and
> > also claims that it will add both horizontal (we suspect
> > PeopleSoft EMP and SRM would be good candidates) and vertical
 > > > components from the PeopleSoft suite.
```

The second section is a second second

```
>>> From our perspective, PeopleSoft will undoubtedly despise this
> > takeover bid and accuse Oracle of disrupting the
> > > marketplace/ruining the JD Edwards deal (which should be
> > abandoned if they succeed). The only real issue is whether
> > > PeopleSoft can stop it, or get the price raised higher.
> > Bottom Line: While Oracle might be able to succeed with this
> > acquisition, the migration for PeopleSoft customers will be
> > extremely difficult. JD Edwards would remain marginalized, and
> > the key players would become SAP, Oracle, and Microsoft, with IBM
> > > missing out on a major opportunity. An SAP, Oracle,
> > PeopleSoft/JDE, Microsoft world provides better choices for our
> > > clients.
5 5 5
> > > John E. Van Decker
> > Vice President, Technology Research Services
> > > john.vandecker@metagroup.com
> > > META Group, Inc. (http://www.metagroup.com)
> > > Cffice: 908-454-3930
>> > Cell: 908-419-4162
> > > For briefings, please contact:
> > > Kirsty Sharrock, 203-973-6740
> > > kirsten.sharrock@metagroup.com
> > >
> > > META Group Return On Intelligence*
> > >
> > > * A service mark of META Group, Inc.
        Receive e-mail newsletters and alerts featuring the latest
       insights and actionable guidance from META Group's
>>> industry-leading analysts on important technology, business, and
                  management trends and best practices!
       Subscribe now at: http://www.metagroup.com/newsletters
> > >
```