Case 4Seabcd0328 *SEALED* Public and unofficial staff access to this instrument are prohibited by court order

Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 int TXSD states Pages 1 of 16

Southern District of Texas

FILED July 12, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **HOUSTON DIVISION**

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
v.	
JOHN MICHAEL STEWART and DAVID JOYNER,	
Defendants.	

Criminal No. <u>4:22-cr-328</u> **UNDER SEAL**

INDICTMENT

§

The Grand Jury charges:

General Allegations

At all times material to this Indictment, unless otherwise specified:

The Medicare Program

1. The Medicare Program ("Medicare") was a federally funded program that provided free and below-cost health care benefits to individuals, primarily the elderly, blind, and disabled. The benefits available under Medicare were governed by federal statutes and regulations. The United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"), through its agency, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS"), oversaw and administered Medicare. Individuals who received benefits under Medicare were commonly referred to as Medicare "beneficiaries."

2. Medicare was a "health care benefit program," as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b), and a "Federal health care program," as defined by Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(f).

3. Medicare covered different types of benefits and was separated into different program "parts." Medicare "Part B" covered, among other things, medical services provided by physicians, medical clinics, laboratories, and other qualified health care providers, such as office

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 2 of 16

visits and laboratory testing, that were medically necessary and ordered by licensed medical doctors or other qualified health care providers.

4. Medicare "providers" included independent clinical laboratories, physicians, and other health care providers who provided items or services to beneficiaries. To bill Medicare, a provider was required to submit a Medicare Enrollment Application Form ("Provider Enrollment Application") to Medicare. The Provider Enrollment Application contained certifications that the provider was required to make before the provider could enroll with Medicare. Specifically, the Provider Enrollment Application required the provider to certify, among other things, that the provider would abide by the Medicare laws, regulations, and program instructions, including the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b), and that the provider would not knowingly present or cause to be presented a false or fraudulent claim for payment by Medicare.

5. A Medicare "provider number" was assigned to a provider upon approval of the Provider Enrollment Application. A health care provider that received a Medicare provider number was able to file claims with Medicare to obtain reimbursement for reasonable and necessary services provided to beneficiaries.

6. A Medicare claim was required to contain certain important information, including: (a) the beneficiary's name and Health Insurance Claim Number ("HICN"); (b) a description of the health care benefit, item, or service that was provided or supplied to the beneficiary; (c) the billing codes for the benefit, item, or service; (d) the date upon which the benefit, item, or service was provided or supplied to the beneficiary; (e) the name of the referring physician or other health care provider; and (f) the referring provider's unique identifying number, known either as the Unique Physician Identification Number ("UPIN") or National Provider Identifier ("NPI"). The claim form could be submitted in hard copy or electronically.

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 3 of 16

7. When submitting claims to Medicare for reimbursement, providers were required to certify that: (1) the contents of the forms were true, correct, and complete; (2) the forms were prepared in compliance with the laws and regulations governing Medicare; and (3) the services that were purportedly provided, as set forth in the claims, were medically necessary.

8. Medicare claims were required to be properly documented in accordance with Medicare rules and regulations. Medicare would not reimburse providers for claims that were procured through the payment of kickbacks and bribes.

Medicare Coverage for Genetic Testing

9. Cancer genetic ("CGx") testing used DNA sequencing to detect mutations in genes that could indicate a higher risk of developing certain types of cancers in the future. Pharmacogenetic tests ("PGx" tests) were laboratory tests that used DNA sequencing to assess how the body's genetic makeup would affect the response to certain medications. CGx and PGx testing, both of which were forms of diagnostic testing, were referred to collectively as "genetic testing." Neither type of genetic testing was a method of diagnosing whether an individual had a disease, such as cancer, at the time of the test.

10. For genetic testing, a beneficiary provided a saliva sample or cheek or nasal swab containing DNA material. The DNA sample was then submitted to a laboratory to conduct CGx or PGx testing. Tests were then run on different "panels" of genes. Genetic testing typically involved performing lab procedures that resulted in billing Medicare using certain billing codes, each with its own reimbursement rate.

