U

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT C, Page 83
EXHIBITS TO DEFENDANTS' MTD THE INDICTMENT WITH PREJUDICE
DUE TO THE GOVERNMENT'S REPEATED AND INTENTIONAL MISCONDUCT

	1	
	1	
	2	
	3	FEDERAL GRAND JURY
	4	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	5	
	6	Proceedings had before the Grand Jury
ļ	7	of the United States of America, in and
-	8	for the Central District of California,
	9	at the United States District Courthouse,
	10	312 North Spring Street, 13th Floor,
	11	Los Angeles, California, commencing
İ	12	at 10:03 A.M., on Thursday, October 21, 2010.
	13	
	14	·
	15	PRESENT:
	16	ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
	17	The state of the s
:	1.8	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SENIOR TRIAL ATTORNEY
] 1	l:9	THE WITNESS:
2	20	SUSAN GUERNSEY
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	REPORTED BY: SHERYL WILLIAMS, CSR NO. 7453
2	5	

Huntington Court Reporters & Transcription, Inc. (626) 792-6777(626) 792-8760

EXHIBIT

- 1 interviewed him said the exact same thing. They were not
- 2 going to hurt their profit margin by accepting this
- 3 30-percent commission contract with Enrique. That they
- 4 would pass it on to CFE.
- 5 Q So Enrique Aguilar says, "Hire me. I'll get you
- 6 CFE. Pay me a 30-percent commission but pass that off to
- 7 CFE. Don't worry about it. It doesn't hurt your bottom
- 8 line"?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q When they have this discussion about paying
- 11 Enrique Aguilar this 30-percent commission and just passing
- 12 it off, did they acknowledge to you that went against what
- 13 they understood to be the normal bidding process?
- A Yeah, they did. Cortez mentions it in his
- 15 testimony that they've always known that CFE usually went
- 16 with the lowest bid or one of the lowest bids just
- 17 depending upon what the contract said. They had always
- 18 been very careful in the past to make sure they came in
- 19 with one of the lowest bids, if not the lowest bid, and
- 20 Steve Lee also said the same thing. That his understanding
- 21 was always that CFE usually awarded their contracts to the
- 22 one of the lowest bidders, and once they hired Aguilar and
- 23 added that 30 percent, they still got the contracts, and
- 24 they knew they weren't the lowest bidder anymore.
- 25 Q They had tried to submit the lowest bid in their

- 1 earlier Hermosillo incident we talked about?
- 2 A Yes. And they were considerably lower than
- 3 SBB.
- 4 . Q Now, did there come a time that Keith Lindsey
- 5 talked about what he believed this high commission was
- 6 being used for?
- 7 A When we interviewed him, he said that he didn't
- 8 want to ask what it was used for. He thought it was high.
- 9 Didn't want to know. Just didn't want to know.
- 10 Q Did he say that he assumed it was being used for
- 11 something?
- 12 A He said he assumed that it was being used to
- 13 possibly pay someone at CFE but that he didn't want to
- 14 know.
- 15 Q Now, you also talked to Keith; or pardon me,
- 16 Steve Lee about his own perception of why the 30-percent
- 17 commission was needed or how it would be used; is that
- 18 right?
- 19 A I'm sorry?
- 20 Q Steve Lee, the CFO, he was asked by the FBI about
- 21 what he thought this 30-percent commission was going to be
- 22 used for; is that right?
- 23 A Yeah. But he also said that he didn't -- he
- 24 didn't want to know.
- 25 Q There came a point in that interview that someone

