

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

IN RE: TERMINATION OF LEGACY  
ANTITRUST JUDGMENTS IN THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 1696

LINE MATERIAL CO., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 60-C-57

HAMILTON MANUFACTURING  
COMPANY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 59-C-163

BRUNSWICK-BALKE-COLLENDER  
COMPANY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 62-C-49

HUBBARD AND COMPANY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 62-C-206

AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, AN  
ASSOCIATION, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 64-C-86

BAY WEST PAPER COMPANY,  
Defendant.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 67-C-395

NATIONAL FUNERAL DIRECTORS  
ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES,  
INC.,  
Defendant.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 59-C-215

PABST BREWING COMPANY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 71-C-197

WEBSTER ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.,  
Defendant.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 72-C-211

GREAT LAKES COAL & DOCK  
COMPANY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 72-C-210

THE C. REISS COAL COMPANY, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 74-C-546

NATIONAL BOARD OF FUR FARM  
ORGANIZATIONS, INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 80-C-407

CAPITOL SERVICE, INC., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

---

**MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO ADMINISTRATIVELY  
CONSOLIDATE AND TERMINATE LEGACY ANTITRUST JUDGMENTS**

The United States moves to administratively consolidate the thirteen above-captioned antitrust cases, and to terminate the judgments in each of these cases pursuant to Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. As explained in the accompanying Memorandum in Support of the Motion of the United States to Terminate Legacy Antitrust Judgments, the United States has concluded that because of their age and changed circumstances since their entry, these decades-old judgments no longer serve to protect competition. The United States gave the public notice and the opportunity to comment on its intent to seek termination of the judgments in the above-captioned cases; it received no comments opposing termination. For these and other reasons explained in the accompanying memorandum, the United States requests that these judgments be terminated.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 24, 2019

/s/ Barry L. Creech

Barry L. Creech (DC Bar No. 421070)  
Trial Attorney  
Antitrust Division  
United States Department of Justice  
450 Fifth St., NW; Suite 4042  
Washington, DC 20530  
Voice: (202) 307-2110  
Fax: (202) 307-5802  
Email: [barry.creech@usdoj.gov](mailto:barry.creech@usdoj.gov)