



GOOG-DOJ-06877073

CONFIDENTIAL



Context:

Joint effort b/n sales finance (Gabe, Andrey, Ezra), product (Bellack), sell side GSL team (Chris L, Pooja) and Pricing teams (Luis)

Goals for today:

Share findings from annual rate card review Establish criteria for evaluating deals at PBSx Review proposed changes to the Finance PBSx deal model Agree on requirements for pre-PBSx prep materials



Start with a sample list of the problem statements. This is not exhaustive list.

Minimal debate

Different regional practices

PBSx decisions made based on specific partner situation and recent case practice, but we have not had specific guidance for executives

PA/PD discounts offered as an add-on, with no clear positive revenue impact, and 33% of revenue is already discounted



Context slide with good data: left hand side 2017 revenue by product, right hand side # of non-standard asks

Pause

4 large products with \$13bn revenue have in total around 20-25 exceptions. Discounts concentrated in DFP and Direct AdX deals (PA/PD/PG) --- about 60 discounts for DFP and about 100 in total for PA/PD/PG

Used data through end of June for # nonstandard asks to project forward

AFS Y/Y growth includes AFSMA, growth #s are through 6/21/17

2016 CPMs: OA - \$0.82 :: PA - \$3.49 :: PD - \$3.76 :: PG - \$7.84

DFP accounted for 17% of Global DRX net revenue in 2016. Data on right is from go/xpaar maintained by compliance, DFP asks are aggregated (i.e. if for one pub ask for core DFP discount + DRM discount, just count it as one ask)

For AdX PA/PD/PG # of asks, it is likely that other deal features would have triggered the ask

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dGn6KJ2_xyyGR_gz8CaVk7AkXsObBU4 aloPfSo6vuY/edit#gid=0



Rate card change needed if:			Data			Assessment	
1 Rate card not relevant and most deals need	Transaction type	# live pubs ¹	# of live LPS exceptions ²	# deals lost on price	Avg rev share ³	OA: No changes	
discounts beyond guidance	OA	3,815	13		19.8%	PA/PD/PG: No changes, broader strategic	
	PA	1,346	50	- Not - available	16.4%	reviews ongoing	
	PD	1,529	56	feedback: very few deals are	8.3%		
	PG	306	70	lost on price alone	7.2%		
2 Unlocks additional demand that more than compensates for margin hit			discounts only a clear path to			Addressable via targeted tiered discounts, not rate card	
 Proactively addresses future changes to product / ecosystem that are certain to reduce product value 			w feedback - no gh may need to			Little pressure from x- funct stakeholders to make a change	

We did our annual review of the rate cards for display programmatic products: Outcome is that we will not be making any changes, but there are some nuances:

- For OA there is no evidence that we need to change the rate cards due to limited amount of exceptions and limited amount of discounted revenue.
- For PA/PD no change to rate card, but broader strategic review ongoing (will explain later)
- For PG also no immediate change, but we will evaluate whether we should change rate card from 90 to 95 in 6 months (BCG study ongoing)

Current partner select deals: CBS (\$1.4M rev YTD, -\$2.3M loss YTD; -\$4.6 expected loss in 2017), Discovery, NFL, Scripps

Other AdX guarantee deals: Indian Railway, Manzoni, Le Monde, Orange, BT Video

For PG, assumption made that OPG has 0 exceptions, so assumed 90% PG across the board for calculation of avg. rev-share (because there is an OPG PG data issue. Looking at recent months, very small portion of OPG PG is discounted)

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/173wbJSnv8GLX6EIKYU_OjCiUBIKthDUIYs 5udUMwj0U/edit#gid=1470893284

Used at least \$0.01 of revenue as cut-off for counting in # pubs column. Query here: https://plx.corp.google.com/script/#a=qo%7Ci=google%253A%253Ascript_96._0ed11 6 a0b5 49ae bbbf b0380828655d



	rint.				Projected 20	017 Revenue % of	Total Revenu
> Projected 2017 DFP re		194 VoV)	Cor	e DFP	\$2	25m	84%
> Two approval processe		17.0101)	in the second seco	dit for AdX/AFC/	e'	28m	-10%
PBSx for core DFI		>20%		Nob monetized imp			
(29 YTD asks)				P Video		7m	10%
Director/VP appro				PAudience		0m	8%
AdX imps (38% of Recomme		e appendix slide		M (Sales Manager)		0m	4%
> Rate card has built in v			DR	M (Rich Media)		5m	2%
volume \rightarrow lower CPM)		(a Transfer		3m	1%
> Two live DFP discount			Oth	er		7m	3%
Viking and Tango (Dolphi > Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs.	7% of US+C	A DFP pubs ³ ha	IVE	al	\$2	59m	100%
> Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs.	7% of US+C 56% in EME	A DFP pubs ³ ha A	ive	al			
> Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs.	7% of US+C 56% in EME	A DFP pubs ³ ha	y Region		201	7 Projected DF Projected 2017	P asks: % 2017 DF
> Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs. Distributio 3 US+CA Pubs, n=76	7% of US+C 56% in EME	A DFP pubs ³ ha	ive	60-80% 3%		7 Projected DF	P asks: % 2017 DF
> Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs. Distributio 3 US+CA Pubs, n=76	7% of US+C 56% in EME on of DFP <20%	A DFP pubs ³ ha A discounts by 20-40%	ve y Region 40-60%	60-80%	201	7 Projected DF Projected 2017	P asks: % 2017 DF
> Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs. Distributio 3 US+CA Pubs, n=76 Pubs in Discount Range 3	7% of US+C 56% in EME on of DFP <20% 64%	A DFP pubs ³ ha A discounts by 20-40% 29%	ve y Region 40-80% 4%	60-80% 3%	201 Region	7 Projected DF Projected 2017 PBSx Asks	P asks: % 2017 DF Revenue
> Regional differences: DFP discounts > 40% vs. Distributio 3 US+CA Pubs, n=76	7% of US+C 56% in EME on of DFP <20%	A DFP pubs ³ ha A discounts by 20-40%	ve y Region 40-60%	60-80%	201 Region EMEA	7 Projected DF Projected 2017 PBSx Asks 36	P asks: % 2017 DF Revenue 21%

DFP discounts >20% and waived fees for AdX imps, US+CA+EMEA Viking deck; Dolphin deck, Tango deck

YTD Run rate of ~\$18M in waived DFP fees for AdX impressions for LPS pubs. Roughly half of AdX/AFC/AdMob impressions for LPS pubs on DFP are being credited, so we could give out another ~\$20M annually in waived fees if all the rest of LPS pubs on DFP got waived DFP fees on AdX overnight.

