

**APPENDIX A:**

UNITED STATES v. S. CAL. WHOLESALE GROCERS'  
ASS'N, ET AL.

CIVIL NO. H-81-J

JUDGMENT ENTERED SEPT. 22, 1925

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. SOUTHERN  
CALIFORNIA WHOLESALE GROCERS'  
ASSOCIATION, ET AL., DEFENDANTS.**

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES,  
IN AND FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,  
SOUTHERN DIVISION.**

**In Equity No. H-81-J.**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, COMPLAINANT,**

**VS.**

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WHOLESALE GROCERS' ASSOCIA-  
TION, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF MANU-  
FACTURERS' REPRESENTATIVES, now known as the LOS  
ANGELES FOOD BROKERS' ASSOCIATION, voluntary as-  
sociations, HAAS-BARUCH & Co., M. A. NEWMARK &  
COMPANY, R. L. CRAIG & COMPANY, SIMPSON-ASHBY  
COMPANY, UNITED WHOLESALE GROCERY COMPANY,  
CALIFORNIA WHOLESALE GROCERY COMPANY, CLEM-  
ENTS-CASTEEL COMPANY, ROBBINS-HEBBERD COMPANY,  
SMART & FINAL Co., NAU-MURRAY Co., DELTA MER-  
CANTILE COMPANY, DALTON HOAGLAND COMPANY,  
KLAUBER-WANGENHEIM COMPANY, SPOHN-COOK COM-  
PANY, FLINT & BOYNTON, INC., JOHNSON, CARVELL &**

MURPHY, corporations, COSMO MORGAN, doing business under the name and style of COSMO MORGAN COMPANY, J. R. COFFMAN, M. A. NEWMARK, J. H. GOUGH and R. J. PORTER, *Defendants*.

FINAL DECREE.

All the defendants herein having been served with process and having appeared and the Court having jurisdiction of the person of all the defendants, and the cause having been tried and decided, and it appearing that the defendants have been engaged in a conspiracy in restraint of interstate commerce in such manner and to such extent only as is set forth in the opinion of this Court filed August 28th, 1925, now therefore in accordance with said opinion, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

I.

That the said defendants and each of them, and their members, officers, agents, servants, and employees, and all persons acting under, through, by or in behalf of them, or any of them, or claiming so to act, be and hereby are perpetually enjoined, restrained and prohibited, directly and indirectly, from

- (a) Agreeing to do any act, or to pursue any course of conduct, or doing any act or pursuing any course of conduct, designed or intended in any way to deter, prevent or discourage, or which may have the effect of deterring, preventing or discouraging, any manufacturer or producer of groceries or other like articles without the State of California from shipping, transporting or selling such groceries or other like articles to any acceptable customer or person within the State of California or the Southern District thereof.
- (b) Aiding, abetting or assisting, directly or indirectly, each other or others to do any or all of the matters or things heretofore set forth or enjoined.

II.

That each of the remaining prayers of the complaint, filed herein, is hereby denied.

III.

That neither the complaintant nor defendants have or recover the costs in this cause expended.

Dated Los Angeles, Calif., September 22, 1925.

WM. P. JAMES,  
*Judge, United States District Court.*