- 1 asked him, "Well, if I told you it was being used to pay
- 2 bribes, " and he suggested that he didn't know that; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4. A Yes. He did say that he wasn't aware of that
- 5 happening.
- 6 Q Now, did you find that statement on Steve Lee's
- 7 behalf to be credible that he did not know that these
- 8 payments were being made?
- 9 A We found it strange just on everything during our
- 10 investigation that we found out. That he had been put on
- 11 notice with the emails from Lamarche that it looked like it
- 12 was happening. There were accusations from another large
- 13 company General Electric. You know, just all the
- 14 information they had about his relationship with Nestor
- 15 Moreno and, you know, Keith Lindsey said that he suspected
- 16 that it was going to someone at CFE, but he didn't want to
- 17 know, and they're the president and vice president of the
- 18 company, and they met with Aguilar personally. So, you
- 19 know, it just seemed strange that he would say he had no
- 20 idea because he had several indications in the past anyway
- 21 that that was the way Enrique did business.
- 22 Q Those indications you quickly summarized them,
- 23 but I want to go through them with the Grand Jury.
- You believed he knew or intentionally avoided
- 25 knowing whether or not these were going to pay bribes at

- 1 million.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Appears to have been split down from November
- 4 10th, and then a couple of days later same exact amount --
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q -- is being sent in on the same contract?
- 7 A Yes. It's just `-- looking at it, I mean travel,
- 8 customer translation, that's an awful lot of money to be
- 9 paying. You could travel first class every day of the year
- 10 and maybe reach half of that. They just seemed
- 11 fraudulent.
- 12 Q And one more, and we've got to move on. This is
- 13 also still Exhibit 5. This one is dated August 2, 2002.
- 14 This one is for the professional representation expenses,
- 15 customer visits, translation?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Again \$174,326.06?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q The next day August 2nd they send in one for the
- 20 commission on the same contract, same exact dollar amount
- 21 down to the cent?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q That's Government's Exhibit 5.
- Now, as these invoices were coming in, you also
- 25 looked to the ledgers and the internal records of Lindsey

- 1 to see what they were doing and how they were treating
- 2 these commissions?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What did you find out?
- 5 A We found that when they started doing business
- 6 with Aguilar in the general ledger and how they classified
- 7 commission, I mean it was a commission, it said 30 percent.
- 8 and it would list the amount. So even though those are
- 9 split out, you know, it would be the total of those two.
- 10 Like 30-percent commission whatever the total was. And
- 11 sometime -- I'm going to say in '05 or '06 they were
- 12 audited by the IRS with regards to their commissions, and
- 13 one of their employees Mang Hue Kwok, who testified
- 14 previously before the Grand Jury, she's an assistant
- 15 controller of the company, and she handles all the accounts
- 16 receivable, and she said, "Yes, I was the one that entered
- 17 in the commission every time on the general ledger.
- 18 always checked at the end of the month to make sure that
- 19 the numbers were correct. " And she said, "Every month at
- 20 the end of the month when I was doing that, I would double
- 21 check with Steve Lee that Enrique Aguilar's or Grupo's
- 22 commission was 30 percent every month, and he would say,
- 23 'Yes, yes, yes.'"
- 24 All of a sudden in '05 or '06 Steve Lee came to
- 25 her and said, "We need to reclassify the commission. We

- 1 need to split it out. We need to split 15 and 15. 15 to
- 2 commission and 15 to other services." She didn't ask him
- 3 why, and he never explained why. But all those documents
- 4 once she reclassified them were turned over to their
- 5 accountant for the IRS audit.
- 6 Q I'm going to mark this as Government's Exhibit 6.
- 7 This is an example of a document that Mang Hue Kwok was
- 8 shown in her Grand Jury evidencing this split that she was
- 9 told to do by Steve Lee; is that right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q I'll put this up as Government's Exhibit 6.
- This is one of the documents that she was shown.
- 13 and did she explain who had instructed her in August of
- 14 2006 to reclassify this as should be 15 percent?
- 15 A Yes. She said that that was Steve Lee's writing
- 16 on the document and that he brought that to her and told
- 17 her this is how we have to reclassify the 30-percent
- 18 commission that we're listing on our general ledger, and he
- 19 did that with any of the commissions that had been
- 20 submitted or the bills that had been submitted by Grupo up
- 21 to that point.
- Ladies and gentlemen, you've heard
- 23 testimony that they were being audited, that is, one of the
- 24 defendants in this case. But that's not what their charged
- 25 with. You should not consider that in your deliberations