Recommendation: Director/VP approval requirement should be removed - ideally would just be manager approval instead but may not be possible from Compliance standpoint

For Europe where seems like there's a pricing problem. 1) would they be able to just use DFP SB? (Ezra guessing that doesn't have enough functionality) 2) If they're already using adx a lot, DFP bill should be low. Follow up meeting with Luis to discuss

Regional differences, DFP penetration in US is much higher than ROW, fewer new business opportunities

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zVqwLCT-bzHP15GprajbfAr49-

gEZicb wosWWwGK2M/edit#gid=0

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/17YFOYBKkKfxRQx6KoF5uNiejlEwGTyoh0l jjur7-AXM/edit#gid=0 https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dGn6KJ2_xyyGR_gz8CaVk7AkXsObBU4 aloPfSo6vuY/edit#gid=1291637042

Mention Viking and Tango - bulk discounting approval approach for emerging markets where ratecard pricing much higher than competition

Put box around DSM, footnote that it's being deprecated

Sensitivity in some countries: i.e. competition council approval needed

Try to understand reason why there are so many that are less than \$1K - do some digging - is it bc don't spend much on AdX, or just really small? Has pricing thought about things like Data transfer where costs have gone up more, and on core DFP costs have gone down. Data transfer they are looking into moving to cloud and costs will go down. There are 58 pubs with less than \$1K of Credits YTD



1) Only offer steeper discounts vs. current deal for top 10 AdX pub in region - region defined as AMS, EMEA, APAC

Don't forget about DFP - it still accounted for 17% of Global DRX net revenue in 2016





For each product we have 1 slide with facts and 1 with recommendation

Largest product (>\$5N)

30 asks, 17 unique partners, 13 live deals, 6 hitting tiers --- 5% of 5N revenue is discounted, 19.8% is actual rev share vs. 20% rate card.

We expect 3 additional deals to hit tiers soon: eBay Us, Turner, Newscorp, which will bring the % of discounted to 8% and avr rev share to 19.7%.

We have assessed pipeline, expect up to 8 deals, but mostly small.

Want to keep discounts to a min bc we're still seeing great growth. Potential AI: Add Y/Y Growth column to chart on right

YoY growth at top is data through May 2017

Note: For Hearst, all transaction types count towards OA tiers. For Weather Channel, Viacom and NBCU PA counts towards tiers. For Naspers deal, tiers apply to net revenue

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/168bwKKowzjpGVSvU9UC1FGHNhHYhIzcf LDo5C9H7Kmo/edit#gid=0 Link to News & Publishing Pipeline Assessment



Qualifying critiria vary b/n new deals vs. exinging deals.

Discount guidelines: 80/20 pricing works well,

Firm offer - most we'll get is an email, or it's verbal and our team documents it.

AdX SoW is <20% (rationale: pub has potential to grow vol on Adx, with ε >2)

\$1M/month in OA volume (rationale: \$ growth potential is substantial)

1) \$2M/month in OA volume (focus on top 20 partners, large enough to consider "bending rules")

Previously point 3 in discount guidelines was: 3) Define tiers on case-by-case basis to ensure stretch goals and meaningful increase in net rev (any discount should require YoY growth)

MEETING AI: Look at "standard" OA tiers and see if we need to validate that they "work", and see if we need to develop high/medium/low thresholds

1) OA discounts are last resort (e.g., consider first non-pricing value-add, PA discounts)

3) Consider discounting non-Google demand only vs. tiering all spend (once product/billing can support)

Fi	ndings			Implications	
۲	roj. 2017 Gross Rev oY Growth	9 \$462m -13% YoY		> PA and PD discounts usually or add-on, not because they generative revenue positive outcome	
E	Rate Card Rev Share Exception Rev Share Ng. 2017 Rev Share	10%	10% 5% 8.3%	> PA/PD discounts benefit the ov relationship, because they allow in negotiations while we hold fit	for flexibility
	6 of 2017 Gross Rev 6 of 2017 Net Rev ¹	7% 5%	4% 1%	pricing	
	Live Exceptions	50 1,346	56 1,529	> We should consider offering discounts. For PA and PD, in new processing of the should be addressed by the should be address	
	EMEA Live Exception Americas Live Exception APAC Live Exception	eptions 19	31 30	cases (with very few exceptions) rev share in half	we cut our
> L rev > ~	imited eviden renue positive of -50% of pubs g	ce that discount	ts lead to ne	> Roughly a third of both PA/PD already discounted, raising broad about the product/pricing strategy	der questions
A Monthly Rev April+		\$10K-\$100K	\$100K-\$1M		0K \$100K-\$500K >\$50
% Exception LPS Range (n=50)	Pubs in 16%	32%	48%	% Exception LPS Pubs in Range (n=56) 18% 43%	32% 7%
6 Standard LPS F	Pubs in 61%	28%	11%	% Standard LPS Pubs in 63% 29%	7% 1%

Pricing PA below OA creates a risk; since pubs can theoretically "invite everyone" to PA, i.e. replicate OA in PA and circumvent OA pricing

