

**EXHIBIT B:**  
**SUMMARY OF REASONS FOR TERMINATING EACH JUDGMENT**  
**(Ordered by Year Judgment Entered)**

**UNITED STATES v. AMERICAN AIR FILTER COMPANY, INC., ET AL.**  
Civil Action No. 574

Year Judgment Entered: 1946

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section VIII

Description of Judgment: The judgment prohibits, among other things, suits for damages or royalties, alleged to have accrued prior to the judgment, on specified air-filter patents. In addition, the judgment required certain patents to be dedicated to the public, and a license to use certain other patents to be granted to any applicant at a reasonable royalty.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Patents at issue have expired.

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. THE OWENBORO NATIONAL BANK, ET AL.**  
Civil Action No. 2529

Year Judgment Entered: 1972

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section VI

Description of Judgment: While owning stock in the Owensboro National Bank, three individual defendants were prohibited from owning stock in, working for, or representing a bank in the Owensboro, Kentucky area. The three individual defendants were also prohibited for two years from soliciting the banking business of certain persons.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Most defendants likely no longer exist.

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. CLARK MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS, INC., ET AL.**  
Civil No. 7264

Year Judgment Entered: 1973

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section XI

Description of Judgment: Concerning mechanical contracting services, this judgment's substantive provisions prohibited the defendants from fixing prices, allocating markets, and rigging bids.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (fixing prices, allocating markets, and rigging bids).

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. DAIRYMEN, INC.**  
Civil Action No. 7634-A

Year Judgment Entered: 1978

Year Supplemental Judgment Entered: 1978

Year Supplemental Final Judgment Entered: 1978

Year Final Judgment and Injunction Entered: 1983

Year Amended Final Judgment and Injunction Entered: 1985

Pages of Final Judgment and Injunction Retaining Jurisdiction: 5–6

Description of Judgment: The judgment prohibits the defendant from, among other things, manipulating or threatening to manipulate federal milk market order pools for predatory or coercive purposes.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. WHITTENBERG ENGINEERING & CONSTRUCTION CO., ET  
AL.**

Civil Action No. C 75-0380L(A)

Year Judgment Entered: 1978

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section X

Description of Judgment: In this judgment, 11 general contracting companies in Louisville, Kentucky, were barred from rigging bids and exchanging information on construction jobs.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (rigging bids).

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. OWENSBORO RIVER SAND & GRAVEL CO., INC., ET AL.**  
Civil Action No. 77-0110-0(G)

Year Judgment Entered: 1979

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section X

Description of Judgment: In this judgment, two ready-mix concrete producers in Kentucky were barred from fixing prices and exchanging information concerning prices, conditions, price changes, and future prices in connection with the sale of ready-mix concrete or any other product.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (fixing prices).

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. READY ELECTRIC CO., INC., ET AL.**  
Civil No. C75-0196L (A)

Year Judgment Entered: 1979

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section X

Description of Judgment: In this judgment, 11 electrical contracting companies were enjoined from engaging in collusive bidding or from exchanging price information in connection with electrical contracting jobs.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (rigging bids).

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. STEWART MECHANICAL ENTERPRISES, INC.**  
Civil No. C75-0377L(A)

Year Judgment Entered: 1979

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section X

Description of Judgment: This judgment's substantive provisions prohibited the defendants from rigging bids for mechanical contracting services.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (rigging bids).

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. HALL CONTRACTING CORPORATION, ET AL.**  
Civil No. C 78-0063 L (B)

Year Judgment Entered: 1979

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section X

Description of Judgment: This judgment's substantive provisions prohibited the defendants from rigging bids for gas pipeline contracting services.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (rigging bids).

Public Comments: None

**UNITED STATES v. UNITED PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION CO., ET AL.**  
Civil No. C 78-0064 L (B)

Year Judgment Entered: 1979

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section X

Description of Judgment: This judgment's substantive provisions prohibited the defendants from rigging bids for water pipeline contracting services.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (rigging bids).

Public Comments: None