

**EXHIBIT A**  
**FINAL JUDGMENT**

UNITED STATES v.  
WOOL INSTITUTE, INC.

In Equity No.: 54-141

Year Judgment Entered: 1930

UNITED STATES vs. THE WOOL INSTITUTE, INC.  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK.

In Equity No. 54-141.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

VS.

THE WOOL INSTITUTE, INC., DEFENDANT

FINAL DECREE

This cause came on to be heard at this term, and upon consideration thereof and upon motion of the petitioner by Charles H. Tuttle, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York and by James Lawrence Fly, Special Assistant to the Attorney General, for relief in accordance with the prayer of the petitioner, and it appearing to the court that it has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof, and all the parties hereto, and no testimony or evidence having been taken, but the defendant herein having appeared by its attorneys and having consented in open court to the entry of the following decree:

Now, therefore, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

I

1. That the allegations of the petition herein set forth a combination and conspiracy in restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, entitled "An Act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies" commonly known as the Sherman Antitrust Act.

2. That the various plans, agreements and operations described in the petition be and they hereby are declared illegal and in violation of said Act of Congress.

3. That defendant, its successors, members, officers, agents, servants and employees and all persons acting under, through, by or in behalf of it, or claiming so to

act, be and they hereby are perpetually enjoined, restrained and prohibited:

(a) From in any way carrying out the purposes of the combination and agreement described in the petition and from continuing, directly or indirectly, to perform, or performing, any acts pursuant thereto;

(b) From causing, procuring, prevailing upon, aiding or abetting its member companies and/or other companies engaged in interstate trade and commerce in the woolen yarn and woolen goods industries, to agree upon, either expressly or impliedly, or to concertedly fix, establish or maintain any price, price range, price enhancement or any other part of the ultimate price of any of said woolen products;

(c) From, directly or indirectly, promulgating, circulating, publishing, or furnishing to any member company or other person or concern in the woolen industry, the price list of, or any information relating to prices then being quoted, to be quoted or charged, or proposed to be quoted or charged, by any competitor of such member company or other person or concern;

(d) From entering into any agreement with any member company or other person or concern in the woolen industry requiring such member company or other person or concern to maintain throughout any season, or for any period of time, any price, or schedule of prices on woolen products, and from causing, procuring, prevailing upon, aiding or abetting any such company, person or concern to enter into any like agreement with any other party;

(e) From entering into any agreement with any member company or other person or concern in the woolen industry fixing the opening date for the sale or offering for sale of or quoting prices on, any of the woolen products of such company, person or concern, or in any way restricting the freedom of any such company, person or concern to choose the dates

on which, or the price or price level at which any such product will be sold, offered or quoted for sale, and from causing, procuring, prevailing upon, aiding or abetting any such company, person or concern to enter into any like agreement with any other party.

## II

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained for the purpose of:

(a) Enforcing this decree;

(b) Enabling the United States to apply to the court for modification or enlargement of its provisions on the ground that they are inadequate;

(c) Enabling the defendant to apply to the court for a modification of its provisions on the ground that such provisions have become inappropriate or unnecessary.

## III

That the petitioner have and recover of the defendant the costs of this cause.

Dated, New York City, June 27th, 1930.

W. I. GRUBB,

UNITED STATES v.  
WOOL INSTITUTE, INC.

In Equity No.: 54-141

Year Judgment Modified: 1934

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK.

In Equity No. 54-141.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER

VS.

THE WOOL INSTITUTE, INC., DEFENDANT

On the petition of THE WOOL INSTITUTE, INC., defendant in this cause, which petition was verified on April 9th, 1934, and filed herein April 11th, 1934, and on the final decree in this cause entered on June 27, 1930, and the Petitioner having consented to the entry of this Order

and no objection being made on behalf of any party hereto,

It is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

I

The final decree made and entered herein on June 27, 1930, is hereby modified so as to incorporate therein the following additional provisions:

Nothing contained in this decree shall be deemed or construed to prevent the defendant, its successors, members, officers, agents, servants, employees or persons acting under, through, by or in behalf of it, or claiming so to act, from doing any of the acts authorized, permitted or required by the Code of Fair Competition for the Wool Textile Industry approved by the President on July 26, 1933, pursuant to the Act of Congress of June 16, 1933, known as the National Industrial Recovery Act, and by the Amendment to said Code of Fair Competition for the Wool Textile Industry, approved by the Administrator for Industrial Recovery on January 23, 1934, and by the Rules of Practice and Merchandising for the Piece Goods Selling Division, the Blanket Division, the Commission Combers Group, and the Sales-Yarn Division of said industry, approved by the Administrator for Industrial Recovery on March 27, 1934, and by any modification or amendments of said Code or said Rules of Practice and Merchandising and by any rules of practice and merchandising of other divisions or sub-divisions of said industry or any modifications or amendments thereof which may hereafter be approved, during such time as and to the extent to which, the same shall remain in effect: *Provided*, however, that no modification or amendment of said Code of Fair Competition or said Rules of Practice and Merchandising and no rules of practice and merchandising for other divisions or sub-divisions of said industry hereafter approved shall be effective for the purpose of this decree until 10 days after notice of the approval of such amendment or modification or rule or rules of practice

and merchandising, as the case may be, shall have been filed herein and served upon the United States Attorney for this District and shall have been given by mail or telegram delivered to the Attorney General, nor then if the United States shall have filed herein and given to the defendant a notice of objection thereto; without prejudice to the right of the defendant to make such motions herein for modification of this decree or otherwise as it may be advised.

II

The United States at any time may apply to the court to revoke any modification of this decree made under the preceding paragraph on the ground that operations under, or purporting to be under, the aforesaid Code of Fair Competition for the Wool Textile Industry or amendments or modifications thereof or Rules of Practice and Merchandising or Modifications or Amendments thereof the approval of which has resulted in the modification of this Decree, are promoting monopolies, or are eliminating, oppressing or discriminating against small enterprises, or are permitting monopolies or monopolistic practices.

III

Except as provided in this order, said final decree of June 27, 1930, shall remain in full force and effect.

Dated: April 11, 1934.

WM. BONDY  
*District Judge.*