

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

HAMILTON MANUFACTURING COMPANY, )  
CHARLES BRUNING COMPANY, INC., )  
DEFIANCE SALES CORPORATION, )  
DIETERICH-POST COMPANY, )  
EUGENE DIETZGEN CO., )  
B. K. ELLIOTT COMPANY, )  
KEUFFEL & ESSER COMPANY, )  
THE FREDERICK POST CO., and )  
L. L. RIDGWAY COMPANY, INC., )

Defendants. )

CIVIL NO. 60-C-57.

*Filed: 2/9/62  
as to Def. Keuffel  
& Esser Company*

FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, United States of America, having filed its complaint herein on April 25, 1960, the defendants having appeared, and the plaintiff and the defendants, by their respective attorneys, having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and without this Final Judgment constituting evidence or an admission by any party hereto in respect of any such issue;

NOW, THEREFORE, before the taking of any testimony and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

I.

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties hereto. The complaint states a claim upon which relief against the defendants may be granted under Section 1 of the Act of

Congress of July 2, 1890, entitled "An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies," commonly known as the Sherman Act, as amended.

## II.

As used in this Final Judgment:

(A) "Person" shall mean any individual, partnership, corporation, association or other legal entity;

(B) "Hamilton" shall mean the defendant Hamilton Manufacturing Company;

(C) "Drafting furniture" shall mean all furniture and equipment suitable for use in drafting, including but not limited to, drafting tables, drawing tables, drawing boards and files;

(D) "Hamilton drafting furniture" shall mean drafting furniture manufactured or sold by defendant Hamilton;

(E) "Wholesaler" shall mean any person who purchases drafting furniture for resale to persons other than consumers;

(F) "Retailer" shall mean any person who purchases drafting furniture for resale to consumers.

## III.

The provisions of this Final Judgment applicable to any defendant shall also apply to such defendant's officers, directors, agents, employees, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and to all persons in active concert or participation with such defendant who shall have received actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise.

## IV.

The defendant Keuffel & Esser Company is ordered and directed within thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this Final Judgment to terminate and cancel all contracts or agreements which fix or maintain or purport to fix or maintain resale prices of Hamilton drafting furniture and the defendants are each enjoined

and restrained from entering into, adhering to or enforcing, directly or indirectly, the same or any other such contracts or agreements having a similar purpose or effect as to Hamilton drafting furniture for a period of three (3) years from December 20, 1960; provided, however, that any defendant may, at any time after termination of eighteen (18) months from December 20, 1960, apply to this Court, with reasonable notice to the Attorney General and opportunity for him to be heard, for modification or elimination of the restrictions of this Section IV for good cause shown.

V.

The defendants are each enjoined and restrained from entering into, adhering to, maintaining, reviving or claiming any rights under any contract, agreement or understanding among themselves or with any other person to:

(A) Fix, establish, maintain or adhere to prices, discounts or other terms or conditions for the sale of Hamilton drafting furniture to any third person;

(B) Limit or restrict the person to whom, or the terms or conditions on which any person may resell Hamilton drafting furniture;

(C) Boycott or otherwise refuse to do business with any person or to maintain a system requiring any mutual approval of third persons to whom either may sell Hamilton drafting furniture;

(D) Refuse to stock or offer for sale, or prevent or attempt to prevent any other person from stocking or offering for sale, drafting furniture manufactured or sold by persons other than Hamilton;

(E) Refuse to extend discounts on Hamilton drafting furniture to any third person.

The provisions of subsection (A) of this Section V, however, shall not restrict the right of any defendant to exercise such lawful rights which it may have to enter into resale price maintenance agreements, as to Hamilton drafting furniture, as provided in Section IV above.

#### VI.

The defendants are each enjoined and restrained from:

(A) Selling or offering to sell any Hamilton drafting furniture on the condition expressed or implied, that the purchaser thereof buy all or any portion of his other requirements from such defendants or any of them; or

(B) Selling or offering to sell Hamilton drafting furniture on the condition or understanding, expressed or implied, that the purchaser not buy or deal in drafting furniture manufactured or sold by any other person.

#### VII.

Defendant Hamilton is enjoined and restrained from:

(A) Refusing to enter into or cancelling any contract with a wholesaler or any retailer for the sale or distribution of Hamilton drafting furniture because of his refusal to agree or adhere to any contract, agreement or understanding contrary to any of the provisions of this Final Judgment;

(B) Impeding or restricting or attempting to impede or restrict, directly or indirectly, the free choice of any wholesaler in the selection of his customers for Hamilton drafting furniture.

#### VIII.

Defendant Keuffel & Esser Company is ordered and directed to mail, within ninety (90) days from the date of entry of this Final Judgment, a true and complete copy of this Final Judgment to each wholesaler and retailer on such defendant's current distribution lists.

## IX.

For the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment, duly authorized representatives of the Department of Justice shall, upon written request of the Attorney General, or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to defendant Keuffel & Esser Company made to the principal office of such defendant, be permitted (1) access during the office hours of the defendant to books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of the defendant relating to any subject matter contained in this Judgment and (2) subject to the reasonable convenience of the defendant and without restraint or interference from it to interview officers and employees of the defendant, who may have counsel present, regarding any such matters. Upon such written request, said defendant shall submit such written reports with respect to any of the matters contained in this Final Judgment as from time to time may be necessary for the purpose of enforcement of this Final Judgment. No information obtained by the means provided in this Section IX shall be divulged by any representative of the Department of Justice to any person other than a duly authorized representative of the Executive Branch of the plaintiff except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment or as otherwise required by law.

## X.

Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party hereto to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Final Judgment, for the

amendment or modification of any of the provisions thereof, for the enforcement of compliance therewith, and for the punishment of violation thereof.

Dated: February 9, 1962

/s/ Robert E. Tahan  
United States District Judge

We consent to the entry of the foregoing Final Judgment:

LEE LOEVINGER  
Assistant Attorney General

Philip L. Roache, Jr.  
PHILIP L. ROACHE, JR.

WILLIAM D. KILGORE, JR.

LEWIS BERNSTEIN

Attorneys, Department of Justice.

For the Defendant Keuffel & Esser Company:

KEUFFEL & ESSER COMPANY

By James J. Tuttle  
Vice President

BURKE & BURKE

By E. J. Burke  
Member of the Firm

QUARLES, HERRIOTT & CLEMONS

By James C. Williams  
Member of the Firm

Attorneys for Keuffel & Esser Company