

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INCORPORATED,

and

AMEDISYS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-03267-JKB

Judge James K. Bredar

**PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO STAY THE ACTION AND EXPLANATION
OF PROCEDURES UNDER THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT**

Plaintiff United States of America, the Plaintiff States of Maryland, Illinois, New Jersey, and New York (collectively, the “Plaintiff States”), and Defendants UnitedHealth Group Incorporated and Amedisys, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants”), have reached a settlement of this case that is embodied in a proposed Final Judgment. The proposed Final Judgment and a proposed Asset Preservation and Hold Separate Stipulation and Order (“Stipulation and Order”), were filed at the same time as this Unopposed Motion and Explanation and attached hereto. As such, Plaintiffs submit this Explanation summarizing the procedures of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 16(b)–(h) (the “APPA” or “Tunney Act”) and move this Court to (a) enter the Stipulation and Order, and (b) stay the case during the process required by the Tunney Act.

1. The United States has filed the proposed Final Judgment and Stipulation and Order, to which the United States, Plaintiff States, and Defendants have agreed, and the United States will file a Competitive Impact Statement explaining the proposed settlement. The United States, Plaintiff States, and Defendants have also agreed that the Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after the requirements of the Tunney Act have been satisfied.

2. The United States, Plaintiff States, and Defendants ask that the Court sign the Stipulation and Order as soon as possible. The Stipulation and Order will ensure that Defendants preserve competition during the Tunney Act proceedings by (a) complying with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, and (b) maintaining the assets that the proposed Final Judgment requires Defendants to divest.

3. The Court should not sign the proposed Final Judgment until the requirements of the Tunney Act are satisfied. The Tunney Act requires that the United States (a) publish the proposed Final Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in the *Federal Register* and (b) cause a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement to be published in one or more newspapers at least 60 days before the Court signs the proposed Final Judgment. The newspaper notice(s) will inform the public how to submit comments about the proposed Final Judgment to the United States Department of Justice's Antitrust Division. Defendants have agreed to arrange and pay for the required newspaper notice(s).

4. Members of the public who wish to submit comments will be invited to do so within 60 days following publication in the *Federal Register* and of the newspaper notice(s). The United States will prepare a response to any comments received during this period and will (a) file with the Court the comments and the United States' response and (b) publish the

comments and the United States' response in the *Federal Register* unless this Court authorizes an alternative method of public dissemination of the public comments and the response to those comments pursuant to the Tunney Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(d). After the comments and the United States' response have been filed with the Court and published, the United States may move the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment unless the United States has withdrawn its consent to entry of the Final Judgment, as permitted by Paragraph V.A of the Stipulation and Order.

5. If the United States moves the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the Tunney Act, the Court may enter the Final Judgment without a hearing if the Court concludes that the Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: August 7, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Erin K. Murdock-Park

Erin K. Murdock-Park

Benjamin H. Able

Serajul F. Ali

Giancarlo R. Ambrogio

Aaron Comenetz

Nicole M. Cullen

Rahul A. Darwar

Grant M. Fergusson

Jawaria Gilani

Jessica Hollis

Chris S. Hong

Garrett M. Liskey

Jill C. Maguire

Stella Martin

Sonia M. Orfield

David M. Stoltzfus

Paul J. Torzilli

Melody Wang

Abigail U. Wood

U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 4100

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 445-8082

Fax: (202) 307-5802

Email: Erin.Murdock-Park@usdoj.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of
America*

/s/ Schonette J. Walker

Schonette J. Walker (USDC Md Bar No.
19490)

Byron Warren (USDC Md Bar No. 30169)

Melissa English (USDC Md Bar No.
19864)

Maryland Office of the Attorney General

200 St. Paul Place, 19th Floor

Baltimore, MD 21202

Telephone: (410) 576-6470

Email: swalker@oag.state.md.us

Email: bwarren@oag.state.md.us

Email: menglish@oag.state.md.us

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Maryland

/s/ Richard S. Schultz

Richard S. Schultz (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Jennifer Coronel (admitted *pro hac vice*)

John Milligan (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Office of the Illinois Attorney General

115 S. LaSalle Street, Floor 23

Chicago, IL 60603

Telephone: (312) 814-3000

Email: Richard.Schultz@ilag.gov

Email: Jennifer.Coronel@ilag.gov

Email: John.Milligan@ilag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Illinois

/s/ Leslie Prentice

Leslie Prentice (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Yale A. Leber (admitted *pro hac vice*)

New Jersey Office of Attorney General

Division of Law

124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (609) 937-8944

Email: Leslie.Prentice@law.njoag.gov

Email: Yale.Leber@law.njoag.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New Jersey

/s/ Saami Zain

Saami Zain (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Isabella Pitt (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Amy E. McFarlane (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Elinor R. Hoffmann (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Christopher D'Angelo (admitted *pro hac vice*)

New York State Office of the Attorney
General

28 Liberty Street

New York, NY 10005

Telephone: (212) 416-8262

Email: Saami.Zain@ag.ny.gov

Email: Isabella.Pitt@ag.ny.gov

Email: Amy.McFarlane@ag.ny.gov

Email: Elinor.Hoffmann@ag.ny.gov

Email: Christopher.D'Angelo@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on August 7, 2025, a copy of the foregoing PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION TO STAY THE ACTION AND EXPLANATION OF PROCEDURES UNDER THE ANTITRUST PROCEDURES AND PENALTIES ACT was electronically transmitted to the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will transmit notification of such filing to all registered participants.

/s/ Erin K. Murdock-Park
Erin K. Murdock-Park
U.S. Department of Justice
Antitrust Division
450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 4100
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 445-8082
Fax: (202) 307-5802
Email: Erin.Murdock-Park@usdoj.gov

*Attorney for Plaintiff United States of
America*