2018 AWBid Review

AWBid / GTrade teams Sept 5 2018

Tobias Maurer, Santosh Cirupati, Nirmal Jayaram, Eu-Jin Goh

PTX0835

1:23-cv-00108


Outline

Current Status

AWBid Principles

Expanding to ICM/Keyword

Setting the right margins



AWBid Principles

- 1. Sellside: Take higher margins on 3PE than AdX/AW
- Buyside: Take higher margins on less competitive segments (e.g. interests/keywords) than more competitive segments (e.g. RMKT)

Proposal: expand AWBid to non-RMKT

AWBid generates roughly 450M gross revenue for Remarketing. Over the past years, we have been investing in supporting additional buying types.

Contextual Interests: +50M* ARR at 50% margin - can launch Q4 2018/Q1 2019

Contextual Keyword: +50M* ARR at 50% margin - can launch in 1H 2019.

*: assumes exchange coop data pool, 1.yx if all exchanges participated, 2x if we could use AdX data pool.

ld	Date	Text	
1	09/04/2018 18:40:48	Is this gross or net revenue? What's the net revenue?	
2	09/04/2018 18:40:48		
		green, ora trinic green in the state of the	

Margins Consistent with Principles TLDR

Remarketing - we compete with other buyers for incremental conversions and have to be more competitive

- 450M annual top-line revenue
- 32% (from 17.5% now) [we will adjust to match any future AdX/AW adjustments]

Non-RMKT - we have a mature business in AW buying on AdX web, so goal here is to maximize net revenue

- 150M annual top-line revenue for contextual interests and other targeting types
- Contextual Interests at 50% in Q4 2018 (stretch) / Q1 2019

ASK1: approval to expand AWBid beyond RMKT at 50% (contingent on AdSpant/Policy approval)

ASK2: raise AWBid RMKT margin to 32%

Experiment Results - AdWords Contextual Interest**

		Exchange x Interests Gross Revenue [M]	Exchange x Interests Net Revenue [M]
	Control (RMKT 17.5%)	\$0	\$0
	RMKT 17.5%, ICM 32%	\$59.0	\$19.5
	RMKT 17.5%, ICM 40%	\$53.8	\$21.3
ecommended	RMKT 17.5%, ICM 50%	\$48.0	\$23.2

Recommend: ICM 50%

Note: Profit maximization is somewhere in between 50-75%.

^{**} numbers factor in imminent marple (non 2nd price auction protection) launch

ld	Date	Text
3	08/31/2018 03:18:51	+nirmaljayaram@google.com +santoshkc@google.com +egadd@google.com i realised these icm numbers are slightly weird because we're comparing them to a control where remarketing is 17.5% margin. I think these numbers would be substantially higher when we're comparing to a remarketing at 32% margin since icm is now more competitive, is that right? _Reassigned to Nirmal Jayaram_
1	08/31/2018 03:18:51	The revshare is not considered in cat2 auction (at the moment), so icm and rmkt are competing in a even field. That said, its more of a limitation of the current prototype, here is the corresponding feature request http://b/111570645 (Tim & I discussed on this a couple of weeks ago, we think it can be considered as a post-launch improvement - which should lead to some gains for rmkt). +tlipus@google.com
2	09/04/2018 18:40:24	How about adding another foot note to indicate, we estimate a similar net revenue gain from Keywords/CIM targeting as well (or we can just 2X the table, with a corresponding foot note.)

Experiment Results - AdWords Remarketing**

Recommend 32% and match AdX/AW margins in future

Recomm ended

	Exchange Gross Revenue [M]	Exchange Net Revenue [M]	Net Revenue Increase [M]
Control (RMKT 17.5%)	\$387	\$65	
RMKT 32%	\$367	\$117	\$52
RMKT 40%	\$354	\$141	\$76
RMKT 50%	\$324	\$158	\$93

^{**} numbers factor in imminent marple (non 2nd price auction protection) launch

	Date	Text
2	09/04/2018 23:09:47	What's the inflection point, where net revenue stops going up?
1	09/04/2018 23:09:47	Assuming you mean net revenue. We haven't explored that. RMKT needs to stay competitive as such Net Revenue Maximization is not the goal. Instead, we are trying to hit a sensible tradeff that also works well with the rest of the margins across the ecosystem, which we believe to be at 32%.
		as such Net Revenue Maximization is not the goal. Instead, we are trying to hit a sensi off that also works well with the rest of the margins across the ecosystem, which we be

PRIVIL	EGED	AND	CONF	IDEN'	TIAL
--------	------	-----	------	-------	------

Concern	Mitigation
Third parties and middlemen will benefit	At 50% margins (higher than YT), there isn't much room for middleman to take a cut.
Publishers will game GDN demand	50% margins result in lower bids, resulting in lower dynamic range for exchanges/publishers to extract money by gaming floors.
Dirty auctions will take advantage of GDN	Marple / Bid lowering in non-second price auctions protect against overpaying dirty exchanges/publishers
We could make more money on AdX	At 50%, if publisher moves to AdX, Google makes less net revenue.
There will be substantial negative impact to Sell-side	Differential high/low margins on AdX/Exchange contributes to AdX becoming more premium and exchange becoming more remnant, as we buy 3P inventory at low bids Despite worries when first launching AWBid on RMKT 3+ years ago, there doesn't seem to have noticeable impact to our sell side

Discussion: Using AdX Coop data on 3PE

Legal Position:

Redacted - Privilege


What's the right principle for using AdX Coop data on 3PE?

Is there a spectrum of Google data usage? e.g. SA/doppelganger/PT built using AdX vs interest profiles from AdX

ASK 2: Use full SA/doppelganger models for RMKT but take 50% (vs 32%)

ASK 3: Use PT/interest profiles from AdX coop data on 3PE at 50 or 75%

lď	Date	Text
3	09/04/2018 23:14:38	
2	09/04/2018 23:14:38	Redacted - Privilege
	09/04/2018 23:15:08	
3		
1	09/05/2018 05:35:54	
1		



Experiment Results - AdWords Remarketing**

Rasta	Exchange Gross Revenue	Exchange Net Revenue	Net Revenue Increase
Control	\$425,414,800	\$70,938,967	ě
32%	\$403,736,233	\$128,548,133	\$57,609,167
40%	\$389,788,367	\$155,395,100	\$84,456,133
50%	\$356,471,167	\$173,632,933	\$102,693,967

^{**} numbers DO NOT factor in imminent marple (non 2nd price auction protection) launch

Experiment Results - AdWords Contextual Interest**

Rasta	Exchange x Interests Gross Revenue	Exchange x Interests Net Revenue
Control	\$0	\$0
32%	\$64,826,433	\$21,437,667
40%	\$59,159,200	\$23,435,433
50%	\$52,737,633	\$25,469,700

^{**} numbers DO NOT factor in imminent marple (non 2nd price auction protection) launch