- 1 doing the bidding to CFE." So Lindsey would take their
- 2 costs, add the 30 percent and just give it to Enrique
- 3 Aguilar. So they had basically no contact with CFE with
- 4 regards to the contracts. It was all done by Enrique
- 5 Aguilar which was unusual. They usually had direct contact
- 6 with the companies that they were working with is what they
- 7 expressed. But when they hired Aguilar, they didn't have
- 8 direct contact anymore.
- 9 Q Now, they started to get contracts once they
- 10 hired Enrique Aguilar; is that correct?
- 11 A They did. They got them regularly.
- 12 Q And did there come a time that they began to get
- 13 so many contracts they had to change the shifts and things
- 14 like that?
- 15 A They did. Steve Lee in his interview told us at
- 16 one point they were so busy with the contracts that they
- 17 were getting from CFE they had to put three eight-hour
- 18 shifts a day, seven days a week. So they were basically
- 19 working 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
- 20 Q How many contracts did your evidence or
- 21 investigation reveal that they got when they were working
- 22 with their other rep in Mexico?
- 23 A We didn't find that they got any with their other
- 24 rep.
- Q Did you begin to look at all this money that was

- 1 going to Grupo to see if it was being used to go back to
- 2 people at CFE as Keith Lindsey assumed but didn't want to
- 3 know?
- 4 A We did. We were able to look at the Grupo
- 5 account at Global Financial Services, the investment house
- 6 that it was housed at, and we found several instances where
- 7 the money was going out to officials, for the benefit of
- 8 officials at CFE.
- 9 Q Let's talk about that. I'm going to show
- 10 you -- before we get into the actual documentation, Nestor
- 11 Moreno as we talked about was an official at CFE?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q You said that his title started out like he was
- 14 the subdirector of generation?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Then in 2007 he's promoted to be the director of
- 17 operations?
- 18 A Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q During that time he had an account, an American
- 20 Express account at the Grupo -- how do you say this? An
- 21 American Express card attached to the Grupo account where
- 22 all the money was going to?
- 23 A There came a time when Grupo had their account at
- 24 Global Financial Services where an American Express account
- 25 was opened in Nestor Moreno's name at the request of

- 1 Enrique Aguilar.
- Q How much money ultimately did Lindsey's wire
- 3 transfers go to pay off this Amex bill?
- 4 A We determined in looking at all the American
- 5 Express records that it was over \$170,000.
- 6 Q Now, this is a document from -- just to go back a
- 7 little bit. When you began to look at Grupo's financial
- 8 records, you found that Grupo had a brokerage account at a
- 9 firm called Global Financial in Houston, Texas; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q You went to Global and you asked for some records
- 13 for the Grupo account?
- 14 A Yes, we did.
- 15 Q Is Government's Exhibit 7 one of the records that
- 16 you got back?
- 17 A Yes, that is one of the records.
- 18 Q Focusing in on one of these records, it says here
- 19 that this Chase Manhattan American Express is in the name
- 20 of Nestor Moreno, and it gives the account number for that;
- 21 is that correct?
- 22 A Yes, that's correct.
- 23 Q And towards the top of that it's the amount of
- 24 money that in that instance is being paid off on the Amex
- 25 bill; is that right?

- predecessor.
 1 predecessor.
- 3 MR. MILLER: And just to be clear because I want to
- 4 make sure we have the sequence right.

Yes.

- 5 Q Enrique Aguilar is hired in around 2002; is that
- 6 your testimony?
- 7 A Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q Then in 2007 Nestor Moreno gets promoted to be
- 9 the director of operations?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q In 2006 you saw \$500,000 being wired to Arturo
- 12 Hernandez?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q At that time it's your understanding that
- 15 Arturo -- not to Arturo Hernandez but to his relatives?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q At that time it's your understanding from your
- 18 investigation that Arturo Hernandez was the director of
- 19 operations at CFE?
- 20 A Yes.
- Does that get the chronology right?
- 22 A JUROR: Yes.
- 23 A JUROR: I have a question with respect to I think it
- 24 was Exhibit 5. I'm a little confused on the dates and
- 25 timing. You said until the investigation by I think it was

