

**APPENDIX B:**  
**SUMMARY OF REASONS FOR TERMINATING EACH JUDGMENT**  
**(Ordered by Year Judgment Entered)**

**UNITED STATES v.  
AMI, INCORPORATED**  
Civil Action No. 3238

**Year Judgment Entered:** 1957

**Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction:** VII

**Description of Judgment:** Defendant enjoined from, among other things, allocating customers or markets for the sale of coin operated phonographs or restricting the right of any purchaser of coin operated phonographs from any distributor from reselling such phonographs.

**Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:**

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms largely prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (customer and/or market allocation).
- Market conditions likely have changed. In particular, competition in the coin-operated phonograph or jukebox industry has evolved so substantially since the entry of the judgment that the factual conditions that underlay the decision to enter the judgment no longer exist.

**Public Comments:** None.

**UNITED STATES v.**  
**AMERICAN BAKERIES CO., et. al.**  
Civil Action No. 5787

**Year Judgment Entered:** 1969

**Year Judgment Entered:** 1969 (Adding Additional Defendants)

**Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction:** X

**Description of Judgment:** Defendant bakers enjoined from, among other things, fixing prices, submitting rigged bids, allocating bids, communicating price information with competitors, and from joining any trade association whose practices are inconsistent with the prohibitions of the decree.

**Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:**

- Judgment more than ten years old, with several of its provisions actually expiring years ago.
- Judgment terms largely prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (price fixing, bid rigging and/or allocation, and communicating price information with competitors).

**Public Comments:** None.

**UNITED STATES v.  
EXPRESSWAYS, INC., *et al.***  
Civil Action No. M 75-41

**Year Judgment Entered:** 1979

**Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction:** XIII

**Description of Judgment:** Defendants, five Michigan storage companies, enjoined from, among other things, fixing prices, rigging bids, allocating markets or exchanging information in connection with the contracting for non-temporary storage of household goods of military personnel.

**Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:**

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Three of the five defendants no longer appear to be in business from a search of corporate records with the Michigan Secretary of State's office and publicly available records.
- Judgment terms largely prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (price fixing, bid rigging and/or allocation, and communicating price information with competitors).

**Public Comments:** None.