each document be filed. In the event that confidential treatment of the document is requested, at least four (4) additional copies must be filed, in which the confidential information must be deleted (see the following paragraph for further information regarding confidential business information). The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, ftp:// ftp.usitc.gov/pub/reports/ electronic_filing_handbook.pdf). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000) or edis@usitc.gov).

Any submissions that contain confidential business information must also conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages be clearly marked as to whether they are the "confidential" or "nonconfidential" version, and that the confidential business information be clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available in the Office of the Secretary to the Commission for inspection by interested parties.

The Commission may include some or all of the confidential business information submitted in the course of these investigations in the report it sends to the USTR and the President. However, should the Commission publish a public version of this report, such confidential business information will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*. Hearing impaired individuals may obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

List of Subjects: Malaysia, tariffs, and imports.

Issued: March 24, 2006.

By order of the Commission. **Marilyn R. Abbott,** Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E6–4609 Filed 3–29–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Proposed Termination of Judgment

Notice is hereby given that Defendant Rolex Watch U.S.A., Inc. ("Rolex"), successor in interest to Defendant the American Rolex Watch Corporation in United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Civil Action No. 96-170 (S.D.N.Y.), has filed a motion to terminate the Final Judgment entered in that matter on March 9, 1960 ("Final Judgment") and that the Department of Justice ("the Department"), Antitrust Division, in a stipulation also field with the Court, has tentatively consented to termination of the Final Judgment, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments.

The Final Judgment arose out of a 1950s investigation of the anticompetitive practices of the Swiss watch industry, including Swiss watch manufacturers, Swiss trade associations, and their United States importers. The United States filed a complaint against more than twenty watch companies and trade association in 1954, including the American Rolex Watch Corporation. United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Civil Action No. 96–170 (S.D.N.Y. Complaint filed Oct. 19, 1954). The United States made several allegations in its complaint. It charged that certain Swiss and U.S. manufacturers and sellers of Swiss watches and watch parts engaged in a conspiracy "to restrict," eliminate and discourage the manufacture of watches and watch parts in the United States, and to restrain United States imports and exports of watches and watch parts for manufacturing and repair purposes." Id. The United States also charged that these companies agreed to fix minimum pieces for watches and maximum prices for repair parts, regulate the use and distribution of watches and repair parts, and boycott those who violated these restrictions. Id. The conspiracy came about through the adoption and enforcement of an agreement known as the Collective Convention of the Swiss Watch Industry. "The purpose of the Collection Convention was to protect, develop and stabilize the Swiss watch industry and to impede the growth of

competitive watch industries outside of Switzerland." United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., 1963–1 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶ 70,600, at 77,426 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 20, 1962).

On March 9, 1960, prior to trial, the United States and the defendant importers named in the complaint, including Rolex, agreed to enter into the Final Judgment in lieu of going to trial. United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 69,655 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 9, 1960).

The Department has filed with the Court a memorandum setting forth the reasons why the United States believes that termination of the Final Judgment would serve the public interest. Copies of Rolex's motion to terminate, the stipulation containing the United States' tentative consent, the United States' memorandum, and all further papers filed with the Court in connection with Rolex's motion will be available for inspection at the Antitrust Documents Group, Antitrust Division, Room 215, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed termination of the Final Judgment to the United States. Such comments must be received by the Antitrust Division within sixty (60) days and will be filed with the Court by the United States. Comments should be addressed to John R. Read, Chief, Litigation III Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20530.

Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations. [FR Doc. 06–3059 Filed 3–29–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

Museums and Libraries Engaging America's Youth: Study of IMLS Funded Grants, Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: Institute of Museum and Library Services. **ACTION:** Submission to OMB for Review, Comment Request.

SUMMARY: The Institute of Museum and Library Services announces the