- 1 the IRS she was writing a check, this Mang Hue was writing
- 2 a check 30 percent; yet it was being invoiced as two
- 3 separate. So why wasn't she paying it separately? I'm
- 4 confused as to the timing and these invoices. The invoices
- 5 you presented from August 1, 2002 where it was split up
- 6 into two separate payments, but you said that Mang Hue had
- 7 said up until 2005 she was only writing one --
- 8 And I don't think --
- 9 THE WITNESS: She wasn't writing a check.
- Explain that. She was entering it into
- 11 their general ledger.
- 12 THE WITNESS: For their general ledger for their
- 13 accounting purposes. They would wire money to the Grupo
- 14 account based on the invoices, so whatever the invoice
- 15 said. But in terms of her accounting purposes, they were
- 16 documenting everything as 30-percent commission. They
- 17 weren't separating it out, distinguishing between the
- 18 two.
- 19 A JUROR: Okay.
- 20 A JUROR: I have a couple questions. What motivation
- 21 did Angie Aguilar have for providing photos of this close
- 22 relationship to the court?
- 23 Was she explained to provide truthful
- 24 testimony?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. During the interview, it was

- 1 voluntary. She wanted to talk to us, and she said that she
- 2 had spoken to her father before she talked to us, and he
- 3 told her just be truthful. Tell them what you know. I
- 4 don't know why she provided the photos. We did not ask for
- 5 them.
- 6 A JUROR: They've been friends all their life. What's
- 7 the big secret?
- 8 THE WITNESS: There's no big secret about it. We
- 9 didn't know why she provided the photos. She just gave
- 10 them to us.
- 11 A JUROR: It seems a little strange.
- 12 THE WITNESS: When we got there for the interview, the
- 13 photos were there.
- 14 You didn't try to explain to her how they
- 15 might be used in the broader investigation?
- 16 THE WITNESS: No. She just provided them.
- 17 A JUROR: What happened to Arturo Hernandez? Why did
- 18 he leave CFE? Did he retire?
- 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know if we know why he left CFE.
- 20 I don't -- we've never -- we know that he left in 2007.
- 21 Don't speculate.
- 22 THE WITNESS: No. He left in 2007, and Nestor was
- 23 promoted.
- 24 A JUROR: You just don't know?
- 25 THE WITNESS: No.

- 1 A JUROR: My understanding is that Lindsey has been in
- 2 business for sixty-some years. Does Lindsey have a history
- 3 of winning contracts from CBE?
- 4 THE WITNESS: From CFE?
- 5 A JUROR: Yeah, from CFE.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I believe according to Keith Lindsey's
- 7 testimony their first contract awarded by CFE was in '94.
- 8 They didn't have a lot of business with CFE before they
- 9 hired Aguilar.
- 10 What did Sergio Cortez say about kind of
- 11 the drought, if you will, right before they hired Enrique
- 12 Aguilar?
- 13 THE WITNESS: He said that it was like six or seven
- 14 years before they got a contract or that they didn't have
- 15 any business with CFE prior to hiring Aguilar.
- During that time they had a
- 17 representative in Mexico?
- 18 THE WITNESS: They did. They had separate -- they had
- 19 Manuel Gutierrez and a gentleman named Cardenas before him.
- 20 They would go to CFE, do bids, but just generally anything
- 21 that they got from CFE was very small.
- 22 A JUROR: Was there a particular company that was
- 23 getting most of the work previously?
- 24 THE WITNESS: I mean --
- 25 Q Let's talk about what you know.

