

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN CYANAMID CO.,  
Defendant.

1:21-mc-00017

(Originally Civil Action No. 60-3857)

**DECLARATION OF MILOSZ GUDZOWSKI**

I, Milosz Gudzowski, do hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in New York. I have been a Trial Attorney in the New York Office of the Department of Justice Antitrust Division since 2011.

2. This Declaration is being submitted in support of the United States of America's Motion to Terminate A Legacy Antitrust Judgment in the above-captioned matter.

3. The statements made in this Declaration are based on the knowledge acquired by me in the performance of my official duties and in conjunction with factual research conducted by other attorneys and staff in the Antitrust Division.

4. In early 2018, the Department of Justice Antitrust Division implemented a program to review and, when appropriate, seek termination of older antitrust judgments in which parties were subjected to some type of affirmative obligation or express prohibition that did not have an expiration date. As part of this process, the Division researched the corporate status of entities subject to these older, legacy antitrust judgments.

5. For the judgment in this case, librarians of the Antitrust Division were instructed to research and confirm the corporate status of the corporate defendants. The librarians searched the following public sources to determine corporate status.

- a. A search of the New York Department of State Division of Corporations database. If the final judgment (as submitted to this Court) or other web search (see below) suggested incorporation information for a defendant in another state, the librarians also checked that state for corporate status.
- b. A search of the Encyclopedia of Associations and IRS Tax Exempt Organization Search, where such organizations or associations were subject to a judgment.
- c. A search of web-based resources for the existence (or succession) of the entity. In addition to general web-based searches, the search included research in one or more of the following resources:
  - i. Lexis and/or Westlaw (news, company, and/or litigation search);
  - ii. historical newspapers from Newsbank, ProQuest, and/or Newspapers.com; and
  - iii. historical company directories held by the Antitrust Division Library.

6. Based on the information provided to me by the librarians, I believe that Pfizer, Inc., is the corporate successor of American Cyanamid Co. and Formica Group is the successor company for melamine, the relevant product for this judgment. On November 18, 2020, the Antitrust Division contacted Marc Brotman, Associate General Counsel & Vice President at Pfizer, and Raul Rosado, General Counsel & Vice President at Formica Group, regarding this judgment. On the same date, Mr. Brotman confirmed that Pfizer does not object to the

termination of the above-captioned judgment. On December 1, 2020, Mr. Rosado confirmed that Formica Corp. did not object to the termination of the judgment. Having reviewed this Declaration, I declare, under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: January 14, 2021  
New York, New York

/s/Milosz Gudzowski  
Milosz Gudzowski  
Trial Attorney  
United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division  
26 Federal Plaza, Suite 3630  
New York, NY 10278  
Telephone: (646) 541-7333  
Email: milosz.gudzowski@usdoj.gov