We've used this as an add-on; now that this is growing faster than OA, we've created a problem; we're focusing on fixing this in 2018 but are keeping status quo for now

PA is down 13% YoY (partly due to removal of GDN on PA) and PD is up 60% YoY - data through end of June Look into PA YoY growth w/out GDN

Live Deals #s in regional differences section don't exactly add up to exception #s in above section because some pubs have exceptions in multiple regions and are therefore double counted in the regional differences section

These are all 2017 #s

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/173wbJSnv8GLX6EIKYU_OjCiUBIKthDUIYs 5udUMwj0U/edit#gid=1946368761

- > Both are **secondary** products:
 - PA is 7% of gross AdX rev and 5% of net¹
 - PD is 4% of gross and 1% of net¹

> Limited # of live exceptions, but

- 50 PA and 56 PD out of 1,300+ partners
- Most exceptions outside of PBSx

> Regional differences in # of live deals:

- EMEA: 62 total (31 PD and 31 PA)
- Americas: 49 total (30 PD and 19 PA)
- APAC: 4 total (1 PD and 4 PA)

 Via F 	2 approval paths: 2BSx with no explicit disc go/nonstandard w/ binary Requires regional LPS lead PA/PD are subject to a qua If deal team requests both I Approvals valid for 12 mont	option to decre lemail approval rterly limit of deals PA + PD discounts	s by region (see be	low, no limit for PG)	
	St	andard Rev Share	Discounted Rev Sha	re Quarterly Allocation?	
	Open Auction	20%	NA	No	
	Private Auction (PA)	20%	10%	Yes	
	Preferred Deals (PD)	10%	5%	Yes	
	Programmatic Guaranteed (PG)	10%	5%	No	
		Channel	Region	Quarterly Allocation	
		LPS	Americas	3	
		LPS	EMEA	7	
	PA / PD	LPS	APAC	4	
		OPG	Global	5	

Exception process for non-standard direct AdX deals

If do both PA and PD for one pub, still just counts as 1

For PG, ratecard will change, will force recontracting as part of unification process. Will be opportunity for Sales to upsell if on 10% paper, but there will be time period where some on 10% and some on 5%.

EMEA - before the last couple quarters, they weren't really using them

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1kJqu49kJSNi40qe7upgghEfM4IkmGVAnxMfBaBluxI/edit#gid=0

1.	Maintain go/no upper limits: 10 Update region	on Immediate Approval constandard process for % for PA and 5% for PD al approvers and # of c AMS to reflect new GP	now with s		 ff Broader Strategic Review PM & GSL are kicking off broader eview to review: a. Product strategy a. Pricing strategy a. Discounting process & guidance
AMS AMS EMEA APAC OPG	Current Quarterly PAIPD Allocations	New Region AMS - News & Broadcast AMS - Search, Commerce & Apps Brazil SpLATAM Canada EMEA APAC OPG	Quartisity PA/PD Allocations 2 2 1 1 1 7 4 5	Approver Bonta Stewart Dave Graham Sergio Maria Matas Athvell Miaden Raickovic Noah Samuels Mel Silva Scott Sheffer	

Open question whether 7 is enough for EMEA - 80% of PA revenue coming from exceptions (top heavy), PD it's 44%

Political challenges there, so deal teams are using whatever carrots they have

Best practice: If a region runs out of exceptions \rightarrow they should have planned against their pipeline of renewals

Note from Inna - what is the competition and pricing for similar transaction types???

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/173wbJSnv8GLX6EIKYU_OjCiUBIKthDUIYs 5udUMwj0U/edit#gid=663689877 https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1kJqu49kJSNi40qe7upgghEfM4IkmGVAnxMfBaBluxl/edit#gid=326313699

Findings		Implications
Proj. 2017 Gross Rev Yo'Y Growth	PG \$207m +192% YoY	> We will evaluate a rate card change from 90/10 to 95/ in next 6 months. Rate card was originally set at 90/10 due to recontracting implications.
Rate Card Rev Share Exception Rev Share Avg. 2017 Rev Share	10% 5% 7.2%	Expecting results from BCG study Need to review TripAdvisor/CBS performance
% of 2017 Gross Rev % of 2017 Net Rev	2% 1%	 Two ways to obtain PG discount: Via PBSx (including > 95/5)
# Live Exceptions # Live Partners	70 306	 Via <u>AdX exceptions process</u> (up to 95/5, no quarter limit for PG)
# EMEA Live Exceptions # Americas Live Exceptions # APAC Live Exceptions	34 33 7	> PG discounts to 95/5 often offered as add-on , not because they generate net revenue positive outcome
> 70 live deals with exception: > 56 of top 100 LPS PG pubs of rev)		> PG discounts often benefit the overall relationship because they allow for flexibility in negotiations , while v hold firm position on OA pricing
> <u>4 deals</u> with tiered PG rev sh been approved; only TripAdvis deal live soon		

TripAdvisor deal signed late July, tier deal started Aug 1. Automated PG tiering solution now ready

Through end of June PG is up 192% Y/Y

4 tiered PG deals have been approved: TripAdvisor, CBS (has taken a long time in Legal, expected to be signed by end of September) + Roku (business need for AdX has diminished, not expected to be signed in near future), Turner (only offered in exchange for DFP Video, Turner won't migrate so deal is off the table)

These are all Q2 2017 #s. Fairfax deal approved >95/5 but Sales team didn't use it PG we want to be careful about discounts - once we change rate card, reference for "discount" should be 95/5 not 90/10

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/173wbJSnv8GLX6EIKYU_OjCiUBIKthDUIYs 5udUMwj0U/edit#gid=1470893284

- > PG remains a secondary product:
 - PG is 2% of gross AdX rev and 1% of net¹

> Limited # of live exceptions

- 70 out of 306 partners
- Most exceptions outside of PBSx

> Regional differences in # live exceptions:

- EMEA: 34
- Americas: 33
- APAC: 7



Limited test pricing for up to 5 reference partners (5 partners in next 6 months; its a selective promotion, looking for reference partners) Why does X get tiered pricing? It was a promotion for early adopters Top 20 named partner list + video threshold (set a min)

4 tiered PG deals have been approved: TripAdvisor, CBS, Roku, Turner (CBS recently re-approved and will be signed soon)

Based on June 2017 estimates, there are 13 pubs with at least \$10M in monthly Direct sales revenue (same # of pubs with >\$100M in estimated annual rev)

For TripAdvisor, we got exclusivity for PG deal types, we're getting a joint case study created

Removed: "> Regional approval still required in accordance with LPS Deal Governance proposal (see appendix)"

Removed: "> 2 options: 1: require RD approval & justification OR 2: remove RD approval and require no justification"

Note: May adjust recommended tiers based on regional differences

Luis and Pooja agreed that don't need regional director approval since will be rate

card anyway, no need to add additional points of friction. Pooja has been removed from Simba approvals. Still ask folks to submit through the form for tracking purposes

For now, anyone that has paper saying 90/10 would stay on 90/10, but this would be small # of pubs. We won't proactively message. When we recontract as part of unification project, that's when everyone who's not on 95/5 will move to 95/5, will be in 6-12 months

By the time we're ready to force recontracting, we'll have data from the BCG study and TripAdvisor to drive flat vs tiered go-forward rate card

Currently anyone can get 95/5, just need Simba amendment set up and approved Also, as we report how much discount we're giving, we should caveat that 95/5 isn't truly a discount in same way as 95/5 for PD

"Criteria" for tiers:

- Total reservation opportunity based on size of Direct business
- Current PG adoption
- Strategic importance to Google

> Partner commits to move significant Direct spend to PG (comp counsel)

Target % of PG spend in total direct spend

- top 20 pubs: 10%-20%
- top 20: 20%-30%
- top 20: 30%-50%
- top 20: >50%



Example of new deal model P&L				Cont	text
				•	Current deal model uses fully-loaded P&L
Summary of Deal Profitability over 24 mont	h contract		1		Proposal: adopt new model, which
Total DRX (USD000s)	Proposed Deal	Existing Terms	Change in terms		includes only deal-specific incremental costs (we piloted this approach with
Gross Revenue	3,346	2,213	1,133		Fairfax). Change
TAC (standard revenue share)	(2,247)	(1,429)	(818)	1	
TAC (above rate card)	(104)		(104)	Deal	model information points
Net Revenue	995	784	211		
Other Cost of Sales *	(104)	(70)	(35)		Existing terms presented for renewals to show
Machine Costs (3)	(248)	(163)	(84)	1	impact of increased discounts, as well as
Additional Deal Specific Costs	(20)		(20)		expected revenue uplift
Contribution margin	623	551	73		
Contribution margin (%)	19%	25%	-6%	2	Additional TAC vs. rate card clearly shows
Contribution margin if no discount	26%	28%	-2%	9	impact of discount
Contribution margin dilution (%)	-8%	-4%	-4%	\frown	Only variable costs that are incremental to
DFP Impressions (monthly)	350	250	100	(3)	deal are included - no allocation for sales
AdX Impressions (monthly) 5	120	75	45	\bigcirc	costs, product or overhead
AdX % of DFP impressions	34%	30%	4%		
AdX average TAC %	84%	80%	4%	4	Showing the contribution margin if no discounts or higher revenue shares are provided gives an indication of margin impact
		rd costs, spa		5	% of DFP impressions gives indication of 'Share of Wallet'

Uplift vs Status Quo places more emphasis on the incremental revenue and margin that the deal is bringing

Include New Discounts Summary Table that allows comparison vs. current terms on Net Revenue Basis

								(2)	
	Propsed Deal	(over life of co	ontract) 1	Existing Term	s (over life of c	ontract)		Delta	
	Discount / Rev Share Offered	Net Revenue (USD000s)	Value of discount (USD000s)	Discount / Rev Share Offered	Net Revenue (USD000s)	Value of discount (USD000s)	Discount / Rev Share Offered	Net Revenue (USD000s)	Value of discount (USD000s
Platforms									
OFP - Core AdServing	54%	201	236	35%	202	110	-19%	-1	125
DFP Audience - 1st Party Media	20%	25	6	0%	31	0	-20%	-6	6
Open Auction	82.5% TAC	694	59	80.0% TAC	452	0	2.5%	242	59
Private Auction	90.0% TAC	76	45	80.0% TAC	99	0	10.0%	-24	45
 ask is largest Delta shows the 	unt is the \$ value ne changes relativ with expected cha	e to existing	terms (for ren						

3





Deal Acco	untability	4
3 initiatives in	progress to increase deal accountability	
Post deal approval form	 Live already (link) Each deal team will submit the form to provide data on Contract terms Effective date Deal submission and Simba IDs 	
Regular updates from BC/FMG deals	 All BC/FMG deal teams will be required to provide email updates Performance update every 6 months Live PBSx discussions only if needed 	
Quarterly PBSx Discounts Review	 Sales Finance will launch a quarterly discounts report: Quarterly (1st edition in Oct 2017) 30 mins review with Don, Pooja, Gabe 	
		2





Run rate of ~\$18M in waived DFP fees for AdX impressions. Roughly half of AdX/AFC impressions for LPS pubs on DFP are being credited, so we could give out another ~\$20M annually in waived fees if all the rest of LPS pubs on DFP got waived DFP fees on AdX overnight.

