UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 25-CR-20297-BECERRA/TORRES Case No.

18 U.S.C. § 371 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

ERNESTO DAVILA,

Defendant.

FILED BY BM D.C.

Jun 27, 2025

ANGELA E. NOBLE
CLERIK U.S. DIST. CT.
S. D. OF FLA. - MIAMI

INFORMATION

The United States Attorney charges that:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times material to this Information:

The Florida Medicaid Program

- 1. The Florida Medicaid Program ("Medicaid") was a partnership between the state of Florida and the federal government that provided health care benefits to certain low-income individuals in Florida. The benefits available under Medicaid were governed by federal and state statutes and regulations. Medicaid was administered by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and the Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA"). Individuals who received benefits under Medicaid were commonly referred to as Medicaid "recipients."
- 2. Medicaid was financed with both federal and state funds and was a "health care benefit program," as defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 24(b).
- 3. Health care providers seeking to bill Medicaid for the cost of related benefits, items, and services were required to apply for and receive a "provider number." The provider number allowed a health care provider to submit bills, known as "claims," to Medicaid to obtain

reimbursement for the cost of health care benefits, items, and services provided to Medicaid recipients.

- 4. Medicaid permitted these providers to submit claim forms electronically. The health insurance claim forms required the provider to provide certain important information, including: (a) the Medicaid recipient's name and identification number; (b) the identification number of the doctor or other qualified health care provider who ordered or provided the health care benefit, item, or service that was the subject of the claim; (c) the health care benefit, item, or service that was provided or supplied to the beneficiary; (d) the billing codes for the benefit, item, or service; and (e) the date upon which the benefit, item, or service was provided to or supplied to the recipient.
- 5. When a claim was submitted to Medicaid, the provider certified that the contents of the form were true, correct, complete, and that the form was prepared in compliance with the laws and regulations governing the Medicaid program. The provider further certified that the services and health care items being billed were medically necessary and were in fact provided as billed.
- 6. Medicaid generally paid a substantial portion of the cost of the health care benefits, items, and services that were medically necessary and ordered by licensed doctors or other licensed, qualified health care providers. Payments were typically made directly to the health care provider rather than to the Medicaid recipient.
- 7. Medicaid reimbursed for mental health treatment including "psychosocial rehabilitation services." Psychosocial rehabilitation ("PSR") services consisted of mental health counseling in a group setting to improve a person with a mental disorder's ability to perform the

activities of daily living or to improve their ability to perform a job. The mental disorders treated in this manner included depression and social anxiety disorder. Medicaid allowed providers to bill for PSR services provided in a group setting.

8. Medicaid would reimburse the providers of PSR services, called "community behavioral health services providers" for performing an initial mental health evaluation, developing a treatment plan, and providing up to 480 hours of PSR services to a Medicaid recipient per fiscal year.

The Defendant, Related Individuals and a Related Company

- 9. Florida Behavior Health, Incorporated ("Florida Behavior") was a Florida corporation, located at 2099 West 76th Street, Hialeah, Florida, that purported to provide medically necessary PSR services to Medicaid recipients.
- 10. Defendant **ERNESTO DAVILA** was a resident of Miami-Dade County and the listed owner and president of Florida Behavior.
- 11. Sergio Da La Noval was a resident of Miami-Dade County and a beneficial owner of Florida Behavior.
 - 12. Patient 1, a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, was a Medicaid recipient.
 - 13. Patient 2, a resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, was a Medicaid recipient.

Conspiracy to Offer and Pay Health Care Kickbacks (18 U.S.C. § 371)

From in or around March 2019, through in or around June 2021, in Miami-Dade County, in the Southern District of Florida, and elsewhere, the defendant,

ERNESTO DAVILA,

did willfully, that is, with the intent to further the object of the conspiracy, and knowingly,

combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with Sergio De La Noval and with others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, to commit an offense against the United States, that is, to violate Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b)(2)(B), by knowingly and willfully offering and paying remuneration, including kickbacks and bribes, directly and indirectly, overtly and covertly, in cash and in kind, to a person to induce such person to order and arrange for any service and item that could be paid for, in whole and in part, by a Federal health care program, that is, Medicaid.

Purpose of the Conspiracy

14. It was a purpose of the conspiracy for the defendant and his co-conspirators to unlawfully enrich themselves by, among other things: (a) offering and paying kickbacks and bribes to Medicaid recipients to induce them to serve as patients at Florida Behavior; (b) submitting and causing the submission of claims to Medicaid for PSR services that Florida Behavior purportedly provided to Medicaid recipients; (c) causing Medicaid to make payments to Florida Behavior as a result of such claims; (e) concealing the payment of the kickbacks; and (f) diverting the proceeds of the scheme for the defendant and his co-conspirators' personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others, and to further the conspiracy.

Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

The manner and means by which the defendant and his co-conspirators sought to accomplish the object and purpose of the conspiracy included, among other things, the following:

15. Sergio De La Noval and other co-conspirators offered and paid kickbacks and bribes to Medicaid recipients for serving as patients at Florida Behavior with **ERNESTO DAVILA's** knowledge.

