

[Trade Regulation Reporter - Trade Cases \(1932 - 1992\), United States v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Los Angeles, et al., U.S. District Court, C.D. California, 1980-81 Trade Cases ¶63,664, \(Nov. 17, 1980\)](#)

Federal Antitrust Cases

76-3988-LTL,

Trade Regulation Reporter - Trade Cases (1932 - 1992) ¶63,664

[Click to open document in a browser](#)

United States v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Los Angeles, et al.

1980-81 Trade Cases ¶63,664. U.S. District Court, C.D. California, No. 76-3988-LTL, Entered November 17, 1980.

Case No. 2559, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice.

Clayton Act

Headnote

Department of Justice Enforcement: Modification of Consent Decree: Water Purification Services and Equipment: Continued Operations..–

A provider of industrial water purification services that was required by a consent decree to divest assets of an acquired competitor was permitted by a modification of the decree to take an additional four months, after the transfer or sale of a resin regeneration facility, to commence the operation of an ion exchange resin regeneration facility in Northern California.

Modifying [1978-2 Trade Cases ¶62,277](#).

For plaintiff: Crossan Anderson, Antitrust Div., Dept. of Justice, Los Angeles, Cal. **For defendants:** Don T. Hibner, Jr., Los Angeles, Cal., and Thomas C. Matthews and C. Coleman Bird, of Wald, Harkrader & Ross, Washington, D. C., for Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of L. A. and Arrowhead Puritas Waters, Inc.; Warren J. Krauss, San Francisco, Cal., for Aqua Media, Ltd., and A. M. Liquidating Co.; Cooley, Godward, Castro, Huddleson & Tatum, San Francisco, Cal.

Order Modifying Final Judgment

LYDICK, D. J.: 1. Defendants Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Los Angeles and Arrowhead Puritas Waters, Inc. (“defendants”) having moved for an Order Modifying Paragraph XXVI.D of the Final Judgment [[1978-2 TRADE CASES ¶62,277](#)] to allow said defendants an additional four months to commence the operation of an ion exchange resin regeneration facility in Northern California; the matter having come on for hearing on November 3, 1980; no opposition having been presented to said motion, and good cause appearing, defendants' motion IS Granted.

[Continued Operations]

2. Paragraph XXVI.D of the Final Judgment is hereby modified as follows:

D. Arrowhead shall operate an ion exchange resin regeneration facility in Southern California with capacity for resin regeneration of both mobile units and of stationary canisters substantially similar to its capacity as of August 2, 1976 of Arrowhead's Washington Boulevard resin regeneration facility or Arrowhead's Signal Hill resin regeneration facility. Should divestiture under this Final Judgment

result in the transfer to the trustee or the sale of Arrowhead's Sunnyvale resin regeneration facility, Arrowhead shall, within twenty-two (22) months after such transfer or sale, establish and commence operation of an ion exchange resin regeneration facility in Northern California with capacity for resin regeneration of both mobile units and of stationary canisters sufficient to service the remaining service accounts in Northern California plus an allowance for reasonable business growth. Thereafter Arrowhead shall operate such facility for at least eighteen (18) months.”