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1zVqwLCT-bzHP15GprajbfAr49gEZicb_wosWWwGK2M/edit#gid=371301977

Publisher	Date Approved	Status
TripAdvisor	6/13/2017	Live August 1 with automated billing solution
CBS	10/17/2016	Held up in legal, expected to be signed by end of October
Roku	8/15/2016	Business need for AdX has diminished, not expected to be signed in near future
Turner	3/13/2017	Tiered PG only offered in exchange for DFP Video, Turner won't migrate so deal is off the table

4 tiered PG deals have been approved: TripAdvisor, CBS (has taken a long time in Legal, expected to be signed by end of September) + Roku (business need for AdX has diminished, not expected to be signed in near future), Turner (only offered in exchange for DFP Video, Turner won't migrate so deal is off the table)

D	Sub-Regional	Regional A	Global Approvals	
Region/Org	Approvals	Simba & go/nonstandard	Pre-PBSX	(PBSX)
APAC & EMEA		GTM Director ²	LCS Country Manager + Regional Committee ⁴	No change
ATAM/CANADA	No change: LPS manager or director ¹	LPS SpLATAM, Brazil, Canada manager ³	LCS + LPS SpLATAM, Brazil, Canada managers ⁵	Quorum: Don Harrison, Andrey Donov, Liz Daly,
JS	-	No change: Bonita Stewart	appropriate PMs, gTech	
Global Partnerships		No change: Dave Graham		

Proposal




https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DYVBOcGN6ljrxW7q1ZkeQqp0Z398CaYA qt5nnsKkLYU/edit#gid=0



If we keep current exception process, we should have separate quotas for PA/PD. Could ask Pooja to still ask them if need to go all the way to max threshold. PBSx should count as well.

Translate past extensions into points in an appendix slide, so teams have a sense for how it compares. We should note that quarterly point allocations require AMS and EMEA to be flat, and actually reduce a bit, force teams to trade-off. If goes to PBSx and it's a two year deal, we will count it towards next year's allocation

 Best practice: If a region runs out of points → they should have planned against their pipeline of renewals

Open question - who approves these PA/PD discounts in future state from Sales Finance side?

Note from Inna - what is the competition and pricing for similar transaction types???

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/173wbJSnv8GLX6EIKYU_OjCiUBIKthDUIYs 5udUMwj0U/edit#gid=663689877 https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1kJqu49kJSNi40qe7upgghEfM4IkmGVAnxMfBaBIuxI/edit#gid=326313699



https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1kJqu49kJSNi40qe7upgghEfM4IkmGVAnxMfBaBluxl/edit#gid=1877733534

Data.	: App	rove	d P	PA/P	D de	eals	5		Т	o be mo	ovedto	o app	endix		3
The reg	gions ha	ve been	utilizi	ing the	two P	A/PD	approva	l path	is with	varying	frequ	ency			
# Exceptions		23 2016			Q4 2016			21 2017			22 2017		Last 12	month	5
= Exceptions	go/nonstan	dard PBSx	Total	go/nons	td PBSx	Total	go/nonst	d PBSx	Total	go/nonst	d PBSx	Total	go/nonstd	PBSx	Total
LPS Americas	2	5	7	5	3	8	2	6	8	3	5	8	12	19	31
LPS EMEA	6	2	8	11	11	22	7	9	16	3	8	11	27	30	57
LPS APAC	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	4	2	6
OPG	2	0	2	2	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	2	7	0	7
				Q1 2	017	_	02	2017		H1 2	017				
		# Asks	90	o/nonstd		Total	go/nonstd		fotal g	o/nonstd P		tal			
		Dave		0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1 2				
		Bonita		0	4	4	1	2	3	1	6 7				
		Canada		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0				
		Brazil		1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0 1				
		SPLatam		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1 1				
	_														

Recommended allocations (effective beginning Q4): 1 each for CA, BR, SPLA, and 2 each for Dave/Bonita

The table that was cropped out aggregates PA and PD approvals by region, and assigns points based on the 10 percentage point movement on PA from standard 80/20 to 90/10 and 5pp movement on PD from standard 90/10 to 95/5

For group asks, like for Viking and Tango - only counted as one pub in above table

Luis - points structure could potentially create incentive to give discounts to bigger guys, but this may be OK. Should there be a threshold beyond which we should force teams to go to PBSx? Maybe an FYI email should be required. Might make sense for PA but not for PD

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1kJqu49kJSNi40qe7upgghEfM4IkmGVAnxMfBaBIuxI/edit#gid=1871736620

4 Co	re principles	
1	Drive incremental gross revenue growth	
2	Maintain net revenue margins	
3	Protect Open Auction margins	
4	Take competitive environment into account	
		33

Could be interesting to try to put some # targets there



PA: one pub to many advertisers - limited set of buyers decided on by pub with price floor

PD: one pub to one advertiser - set price but no volume commitments PG: one to one - set volume and price

Non-Standard Ad	X Rev Share Process		
If you are seeking a rate card pricing exce Private Auction: less than 80/20 1 Preferred Deals: less than 90/10 1 Programmatic Guaranteed: less t Requests that exceed these thres! You may submit a non-standard rev shar amendment to the partner's current Ad exception request is rejected, or require a your deal for PBSX approval at <u>go/getapp</u> Each region is allowed a specific number no longer apply to Programmatic Guara	o 90/10 revenue share to 95/5 revenue share an 90/10 to 95/5 revenue share holds (PA 90/10, PD 95/5, PG 95/5) must be submitted a request via PBSX or the pricing exception process. T X agreement. If your deal exceeds your region's q c change outside of the above parameters, PBSX appr	to PBSX for approval. he exception process requires an larterly allocation, your regional oval is required. You may submit d Preferred Deal discounts. Caps cas), Ben Faes (EMEA), Jeremy	
Americas: 3 per quarter	EMEA: 7 per quarter OPG: 5 per quarter	APAC: 4 per quarter	
			35