- 16. **ERNESTO DAVILA** and other co-conspirators caused Florida Behavior to submit approximately \$1.264,974 in claims to Medicaid for PSR services that Florida Behavior purportedly provided to the recruited Medicaid recipients who were paid kickbacks and bribes.
- 17. **ERNESTO DAVILA** and other co-conspirators used the proceeds from the scheme for their personal use and benefit, the use and benefit of others, and to further the conspiracy.

Overt Acts

In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish its object and purpose, at least one coconspirator committed and caused to be committed, in the Southern District of Florida, at least one of the following overt acts, among others:

- 1. On or about March 13, 2019, **ERNESTO DAVILA** added himself as President of Florida Behavior in the corporate records in the State of Florida.
- 2. On or about December 5, 2019, **ERNESTO DAVILA** signed the enrollment documents that were submitted to Medicaid for Florida Behavior.
- 3. On or about October 26, 2020, a co-conspirator submitted a PSR services claim to Medicaid on behalf of Florida Behavior for Patient 1, to whom Sergio De La Noval had paid a cash kickback in order to induce the patient to receive such treatment.
- 4. On or about November 7, 2020, a co-conspirator submitted a PSR services claim to Medicaid on behalf of Florida Behavior for Patient 2, to whom Sergio De La Noval had paid a cash kickback in order to induce the patient to receive such treatment.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

(18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(7))

1. The allegations of this Information are hereby re-alleged and by this reference

fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture to the United States of America of

certain property in which the defendant, ERNESTO DAVILA, has an interest.

2. Upon conviction of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, as alleged in this

Information, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any property, real or personal, that

constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from gross proceeds traceable to the commission of

such violation, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7).

3. The property subject to forfeiture as a result of the alleged offense includes, but

is not limited to a sum which represents the gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the

federal health care offense alleged in this Information and which may be sought as a forfeiture

money judgment.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(7), and the procedures set forth

in Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, as made applicable by Title 18, United States Code,

Section 982(b)(1).

HAYDEN P. O'BYRNE

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

TIMOTHY I. ABRAHAM

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

Case 1:25-cr-20297-JB Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/27/2025 Page 7 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO.: <u>25-CR-20297-BECERRA/TORRES</u>
v. Erne	STO DAVILA,	CERTIFICATE OF TRIAL ATTORNEY
1	Defendant. Division (select one) Miami Key West FTP FTL WPB	Superseding Case Information: New Defendant(s) (Yes or No) Number of New Defendants Total number of new counts
I do he 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	witnesses and the legal complexities of the Indi I am aware that the information supplied on this	s statement will be relied upon by the Judges of this Court in setting order the mandate of the Speedy Trial Act, 28 U.S.C. §3161.
6.	(Check only one) (An indicate of the second order one) (Check only one) (An indicate one) (Check only one) (An indicate one) (An indicate one) (An indicate one) (Check only one) (An indicate one) (An	r emeanor y District Court? (Yes or No) No
7.	If yes, Judge Has a complaint been filed in this matter? (_ Case No
7.	If yes, Judge	Magistrate Case No
8.	Does this case relate to a previously filed m	natter in this District Court? (Yes or No) Yes
9.	Defendant(s) in federal custody as of	Case No.25-cr-20276
10.	Defendant(s) in state custody as of	
11.	Rule 20 from the District of	
12. 13.	Is this a potential death penalty case? (Yes	ling in the Central Region of the U.S. Attorney's Office
13.	prior to October 3, 2019 (Mag. Judge Jared	
14.	Did this matter involve the participation of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Eduardo I. Sanchez during his tenure at the U.S. Attorney's Office, which concluded on January 22, 2023? No	
15.		of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Marty Fulgueira orney's Office, which concluded on March 5, 2024? No
16.		of or consultation with Magistrate Judge Ellen F. D'Angelo fice, which concluded on October 7, 2024? No
		By: Timothy Abraham

Assistant United States Attorney

1143729

FL Bar No.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

PENALTY SHEET

Defendant's Name: ERNESTO DAVILA	_
Case No:	_
Count #: 1	
18, United States Code, § 371 and 42, United States Code, § 1320a-7b(b)(2)(B)	_
Conspiracy to Offer and Pay Health Care Kickbacks	
* Max. Term of Imprisonment: 5 years	
* Mandatory Min. Term of Imprisonment (if applicable): N/A	
* Max. Supervised Release: 3 years	
* Max. Fine: \$250,000 fine or twice the gross gain or loss from the offense	

^{*}Refers only to possible term of incarceration, supervised release and fines. It does not include restitution, special assessments, parole terms, or forfeitures that may be applicable.

AO 455 (Rev. 01/09) Waiver of an Indictment

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Sou	uthern District of Florida
United States of America v. ERNESTO DAVILA Defendant) Case No. 25-CR-20297-BECERRA/TORRES))
WAIV	VER OF AN INDICTMENT
year. I was advised in open court of my rights and	one or more offenses punishable by imprisonment for more than one d the nature of the proposed charges against me. ght to prosecution by indictment and consent to prosecution by
Date:	Defendant's signature
	Signature of defendant's attorney Michael Mirer, Esq.
	Printed name of defendant's attorney
	Judge's signature
	Judge's printed name and title