# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
V.	)
	)
	)
SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC. and	)
AMERITECH CORPORATION,	)
	)
Defendants.	)
	)

Civil No.: 1:99CV00715 Judge: Thomas Penfield Jackson

Filed: 03/23/99

#### **STIPULATION**

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

(1) The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in this Court.

(2) The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16, and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court. (3) Defendants shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, or until expiration of time for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though the same were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

(4) This Stipulation shall apply with equal force and effect to any amended proposedFinal Judgment agreed upon in writing by the parties and submitted to the Court.

(5) In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent, as provided in paragraph (2) above, or in the event that the Court declines to enter the proposed Final Judgment pursuant to this Stipulation, the time has expired for all appeals of any Court ruling declining entry of the proposed Final Judgment, and the Court has not otherwise ordered continued compliance with the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, then the parties are released from all further obligations under this Stipulation, and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

(6) Defendants represent that the divestiture ordered in the proposed Final Judgment can and will be made, and that defendants will later raise no claims of hardship or difficulty as grounds for asking the Court to modify any of the divestiture provisions contained therein.

Dated: March \_\_, 1999

### FOR PLANTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

Joel I. Klein Assistant Attorney General Donald J. Russell Chief, Telecommunications Task Force A. Douglas Melamed Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Constance K. Robinson Director of Operations and Merger Enforcement Laury Bobbish Assistant Chief, Telecommunications Task Force

Carl Willner D.C. Bar No. 412841 Michael Chaleff

Attorneys Telecommunications Task Force

U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 8000 Washington, D.C. 20530

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

FOR SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.:

Donald L. Flexner D.C. Bar No. 343269 Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2595

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

# FOR AMERITECH CORPORATION:

Richard J. Favretto D.C. Bar No. 156588 Mark W. Ryan D.C. Bar No. 359098 Mayer, Brown & Platt 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-1882

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

# STIPULATION APPROVED FOR FILING

Done this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1999

United States District Judge