OA	Pipeline Assessment	for Potential Tiered D	eals
	Pasian	Potential asks for OA tiered deals	
	Region	Potential asks for OA tiered deals	
	EMEA	Bonnier, eBay (non-US)	
	APAC	Fairfax (PBSx 6/19)	
	Americas	Time, Forbes, WebMD, Vox, Vice	
	Notes: Based on informal feedback from r Link to News & Publishing pipeline		
			37



Top partners by transaction type

Gross revenue. 2016

Rank	Partner	OA spend (\$M)	Rank	Partner	PA sper (\$M)
1	Ebay	\$187.1	1	Ebay	\$31.6
2	IBM	\$77.0	2	IBM	\$29.8
3	Yahoo	\$75.8	3	21 Century Fox	\$18.7
4	IAC	\$63.1	4	IAC	\$15.2
5	Time Warner	\$53.6	5	CBS	\$12.4
10	Gannett	\$32.7	10	Answers.com	\$8.0
20	Naver Corp	\$22.37	20	Walt Disney	\$5.0

Top partners by transaction type

Gross revenue. 2016

Rank	Partner	PD spend (\$M)	Rank	Partner	PG spen (\$M)
1	Walt Disney	\$6.6	1	Tripadvisor	\$3.4
2	Axel Springer	\$5.5	2	New York Times	\$3.3
3	Time	\$5.2	3	APAX	\$3.3
4	LAN UK	\$4.5	4	Advance Pub	-
5	eBay	\$3.9	-		\$3.2
			5	Vox Media	\$2.9
10	New York	\$3.1			
	Times		10	Garnett	\$2.2
20	Purch Group	\$1.8	20	Washington P.	\$1.6

Year	Partners with PBSx asks for OA > 80/20	# of Partners	# of Asks*
2013	eBay, Gumtree, Fox News, Hearst, Demand Media, Weather	6	6
2014	Answers, Demand Media, Viacom, CBS, Avito	5	5
2015	Weather, Pandora, Turner	3	3
2016	Answers, Fairfax AU, Fox News, Orange, Naspers, CBS, Reddit, Hearst, eBay US	9	12
2017 (as of May)	Hearst, Time Warner, Turner, News Corp	4	4
- Sour	re 2013, there were no asks for OA rev shares > 80/20 rce: goto/xpaar of asks > # of partners, due to multiple reviews of same partner all deals had tiers up to 85/15, but majority of asks had. Full list of asks is here: <u>Link</u>		

AdX OA funnel narrative:

- we have approved 30 asks for 22 unique partners (i.e. some partners came to review multiple times) since 2013
- There are 13 live deals with approved non-standard OA pricing
- Of these 13 deals, only 5 partners are getting 85% and only 2 partners getting 82.5%, the remaining 6 are at 80%



Chart on left only considers Account IDs that are used for the purposed of tier achievement

Team Media has \$460K of YTD OA revenue in 2017



	Region	# 2015 Exceptions*	# 2016 Exceptions* #	2017 Exceptions* % of 20	017 Total
	Americas	3	24	30	48%
	EMEA	4	16	31	50%
	APAC	0	1	1	2%
	Total	7	41	62	
	d Deal 2016 Exc	ess TAC % of Total Exces	INC	gion Jan-April 2017 Reve	enue % of Total
			64%		
Americas		\$1.6m	America	as \$26	5.7m 55%
		\$0.9m \$0.0m	36% America 0% EMEA		3.7m 55% 3.0m 37%
Americas EMEA		\$0.9m	36% America	\$18	

Setting the stage - 2016 PD revenue was \$160M, 70% from LPS pubs, 70% growth Y/Y. 2017 YTD 61% Y/Y growth.

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/17p7nxoAOBdAPzVwnItOWiSC3MePs8_NR Mr287xVjX28/edit#gid=1341127303

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1CwZgbgE2DgG7m2ZFN3x8eFjDReUikYH Nm10xtlD3l4s/edit#gid=0



https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1SNk5nY0IU2EXRLmk0J0S8_Lg9Iw6GUriT dgJWt3PGzI/edit#gid=412898990

Maybe option for 92.5 / 7.5 too. Maybe add something about deals contingent on achieving certain growth threshold, and revert to standard after 1 year if not achieved



	Region	# 2015 Exceptions*	# 2016 Exceptions*	# 2017 Exceptions	* % of 2017 Total	
	Americas	9	13	1	9 35%	
	EMEA	12	17	3	1 57%	
	APAC	1	3		4 7%	
	Total	22	33	5	4	
				Basiss in	1-11-0017 D	0/
Regio	on 2016 Exce	ess TAC Excess TAC as 9 \$6.9m	6 of Gross Rev** 3.8%	Region Jar Americas	-April 2017 Revenue** \$90.4m	% of Total 68%
	on 2016 Exc			and the second se	CONTRACTOR OF A CARDINAL STREET, SALES	
Americ as	on 2016 Exce	\$6.9m	3.8%	Americas	\$90.4m	68%

Setting the stage - 2016 PA revenue was \$536M, 75% from LPS, 45% growth Y/Y. 2017 YTD 13% Y/Y decline (bc removal of GDN)

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/17p7nxoAOBdAPzVwnItOWiSC3MePs8_NR Mr287xVjX28/edit#gid=1341127303

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1CwZgbgE2DgG7m2ZFN3x8eFjDReUikYH Nm10xtlD3l4s/edit#gid=0





https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1SNk5nY0IU2EXRLmk0J0S8_Lg9Iw6GUriT dgJWt3PGzI/edit#gid=412898990

Maybe option for 92.5 / 7.5 too. Maybe add something about deals contingent on achieving certain growth threshold, and revert to standard after 1 year if not achieved

	icern	latio		uisc	ount	5 11 7	AIVIS	and	Auz	Dea	ais			
2016 Annual Figure s (M)	AdX OA Gross Rev	AdX OA Discou nt/Net Rev/ Δ	% of AdX OA Gross Rev from Discou nt	AdX PA Gross Rev	AdX PA Discou nt/Net Rev/ ∆	% of AdX PA Gross Rev from Discou nt	AdX PD/PG Gross Rev	AdX PD/PG Discou nt/Net Rev/ Δ	% of AdX PD/PG Gross Rev from Discou nt	Admob Gross Rev	Admob Discou nt/Net Rev/ Δ	% of Admob Gross Rev from Discou nt	AdX/ Admob Discoun t/Net Rev/∆	% of AdX/A dmob Gross Rev from Disco nt
AMS	\$983	\$10/\$25 0 (4%)	23%	\$174	\$7/\$28 (20%)	37%	\$114	\$2/\$9 (21%)	42%	\$98	\$3/\$28 (10%)	77%	\$23/\$31 5 (7%)	31%
APAC	\$319	\$0/\$91 (0%)	n/a	\$11	\$0.2/\$2 (10%)	6%	\$18	\$0.1/\$2 (4%)	14%	\$164	\$0/\$58 (0%)	n/a	\$0.3/\$15 3 (0%)	1%
emea	\$558	\$0/\$153 (0%)	n/a	\$58	\$3/\$8 (29%)	55%	\$46	\$1/\$4 (15%)	29%	\$28	\$0/\$9 (0%)	n/a	\$4/\$164 (2%)	7%
OPG	\$1957	\$0/\$530 (0%)	n/a	\$67	\$0.1/\$1 3 (1%)	2%	\$56	\$0.3/\$6 (5%)	12%	\$1785	\$0/\$57 4 (0%)	n/a	\$0.4/\$11 22 (0%)	0%
Total	\$3817	\$10/\$10 24 (1%)	6%	\$310	\$11/\$5 1 (17%)	33%	\$234	\$3/\$20 (14%)	30%	\$2075	\$3/\$66 9 (0%)	4%	\$27/\$17 64 (2%)	7%

List of All Asks for Q1'2017 for PA/PD/PG

	Private Auction	Preferred Deals	Programmatic Guaranteed
Perform Media Group	90/10		95/5
Telegraph Media Group	90/10		
Canadian Broadcast Corporation			95/5
Mena Marketplace		95/5	95/5
Cars.com, LLC			95/5
Grupo Globo		95:5	95:5
BBC			95/5
BBC		95/5	
Kakao	90/10	95/5	95/5
Bonnier	90/10	95/5	95/5
The Guardian	90		
Vox Media	90/10		
Trinity Mirror		95/5	95/5

Source:

51







Status of PBSx Discounts by Product

AdX Deal Type	Q1'2017 Rev (\$M)	# of LPS Partners	Rate Card Rev Share %	Quarterly Pricing Exceptio n (QPE) Process*	QPE Upward Limit (%)	# of QPE Exceptio ns (2013- 17)	# of PBSx Exceptio ns (2013-17)	# of Live deals with exception	% of revenue from discounte d pubs	Effective Rev Share %
Open Auction	\$1,153M		20.0%	No	N/A	N/A	18	6	6%	19.7%
Private Auction	\$101M	287	20.0%	Yes	10.0%				33%	16.5%
Preferred Deals	\$47M	291	10.0%	Yes	5.0%					9.0%
Program matic Guarante ed	\$26M		10.0%	Yes	5.0%		4			7.6%

55







Top pubs current PG spend

Top PG pubs (Q1 2017)

Pub	PG spend monthly spend (Q1 avg.)	% direct imp on PG ¹
Tripadvisor	\$0.6M	37%
CBS	\$0.5M	1%
Telsta	\$0.5M	2%
Apax	\$0.4M	20%
Yahoo	\$0.3M	17%

Top DFP direct pubs (March 2017)

Pub	Total imp	Media (estimate)	
Pandora	8.1t	\$64.9M	
ESPN	5.0t	\$49.8M	
Turner	4.6t	\$45.5M	
Time	4.6t	\$43.5M	
UOL	8.2t	\$40.6M	

1 Assumes DFP direct impressions have the same CPM as PG impressions 2 Assumes CPM for direct impressions

59

Top DFP reservation pubs (pubs with highest PG spend potential)

	PG spend if it becomes						
	DPF direct spend (median, Mar 2017)	% direct imp on PG (today)	10% of direct spend ^{1,2}	20% of direct spend	30% of direct spend	50% of direct spend	
Top 1-10	\$34.3M	<1%	\$3.4M	\$6.9M	\$10.3M	\$17.1N	
Top 1-20	\$16.4M	<1%	\$1.6M	\$3.3M	\$4.9M	\$8.2M	
Top 21-50	\$9.2M	<1%	\$0.9M	\$1.8M	\$2.7M	\$4.6M	

Pricetier	Targetpubs	Min PG gross spend (monthly)
4.5%	> top 20 pubs w/ 10-20% direct volume on PG > top 21-50 with 20-30% on PG	\$1.5M
4%	> top 20 with 20-30% on PG > top 21-50 with 30-50% on PG	\$3M
3.5%	> top 20 with 30-50% on PG > top 21-50 with >50%	\$4.5M
3%	> top 20 pubs move >50% direct volume	\$8M

PG gross revenue (scenarios)	PG net rate	PG net rev (k)	Loss of DFP revenues ¹	Net impact on net revenue
\$1M	5%	\$50k	(\$4k)	\$46k
\$2M	4.5%	\$90k	(\$8k)	\$82k
\$4M	4%	\$160k	(\$15k)	\$145k
\$6M	3.5%	\$210k	(\$23k)	\$187k
\$9M	3%	\$270k	(\$34k)	\$236k
behavior), pro	posed tiers are exp	emental spend on P bected to generate h elatively small vs PG	igher net revenue upside, especial	s