11. DNA samples were submitted along with requisitions that identified the beneficiary, the beneficiary's insurance, and indicated the specific type of genetic testing to be performed. In order for laboratories to submit claims to Medicare for genetic testing, the

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 4 of 16

requisitions had to be signed by a physician or other authorized medical professional, who attested to the medical necessity of the genetic testing.

12. Medicare did not cover diagnostic testing that was "not reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member." 42 U.S.C. § 1395y(a)(1)(A). Except for certain statutory exceptions, Medicare did not cover "examinations performed for a purpose other than treatment or diagnosis of a specific illness, symptoms, complaint or injury." 42 C.F.R. § 411.15(a)(1).

13. If diagnostic testing was necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of illness or injury or to improve the functioning of a malformed body member, Medicare imposed additional requirements before covering the testing. Title 42, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 410.32(a) provided: "All . . . diagnostic laboratory tests . . . must be ordered by the physician who is treating the beneficiary, that is, the physician who furnishes a consultation or treats a beneficiary for a specific medical problem and who uses the results in the management of the beneficiary's specific medical problem." "Tests not ordered by the physician who is treating the beneficiary are not reasonable and necessary." *Id.*

Telemedicine

14. Telemedicine provided a means of connecting beneficiaries to health care providers by using telecommunications technology, such as the internet or telephone, to interact with a beneficiary.

15. Telemedicine companies provided telemedicine services, or telehealth services, to individuals by hiring doctors and other health care providers. In order to generate revenue, telemedicine companies typically either billed insurance or received payment from beneficiaries who utilized the services of the telemedicine company.

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 5 of 16

16. During the relevant time period, Medicare Part B covered expenses for specific telemedicine services if certain requirements were met, including that: (a) the beneficiary was located in a rural or health professional shortage area; (b) services were delivered by an interactive audio and video telecommunications system; and (c) the beneficiary was in a practitioner's office or a specified medical facility—not at a beneficiary's home—during the telehealth service with a remote practitioner.

The Relevant Entities, Defendants, and Relevant Individuals

17. Access DX Laboratory, LLC ("AccessDX") was a Texas limited liability company with a principal place of business in Harris County, Texas. AccessDX was an independent clinical laboratory enrolled with Medicare that purportedly provided laboratory services and diagnostic testing, including genetic testing, to individuals, including Medicare beneficiaries.

18. New Dawn Laboratories, LLC ("New Dawn") was a Texas limited liability company with a principal place of business in Harris County, Texas. New Dawn was an independent clinical laboratory enrolled with Medicare that purportedly provided laboratory services and diagnostic testing, including genetic testing, to individuals, including Medicare beneficiaries.

19. US Pharmacy Relief ("USPR") was a Nevada corporation with a principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California. USPR operated call centers that marketed to beneficiaries on behalf of health care providers.

20. Company 1 was a Florida limited liability company with a principal place of business at Hillsborough County, Florida. Company 1 operated call centers that marketed to beneficiaries on behalf of health care providers.

21. Defendant JOHN MICHAEL STEWART ("STEWART") was a resident of

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 6 of 16

Harris County, Texas, and was the owner of AccessDX and a partial owner of New Dawn. **STEWART** signed the Provider Enrollment Application on behalf of AccessDX.

22. Defendant **DAVID JOYNER** ("**JOYNER**") was a resident of Los Angeles County, California, and was the owner of USPR.

23. Individual 1 was a resident of Palm Beach County, Florida, and was **STEWART**'s business partner and a partial owner of New Dawn. Individual 1 signed the Provider Enrollment Application on behalf of New Dawn.

24. Individual 2 was a resident of Palm Beach County, Florida, and **STEWART**'s business partner, and a partial owner of New Dawn.

25. Marketer 1 was a resident of Hillsborough County, Florida. Marketer 1 was the owner of Company 1 and worked with **JOYNER** to refer beneficiary samples for diagnostic testing to AccessDX and New Dawn.