- 1 The instance where you were talking about the Hermosillo
- 2 incident --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q -- that came to your attention in the
- 5 investigation. It appears that was ultimately awarded to
- 6 SBB?
- 7 A Yes. According to -- at the time it was awarded
- 8 to Lindsey, but then they canceled it and then, you know,
- 9 like a year later.
- 10 Q Sergio --
- 11 A It looked like SBB got the contract without
- 12 direct -- it was a direct purchase.
- 13 Q You're basing that on the Lamarche email that is
- 14 complaining about that fact?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And SBB was described to be as kind of one of the
- 17 few competitors by Sergio Cortez of Lindsey
- 18 Manufacturing?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Is that right?
- 21 A Yes. I believe Sergio said there's just four
- 22 companies throughout the entire world that make the stuff
- 23 that they make, the towers and all the equipment that goes
- 24 with it. So there's not a lot of competition in that area.
- 25 A JUROR: In investigating the Aguilars can you say

- 1 how much money they're worth? What their relative assets 2 are?
- 3 THE WITNESS: We know that the Grupo account I think
- 4 at its height had about five and a half million dollars in
- 5 it. Most of that came from Lindsey. Pretty much all -- I
- 6 think there was a few other small transfers in, but the
- 7 majority of it was from Lindsey. And then the Sorvill
- 8 accounts in Europe I don't know the exact amount, but I
- 9 know they're in the millions.
- 10 A JUROR: You had referenced these emails several
- 11 times. Do you have copies of those emails? Are those
- 12 exhibits?
- 13 Sure. What email? Just remind me.
- 14 A JUROR: You talked about the Lamarche email.
- 15 Yes.
- 16 THE WITNESS: They're part of the exhibits.
- We summarized them, but they are here,
- 18 and you can read through them. They're exhibits
- 19 4 -- Exhibit 4, two emails.
- 20 A JUROR: Thank you.
- 21 A JUROR: Is Moreno a resident of the United States?
- 22 He's a Mexican citizen?
- 23 THE WITNESS: He's a Mexican citizen.
- 24 A JUROR: He's a Mexican citizen?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I means he lives in Mexico. CFE's

- 1 those costs?
- 2 THE WITNESS: I was basing it on the testimony of
- 3 Sergio Cortez and Steve Lee. The chief financial officer
- 4 actually said that as well. That they had increased their
- 5 costs because they didn't want their profit margin to be
- 6 affected in any way.
- 7 A JUROR: Is there a law against the amount of
- 8 commission a person can ask for in a business transaction
- 9 such as this? I know that that's not exactly the way we're
- 10 looking at it, but is there a law that governs the
- 11 commission?
- 12 If you know.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.
- 14 A JUROR: I have a question. For the Grupo account at
- 15 Global, you mentioned earlier that there were essentially
- 16 no other funds in that account other than those that came
- 17 from ---
- 18 THE WITNESS: I said the majority of the funds from
- 19 Grupo. I would say as high as 90, 95 percent of the funds
- 20 in the Grupo account are from Lindsey, yes.
- 21 A JUROR: All right.
- We are going to allow you to deliberate.
- 23 As I said, myself, the case agent, Nicoloa, and the court
- 24 reporter will be right outside if you have any questions.
- 25 And at this time you have the exhibits which are

I'll place them on the Elmo, and you have copies 1 up here. of the indictment, and we'll be right outside. A JUROR: You said those emails were 4 and 5? Do you 3 4 remember? 5 I believe so. There are Exhibit 4, but there are actually two emails in the exhibit, and they're highlighted. A JUROR: Great. 8 9 (The Grand Jury deliberates.) 10 Back on the record. The record should reflect that the Grand Jury has 11 deliberated and has returned a True Bill with a sufficient 12 number of the Grand Jurors voting to indict. The foreperson has signed the ballot and the 14 15 indictment. 16 Is that correct? 17 THE FOREPERSON: Yes. 18 And I ask you somewhat repetitively has the foreperson signed the ballot and the indictment and has 19 the foreperson placed a /s/ on the signature line of the 20 duplicate original indictment to indicate that the 21 22 foreperson has signed the indictment? THE FOREPERSON: 23 Yes. 24 Thank you. 25 This concludes the case of United States vs.

```
Enrique Faustino Aguilar Noriega, et al.
    1
             (The proceedings were concluded at 12:49 P.M.)
    2
    3
   5
   7
   9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Huntington Court Reporters & Transcription, Inc. (626) 792-6777(626) 792-8760