For pubs with <20% volume on AdX, evidence so far suggests a rev share decrease is value creating (ϵ =2-3)

Publishers @ discounted	(before negotiation)		Price change		Impact, calculated ²		Impact, estimated b sales ³	
rates	of total ¹	of program- matic ⁴	Negotiated rate based on gross rev, \$/mo	Change in blended rate	Gross rev % change	Elasticity	Gross rev % change	Elasticity
ESPN	1%	~40%	<\$0.5M: 20% \$0.5-0.8M: 18% \$0.8-1M: 16% > \$1M: 15%	-8%	+68%	9	+137%	18
The Weather Channel	11%	~70%	<\$2M: 20% \$2-4M: 17.5% > \$4M: 15%	-25%	+46%	2	+100%	4
Gumtree	17%	~70%	15%	-25%	+30%	1	+43%	2
CBS	17%	~80%	<\$0.5M: 20% \$0.5-0.75M: 17.5% > \$0.75M: 15%	-21%	+105%	5	+14%	1
			Total blended	-24%	+51%	2	+80%	3

Reducing AdX rev share likely value destroying except in <30% of current volume

Type of pub	Expected elasticity*	Rationale	% Adv gross rev***	
LPS pubs with < 20% vol on AdX	2-3	> Based on experience with pubs currently at discounted rates**	Value creating, promotes a step increase in SoW of programmatic	
LPS pubs with 20-40% vol on AdX	~1	 Limited potential increase in vol given higher share already on AdX*** 	Value neutral, likely promoting only an incremental increase in SoW of programmatic	
LPS pubs with > 40% vol on AdX	< 1	> Unlikely to have enough programmatic inventory in addition to the one already on AdX to compensate for the price decrease***	Value destroying , current SoW of programmatic	
OPG pubs	~0	 Unlikely to have any programmatic inventory in addition to the one already on AdX 	 already very high 	
Total blended	<1			
For a 25% reduction in price vs too [®] Detailed next ^{**} Programmatic on avg <50% of to			xcluding 8 pubs with discounted rates	64



Recommend to keep OA, PA and PD rate card; PA and PD exceptions should be more scrutinized

	Context	Recommendations	
Open Auction (OA)	 ~0.5% deals were discounted; few lost on price Lower rev share to all pubs would have generated significantly less net rev to Google³ 	> Keep OA rate card > Continue to approve exceptions at PBSx ¹	
Private	Despite being easy to discount PA, 2/3 of rev is undiscounted and # exceptions still manageable (5-10 deals/ quarter)	> Keep PA and PD rate card > Use PA discounts	
Auction (PA)	> Pricing PA below OA creates a risk - pubs can "invite everyone" to PA, circumventing OA pricing	strategically (e.g., in lieu of C discounts)	
	pricing	> PD: Negotiate PD and PG prices separately and be stricter on discounts	
Preferred	> # PD exceptions @ 5% increasing, because many deals negotiated single PD/PG rate ²	> Sales Finance to provide	
deals (PD)	> PD is more valuable that PG	quarterly updates to PBSx on discounts	

Strategic goals: Continue to grow OA at healthy margins; move direct spend to programmatic

> Grow at least in line	Transaction types	Q1 2017 Gross rev. ¹ (YoY growth)	Strategic objective
with the market Optimize for net, not 	Open auction ²	\$1.2b(+36%)	> Continue to grow > Maintain margin
gross revenues (without losing share-of-wallet)	Private auction	\$101M (-7%)	For discussion
> Promote move to programmatic	Preferred deals	\$47M (+62%)	For discussion
> others?	Exchange bidding (beta)	\$10M	> Reduce use of header bidding > Move to % media
	Programmatic guaranteed	\$26M (+225%)	> Accelerate transitior _ from Tags to PG
	Tags (traditional ad serving)	\$55M net rev.	> Move to % media (~5-10x monetization)





AF Y/Y growth includes AFSMA, growth #s are through 6/21/17

Have CPMs handy in speaker notes. Change label on PG to just call PG because not part of AdX

Put dotted line boxes around OA and PG, and strategic areas in future or something in legend

Definition of AdX transaction types in Appendix

DFP accounted for 17% of Global DRX net revenue in 2016. Data on right is from go/xpaar maintained by compliance, DFP asks are aggregated (i.e. if for one pub ask for core DFP discount + DRM discount, just count it as one ask)

For AdX PA/PD/PG # of asks, it is likely that other deal features would have triggered the ask

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1dGn6KJ2_xyyGR_gz8CaVk7AkXsObBU4 aloPfSo6vuY/edit#gid=0



Have regional Sales Finance person to either be a POC in addition to regional director or the POC

Change rate card?	Evidence/	data				Assessment
Change needed if:	Transaction type	# deals ¹	# exceptions	# deals lost on price	Avg rev share	Few OA
1 Rate card not relevant - most deals	Open auction	2,432 (2017': 381)	13 (2017 ² : 3)	[]	19.7%	exceptions
need discounts beyond guidance	Private auction	2,432 (2017': 361)	63 (2017: 11)	- Not available anecdotal	16.5%	PA/PD exce
2 Unlocks additional	Preferred deals	2,432 (2017': 381)	52 (2017: 12)	feedback: very few deals are lost on	9.0%	but most deals and re
demand that more than compensates margin hit	Programmatic guaranteed	2,432 (2017': 361)	Below 5%: 4 (2017: 1)	price alone	7.6%	undiscounte
3 Proactively addresses future changes to product/ ecosystem that are	2 Past deal a large pubs increase S	w/ low	SOW and a	a clear path		Addressable via targeted tiered discounts, not rate card
certain to reduce product value		need to	change ra	<i>back</i> - no ate card (the in discount		X

This is a copy of slide 5 - previous version