

EXHIBIT B:
SUMMARY OF REASONS FOR TERMINATING EACH JUDGMENT
(Ordered by Year Judgment Entered)

UNITED STATES v. PAUL BARNETT, INC., ET AL.
Civil Action No. 10,422 M

Year Judgment Entered: 1961

Section of Judgment Retaining Jurisdiction: Section VII

Description of Judgment: The defendants, a group of paper and office supply dealers, were, with limited exception, prohibited from entering into agreements or understandings involving price fixing practices or policing of such agreements, boycotts, refusal to deal, or exclusive dealing, and from selling below cost.

Reasons Judgment Should Be Terminated:

- Judgment more than ten years old.
- Judgment terms largely prohibit acts the antitrust laws already prohibit (price fixing).

Public Comments: None