<u>COUNT ONE</u> Conspiracy to Commit Health Care Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1349)

26. Paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

27. From in or around March 2018, and continuing through in or around May 2019, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas, and elsewhere, the Defendant,

JOHN MICHAEL STEWART,

did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to execute and attempt to execute a scheme and artifice to defraud a health care benefit program affecting commerce, as defined in Title 18, United States Code,

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 7 of 16

Section 24(b), that is, Medicare, and to obtain, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, money and property owned by, and under the custody and control of, said health care benefit program, in connection with the delivery of and payment for health care benefits, items, and services, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1347.

Purpose of the Conspiracy

28. It was a purpose of the conspiracy for **STEWART** and his co-conspirators to unlawfully enrich themselves by, among other things: (a) paying and receiving kickbacks and bribes in exchange for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries and doctors' orders for genetic testing, and other documentation necessary to submit claims to Medicare (collectively, "doctors' orders"), without regard to any medical necessity for the genetic testing; (b) submitting and causing the submission, via interstate wire, of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for genetic testing that were predicated on kickbacks and bribes, not medically necessary and not eligible for Medicare reimbursement; (c) concealing and causing the concealment of the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare; and (d) diverting fraud proceeds for their personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others, and to further the fraud.

Manner and Means

The manner and means by which **STEWART** and his co-conspirators sought to accomplish the objects and purpose of the conspiracy included, among other things:

29. **STEWART** falsely certified to Medicare, through a Provider Enrollment Application submitted to Medicare, that he, as well as AccessDX, would comply with all Medicare rules and regulations, and federal laws, including that he would not knowingly present or cause to be presented a false and fraudulent claim for payment by Medicare, and that he would comply with

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 8 of 16

the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute.

30. **STEWART** negotiated kickback and bribe arrangements with marketers, to include **JOYNER** and Marketer 1, and knowingly and intentionally disguised the nature and source of these kickbacks and bribes by concealing such payments through sham contracts and otherwise making such payments look like they were for legitimate services.

31. **STEWART**, through AccessDX and New Dawn, offered to pay, and paid, kickbacks and bribes to marketers, to include **JOYNER** and Marketer 1, in exchange for the ordering and arranging for the ordering of genetic testing for Medicare beneficiaries from AccessDX and New Dawn, knowing that AccessDX and New Dawn would bill Medicare for genetic testing that was purportedly provided on behalf of these beneficiaries and that was predicated on these kickbacks and bribes.

32. **STEWART** and his co-conspirators, to include **JOYNER** and Marketer 1, agreed that **JOYNER** and Marketer 1 would pay purported telemedicine providers in order to obtain signed doctors' orders for CGx and PGx testing. **STEWART** and his co-conspirators knew the purported telemedicine providers were typically not the beneficiaries' treating physicians and were often not using the results of CGx and PGx testing to treat the beneficiaries.

33. From in or around May 2018 to in or around May 2019, **STEWART** and others submitted and caused AccessDX and New Dawn to submit approximately \$37.4 million in false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for genetic testing that were often: (a) induced through kickbacks and bribes; (b) not medically necessary; and (c) not eligible for reimbursement. Medicare paid approximately \$11.2 million on those claims.

34. After Medicare reimbursed AccessDX and New Dawn, **STEWART** transferred proceeds of the fraud to himself and his co-conspirators.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

COUNT TWO Conspiracy to Defraud the United States and to Pay and Receive Health Care Kickbacks (18 U.S.C. § 371)

35. Paragraphs 1 through 25 and 29 through 34 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

36. From in or around March 2018, and continuing through in or around May 2019, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas, and elsewhere, the Defendants,

JOHN MICHAEL STEWART and DAVID JOYNER,

did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, including with Individual 1, Individual 2, and Marketer 1, to commit offenses against the United States, that is:

- a. to defraud the United States by impairing, impeding, obstructing, and defeating through deceitful and dishonest means, the lawful government functions of HHS in its administration and oversight of Medicare;
- b. to violate Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(1), by soliciting and receiving any remuneration, including kickbacks and bribes, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, including by check and interstate wire transfer, in return for referring an individual to a person for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole and in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare; and in return for the purchasing, leasing, ordering, and arranging for, and recommending the purchasing, leasing, and ordering of any good, item, and service for which payment may be made in whole or in part by a Federal health

care program, that is, Medicare; and

c. to violate Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(2), by offering and paying any remuneration, including kickbacks and bribes, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, including by check and interstate wire transfer, to any person to induce such person to refer an individual for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole and in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare; and to purchase, lease, order and arrange for and recommend purchasing, leasing, and ordering any good, facility, service and item for which payment may be made in whole a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare, and ordering any good, facility, service and item for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare.

