

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN AMUSEMENT TICKET
MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION, ET
AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 46422

v.

ATLANTIC CLEANERS AND DYERS,
INC., ET AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 49417

v.

PLUMBING AND HEATING INDUSTRIES
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSOCIATION, INC.,
ET AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 5226

v.

UNION PAINTERS ADMINISTRATIVE
ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 5225

v.

EXCAVATORS ADMINISTRATIVE
ASSOCIATION, ET AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 5227

v.

MASON CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET
AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 6169

v.

THE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN RAILROADS, ET AL., Defendants;	Civil No. 4551
v.	
THE STANDARD REGISTER COMPANY, Defendant;	Civil No. 36040
v.	
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REAL ESTATE BOARDS, ET AL., Defendants;	Civil No. 3472-47
v.	
UNITED STATES GYPSUM COMPANY, ET AL., Defendants;	Civil No. 8017
v.	
LYMAN GUN SIGHT CORPORATION, ET AL., Defendants;	Civil No. 890-56
v.	
MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA MILK PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION, INC., Defendant;	Civil No. 4482-56
v.	
CENTRAL CHARGE SERVICE, INC., Defendant;	Civil No. 2259-60
v.	
GREATER WASHINGTON SERVICE STATION ASSOCIATION, INC., Defendant;	Civil No. 2053-62
v.	
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS, Defendant;	Civil No. 992-72
v.	

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED
PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, INC.,
Defendant;

Civil No. 1091-72

v.

PAN AMERICAN WORLD AIRWAYS,
INC., ET AL., Defendants;

Civil No. 77-197

v.

NATIONAL SOCIETY OF
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, Defendant;

Civil No. 2412-72

v.

WHEELABRATOR-FRYE INC., ET AL.,
Defendants.

Civil No. 80-2346

**MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO
TERMINATE LEGACY ANTITRUST JUDGMENTS**

The United States moves to terminate the judgments in each of the nineteen above-captioned antitrust cases pursuant to Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. As explained in the accompanying Memorandum in Support of the Motion of the United States to Terminate Legacy Antitrust Judgments, the United States has concluded that because of their age and changed circumstances since their entry, these decades-old judgments no longer serve to protect competition. The United States gave the public notice and the opportunity to comment on its intent to seek termination of the judgments in the above-captioned cases; it received no

comments opposing termination. For these and other reasons explained in the accompanying memorandum, the United States requests that these judgments be terminated.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 9, 2018

/s/

Mark J. Niefer (DC Bar No. 470370)
Deputy Chief Legal Advisor - Civil
Antitrust Division
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20530
Phone: (202) 307-6318
Email: mark.niefer@usdoj.gov