_

EXHIBIT L

EXHIBIT L, Page 152
EXHIBITS TO DEFENDANTS' MTD THE INDICTMENT WITH PREJUDICE
DUE TO THE GOVERNMENT'S REPEATED AND INTENTIONAL MISCONDUCT

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . CR. NO. H-09-629
4	VS HOUSTON, TEXAS
5	JOHN JOSEPH O'SHEA . 9:30 A.M. to 11:10 A.M.
6	
7	TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LYNN N. HUGHES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	APPEARANCES:
11	
12	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Department of Justice Criminal Division
13 14	1400 New York Ave, NW Bond Building 4404 Washington, DC 20005
15	MR. GREGG J. COSTA
16	Office of the U.S. Attorney P.O. Box 61129
17	Houston, Texas 77208-1129
18	
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MS. SARAH M. FRAZIER MS. ASHLEY L. GARGOUR
20	MR. JOEL ANDROPHY Berg & Androphy
21	3704 Travis Houston, Texas 77002
22	
23	
24	
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

stuff so that they're actually useful.

MS. MRAZEK: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. ANDROPHY: We may have an issue with an agent coming on and summarizing. I've been in too many matters, Judge, where agents come in and the hearsay coming out of them is just unbelievable.

MR. COSTA: She won't be testifying on witness testimony. She'll just be showing the flow of money through the bank accounts.

THE COURT: If all she does is, in effect, is a summary witness about from this date this money was wired here and the same amount of money was wired there and an e-mail back saying it's here or something. But, you know, you've got to do something; otherwise, they're immersed in a pile of stuff.

MR. ANDROPHY: No commentary though?

THE COURT: She doesn't know anything. Everything she knows is hearsay. So, she's got to say -- or the best one I've seen was a domestic gratuity was drawn from an employer. And it was a long time ago, I don't remember the prosecutor. And he put the first document up. Got it in fine. Had the person identify the next one. And this one connected to that one and it ended up, it made a circle. And, so, to show the circle, he visually stuck them up there in a circle.

I tried a piercing the corporate veil where the plaintiff had the principals of the companies around a chart

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and she would get the witness to identify a transaction and then from whom and to whom and how much money and it would be an arrow that -- pulled out an arrow with that on it and stuck it and it sat there for a better part of the morning and when you were finished, there was a mass of arrows going every which way, with no -- and in no case was there a corresponding document, you know, no wire transfer. There wasn't a loan document. There just was random stencil. It doesn't have to be like that, but something with --Yes, Your Honor, we'll do some serious work to make sure that it's visually --THE COURT: But case agents don't know anything. Your Honor --And did she actually work on the case? THE COURT: She did. THE COURT: Okay. Because if she did the work, she probably ought to get the face time rather than having somebody else step in and say that I want to do that. Okay. Well, what have we got? A couple of ABB people and a couple of co-conspirators. I take it nobody from Mexico? Well, the co-conspirators are obviously from Mexico. THE COURT: Yeah, I know, but no --

MR. COSTA: No government officials.

No, no government officials at this point have volunteered, Your Honor. I'll let you know as soon as I hear that they're willing to come in and fess up.

THE COURT: The fellow that I extradited, the head of Pemex, after he went back, it turns out that the new head of Pemex had framed him. And the general counsel of Pemex, the new general counsel was asked about it at the preliminary hearing after I sent him back, said, "Oh, this guy didn't do that. My boss faked those." So, they just traded places. He didn't get to go back until he was -- who was the president before Fox? Anyway, so, the original person indicted was in the previous administration. So, it does work sometimes.

Anybody else?

Well, Your Honor, I said that, you know, we don't anticipate any problems getting our evidence in, so we won't need a whole host of custodians. Obviously I would like to reserve the ability to call custodians.

THE COURT: Absolutely, but do you now know of any problem with authenticity of records other than the records that are admittedly inauthentic?

MR. ANDROPHY: We have document issues still, but -- THE COURT: Like what?

MS. FRAZIER: Yes, we do, Your Honor. We would like to get to that, if you're ready.