Purpose of the Conspiracy

37. It was a purpose of the conspiracy for **STEWART**, **JOYNER**, and their coconspirators, known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to unlawfully enrich themselves by, among other things: (a) soliciting, receiving, offering, and paying kickbacks and bribes in exchange for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries' DNA samples and arranging for doctors' orders for genetic testing to AccessDX; (b) submitting and causing the submission of false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for genetic testing that were procured through kickbacks and bribes, were not medically necessary, and were not eligible for reimbursement; (c) concealing and causing the concealment of kickbacks and bribes; and (d) diverting the proceeds for their personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others, and to further the conspiracy.

Manner and Means

The manner and means by which **STEWART**, **JOYNER**, and their co-conspirators sought to accomplish the objects and purpose of the conspiracy included, among other things, the following:

38. **JOYNER**, Marketer 1, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, targeted and recruited beneficiaries through telemarketing campaigns to induce them to submit DNA samples for CGx and PGx testing.

39. **JOYNER** and Marketer 1 solicited and received kickbacks and bribes from **STEWART** in exchange for DNA samples and doctors' orders for CGx and PGx testing, knowing that AccessDX and New Dawn would bill Medicare for genetic testing purportedly provided on behalf of these beneficiaries.

40. **STEWART** caused kickback and bribe payments to be transmitted to **JOYNER** and Marketer 1, by check and interstate wire, from bank accounts held in the name of AccessDX and New Dawn, to bank accounts held in the name of Company 1 and USPR.

41. **STEWART**, **JOYNER**, Marketer 1, and their co-conspirators created and transmitted sham invoices and spreadsheets reflecting the kickback and bribe payments owed by AccessDX and New Dawn, and used email and other forms of communications, sent and received using interstate wires, to inform each other of Medicare reimbursements, the payment of kickbacks and bribes, and other matters related to the scheme.

42. **STEWART, JOYNER**, and their co-conspirators used the proceeds received from Medicare to benefit themselves and others, and to further the scheme.

Overt Acts

In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish its objects and purpose, at least one co-

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 12 of 16

conspirator committed and caused to be committed, in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas, and elsewhere, at least the following overt acts, among others:

43. In or around early 2018, Marketer 1 and **JOYNER** reached an agreement with Individual 1 and Individual 2, who were acting on behalf of AccessDX and **STEWART**, to refer DNA samples and doctors' orders for CGx and PGx testing to AccessDX in exchange for an approximately 40% commission on reimbursement amounts, which was to be split equally between Marketer 1 and **JOYNER**.

44. On or about April 27, 2018, **STEWART** and Marketer 1 executed a Business Services Agreement between AccessDX and Company 1, which falsely represented that AccessDX would pay Company 1 based on hours of work performed and that Company 1's compensation did "not in any way relate[] to the value or volume of referrals between" Company 1 and AccessDX.

45. On or about April 27, 2018, Marketer 1 emailed **JOYNER** and Individual 2, who was acting on behalf of AccessDX and **STEWART**, about the process Company 1 would use to submit CGx and PGx testing orders to purported telemedicine doctors for their authorization.

46. On or about May 18, 2018, Company 1, with assistance from USPR, began referring DNA samples and signed doctors' orders to AccessDX.

47. On or about July 11, 2018, AccessDX caused a \$23,268.38 claim to be submitted to Medicare for CGx testing for a beneficiary that **JOYNER** and Marketer 1 referred to AccessDX. AccessDX was paid approximately \$9,141.92 on that claim.

