WILLIAM J. DE MARTINI 1209 Richfield Building 555 South Flower Street Los Angeles 17, California MAdison 9-4111 Attorney for Defendant



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ENTERED FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA many 6 all 1 CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RICHFIELD OIL CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy Clark

Civil Action No. 6896-Y

ORDER MODIFYING JUDGMENT

Defendant having duly noticed its Motion for Modification of Judgment, and plaintiff having filed its written response to said motion stating that plaintiff has no objection thereto, and the matter having been heard by the Court on August 3, 1959, plaintiff appearing by George B. Haddock, Esq., its attorney, and defendant appearing by William J. DeMartini, Esq., its attorney, and the Court having duly considered the matter, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the final judgment in the above entitled action dated August 2, 1951 be, and the same hereby is, modified by adding thereto the following provision as Paragraph XII, to wit:

"Nothing contained in this judgment shall prevent Richfield, its successors and assigns, its officers, directors, agents and representatives, and all persons and corporations acting on its behalf, from requiring that any pump or tank or other container or receptacle for refined petroleum products or for tires, batteries or accessories furnished by it and marked as such to a dealer shall be used solely for the storing, handling or dispensing of such products supplied by Richfield or source designated by Richfield, and from prescribing by agreement or regulations not inconsistent with this judgment for the storage, handling or dispensing of such products."

DATED: August 3, 1959.

How. your Il

Approved as to form:

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant