	Case 2:19-mc-00077 Document 1-2 File	d 06/05/19 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:16
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KATRINA ROUSE (CABN 270415) katrina.rouse@usdoj.gov ALBERT SAMBAT (CABN 236472) albert.sambat@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 934-5300 Facsimile: (415) 934-5399	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11 12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. TIMES MIRROR CO., Defendant.	Misc. No. 2:19-MC-00077 DECLARATION OF ALBERT B. SAMBAT IN SUPPORT OF UNITED STATES' MOTION TO TERMINATE LEGACY ANTITRUST JUDGMENT
20	I, Albert B. Sambat, do hereby declare and state as follows:	
21	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the Central District of California. Since	
22	2004, I have been employed as a Trial Attorney by the Antitrust Division of the United	
23	States Department of Justice.	
24	2. This Declaration is being submitted in support of the United States' Motion to	
25	Terminate Legacy Antitrust Judgment in the above-captioned matter.	
26	3. The statements made in this Declaration are based on the knowledge acquired by	
27	me in the performance of my official duties and in conjunction with factual and legal	
28	research conducted by other attorneys and staff in the Antitrust Division.	

4. In early 2018, the Department of Justice ("the Department") implemented a program to review and, when appropriate, seek termination of older antitrust judgments in which parties were subjected to some type of affirmative obligation or express prohibition that did not have an expiration date. These perpetual judgments were standard practice until 1979, when the Antitrust Division adopted the practice of including a term limit of ten years in nearly all of its antitrust judgments.

5. On April 25, 2018, the Antitrust Division issued a press release announcing its efforts to review and terminate legacy antitrust judgments and noting that it would begin its efforts by proposing to terminate judgments entered by the federal district courts in Washington, D.C. and Alexandria, Virginia. *See* Press Release, Department of Justice, Department of Justice Announces Initiative to Terminate "Legacy" Antitrust Judgments, (April 25, 2018), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/department-justice-announces-initiative-terminate-legacy-antitrust-judgments.

6. The procedure for reviewing and seeking to terminate such perpetual judgments was as follows:

- The Antitrust Division reviewed its perpetual judgments entered by this Court and other federal district courts to identify those judgments that no longer serve to protect competition such that termination would be appropriate.
- When the Antitrust Division identified a judgment it believed suitable for termination, it posted the name of the case and a link to the judgment on its public Judgment Termination Initiative website,

https://www.justice.gov/atr/JudgmentTermination.

• On March 22, 2019, the Antitrust Division listed the judgment in the abovecaptioned case on its public website, describing its intent to move to terminate the judgment. The notice identified each case, linked to the judgment, and invited public comment. *See* https://www.justice.gov/atr/judgment-termination-initiativecalifornia-central-district.

||///

1	• The public had the opportunity to submit comments regarding each proposed		
2	termination to the Antitrust Division within thirty days of the date the case name		
3	and judgment link was posted to the public website. For the judgment at issue in		
4	this motion, the deadline for such comments was April 19, 2019.		
5	7. The Antitrust Division did not receive any public comments relating to the case at		
6	issue in this motion.		
7	8. The judgment in <i>Times Mirror Co.</i> was entered in 1968. Based on research		
8	conducted by myself and my colleagues, all requirements of the judgment have been met.		
9	9. A copy of the underlying judgment at issue in this motion is attached to the Motion		
10	and Memorandum in Support as Appendix A. The version attached is identical to the		
11	version that was made available on the Antitrust Division's Judgment Termination		
12	Initiative public website for the Central District of California. See		
13	https://www.justice.gov/atr/judgment-termination-initiative-california-central-district.		
14	Having reviewed this Declaration, I declare, under penalty of perjury and pursuant		
15	to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true and correct.		
16			
17	Respectfully submitted,		
18			
19	DATE: 6/5/2019 /s/		
20	ALBERT B. SAMBAT		
21	Trial Attorney San Francisco Office		
22	Antitrust Division		
23	United States Department of Justice		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			