48. On or about September 5, 2018, Marketer 1 emailed **STEWART**, **JOYNER**, Individual 1, Individual 2, and others a sham invoice for approximately \$21,250.00 that AccessDX owed Company 1, broken down by purported hourly rates. In that email, Marketer 1 discussed the

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 13 of 16

40% commission on Medicare reimbursements owed to Marketer 1 and JOYNER.

49. On October 16, 2018, **STEWART** emailed Marketer 1 a spreadsheet tracking: (a) samples that Marketer 1 referred to AccessDX and New Dawn; (b) the amounts AccessDX and New Dawn billed and paid to insurance, primarily Medicare; and (c) Marketer 1 and **JOYNER**'s commissions, calculated as 40% of the reimbursements AccessDX and New Dawn received less the cost of goods sold.

50. On or about December 30, 2018, Marketer 1 emailed **STEWART**, copying **JOYNER**, a spreadsheet showing that Marketer 1 was paying approximately \$100 per patient to the purported telemedicine company to obtain signed doctors' orders.

COUNTS THREE THROUGH FOUR

Payment of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program (42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(2) and 18 U.S.C. § 2)

51. Paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

52. On or about the dates set forth below, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas, and elsewhere, the Defendant,

JOHN MICHAEL STEWART,

aiding and abetting, and aided and abetted by others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and willfully offer and pay remuneration, that is, kickbacks and bribes, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, including by check and interstate wire transfer, to any person to induce such person to refer an individual for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of any item and service for which payment may be made in whole and in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare; and to induce such person to purchase, lease, order, and arrange for and recommend purchasing, leasing, and ordering any good, facility, service, and item for which payment may be made in whole and in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare, as set forth below:

COU	NT	Approximate Date of Payment	Approximate Amount	Description
3		11/16/2018	\$21,647.50	Wire transfer from AccessDX's account at J.P. Morgan Chase to Company 1
4		12/18/2018	\$42,000.00	Wire transfer from AccessDX's account at J.P. Morgan Chase to USPR

Each in violation of Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(2) and Title 18,

United States Code, Section 2.

<u>COUNT FIVE</u> Receipt of Kickbacks in Connection with a Federal Health Care Program (42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2)

53. Paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

54. On or about the dates set forth below, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas, and elsewhere, the Defendant,

DAVID JOYNER,

aided and abetted by, and aiding and abetting, others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly and willfully solicit and receive remuneration, that is, kickbacks and bribes, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, including by check and interstate wire, in return for referring an individual to a person for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole and in part under a Federal health care program, that is, Medicare; and for purchasing, leasing, ordering, and arranging for and

Case 4:22-cr-00328 *SEALED* Document 1 *SEALED* Filed on 07/12/22 in TXSD Page 15 of 16

recommending purchasing, leasing, and ordering any good, facility, service, and item for which payment may be made in whole and in part under a Federal health care program, that is, as set forth below:

COUNT	Approximate Date of Payment	Approximate Amount	Description
5	12/18/2018	\$42,000	Wire transfer from AccessDX's account at J.P. Morgan Chase to USPR

In violation of Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(1) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

NOTICE OF CRIMINAL FORFEITURE (18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7))

55. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7), the United States of America gives notice to **JOHN MICHAEL STEWART** and **DAVID JOYNER**, that, upon conviction of Counts One through Five, all property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the commission of such offenses is subject to forfeiture.

Money Judgment and Substitute Assets

56. Defendants JOHN MICHAEL STEWART and DAVID JOYNER are notified

that upon conviction, a money judgment may be imposed against them. In the event that one or more conditions listed in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) exists, the United States will seek to forfeit any other property of the Defendants up to the amount of the money judgment.

A TRUE BILL Original Signature on File FOREPERSON

JENNIFER B. LOWERY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

LORINDA I. LARYEA ACTING CHIEF, FRAUD SECTION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Andrew Tamayo

ANDREW TAMAYO TRIAL ATTORNEY FRAUD SECTION, CRIMINAL DIVISION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE