### U.S. DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENN.

APR 25 2022

DEPUTY CLERK

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

HAU T. LA

## No. 3:22-00/63

21 U.S.C. § 841

#### **INDICTMENT**

)

#### THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

#### Introduction

At all times material to this Indictment:

1. **HAU T. LA** was a medical doctor, licensed under number 42545 by the State of Tennessee Department of Health, since June 25, 2007.

2. **HAU T. LA** owned and operated Absolute Medical Care ("AMC"), a medical clinic located in Smyrna, Tennessee. **LA** only operated AMC on Fridays, and only accepted cash or credit for services purportedly rendered.

3. **HAU T. LA** was registered with U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration ("DEA") to prescribe controlled substances, under registration numbers FL0482961 and XL0482961, and was authorized to do so consistent with that registration.

4. **HAU T. LA's** practice at AMC consisted primarily of prescribing controlled substances, including highly addictive opioids.

5. Under the Controlled Substances Act, Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a) *et seq.*, and Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, a prescription for a controlled substance was not legal or effective unless issued for a legitimate medical purpose by a practitioner acting in the usual course of professional practice.

#### Case 3:22-cr-00163 Document 3 Filed 04/25/22 Page 1 of 5 PageID #: 3

6. **HAU T. LA** routinely prescribed various Schedule II controlled substances, including morphine sulfate, oxycodone, and oxymorphone, to individuals seen at AMC, outside the usual course of professional practice and without a legitimate medical purpose.

#### COUNTS ONE THROUGH SIXTEEN

#### THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES:

7. Paragraphs 1 through 6 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

8. On or about the dates set forth in each count below, in the Middle District of Tennessee and elsewhere, **HAU T. LA** did knowingly and intentionally distribute morphine sulfate, oxycodone, and oxymorphone, all of which were Schedule II controlled substances, as listed below, outside the usual course of professional practice and without a legitimate medical purpose, each of which constitutes a separate count of this Indictment:

Count	Approximate Date of Distribution	Individual	Controlled Substance
1	July 19, 2019	S.B.	Oxymorphone
2	February 5, 2021	S.B.	Oxycodone
3	November 20, 2020	T.B.	Morphine Sulfate
4	January 15, 2021	T.B.	Oxycodone
5	December 21, 2018	A.C.	Oxycodone-Acetaminophen (Oxycodone)
6	February 17, 2021	A.C.	Morphine Sulfate
7	August 31, 2018	L.D.	Oxycodone-Acetaminophen (Oxycodone)
8	January 17, 2020	L.D.	Oxycodone-Acetaminophen (Oxycodone)
9	September 11, 2020	R.W.	Oxycodone
10	January 29, 2021	R.W.	Oxymorphone
11	January 10, 2020	O.S.	Oxycodone
12	October 9, 2020	O.S.	Oxymorphone
13	September 18, 2020	Т.К.	Oxymorphone
14	February 5, 2021	Т.К.	Oxycodone
15	November 22, 2019	С.К.	Oxymorphone
16	January 15, 2021	C.K.	Oxycodone

Each in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

#### FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

#### THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES:

8. The allegations contained in the Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in support of this forfeiture allegation.

9. Upon conviction of any Count in the Indictment (Unlawful Distribution of a Controlled Substance), **HAU T. LA** shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a):

a. any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, as the result of such offense; and

b. any of the defendant's property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of the offense, including but not limited to a money judgment in an amount to be determined, representing the aggregate value of the forfeitable property.

#### SUBSTITUTE PROPERTY

10. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of HAUT. LA:

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence.
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been comingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States shall be entitled to forfeiture of the substitute property, and it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of **HAU T. LA** up to the value of said property listed above as subject to forfeiture.

A TRUE BILL	
FOREPERSON	

MARK H. WILDASIN UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

JOSEPH S. BEEMSTERBOER ACTING CHIEF, FRAUD SECTION, CRIMINAL DIVISION

LÉSLIE WILLIAMS FISHER TRIAL ATTORNEY, FRAUD SECTION, CRIMINAL DIVISION

Case 3:22-cr-00163 Document 3 Filed 04/25/22 Page 4 of 5 PageID #: 6

# **FILED UNDER SEAL**

Case 3:22-cr-00163 Document 3 Filed 04/25/22 Page 5 of 5 PageID #: 7