	Case 2:09-cr-00372-SRB Document 2	19 Filed 05/13/11 Page 1 of 12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	District of Arizona DENIS J. McINERNEY United States Department of Justice Chief Criminal Division, Fraud Section Patrick F. Stokes (Maryland State Bar Member) Jennifer R. Taylor (DC Bar #497349) United States Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1400 New York Avenue, 4th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Patrick.Stokes2@usdoj.gov Jennifer.Taylor3@usdoj.gov Telephone (202) 305-4232/4002/3611	3 2011 ISTRICT COURT OF ARIZONA DEPUTY
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
13	United States of America,	OD 00 272 2 DUV ODD
14	Plaintiff,	CR-09-372-2 PHX-SRB
15	v.	PLEA AGREEMENT
16	Don Walter Watson,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	against the United States (a Class D felony offense), namely, Securities Fraud in violation 15	
25	U.S.C. §§ 78(j)(b) and 78(ff)(a) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and Mail Fraud, in violation of	
26	18 U.S.C. § 1341.	
27	II. <u>MAXIMUM PENALTIES</u>	
28	1. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 is punishable by a maximum fine of \$250,000,	
-0	1. A violation of 18 U.S.C. § 37	1 is punishable by a maximum fine of \$250,000,

loss, a maximum term of imprisonment of 5 years, or both, and a term of supervised release of up to 3 years. If probation is imposed, a maximum term of probation is 5 years.

- 2. According to the Sentencing Guidelines issued pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the Court shall order the defendant to:
- (a) make restitution to any victim of the offense pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663 and/or 3663A, unless the Court determines that restitution would not be appropriate;
- (b) pay a fine pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3572, unless the Court finds that a fine is not appropriate;
- (c) serve a term of supervised release when required by statute or when a sentence of imprisonment of more than one year is imposed (with the understanding that the Court may impose a term of supervised release in all other cases); and
- (d) pay upon conviction a \$100 special assessment for each count to which the defendant pleads guilty pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(A).
- 3. The Court is required to consider the Sentencing Guidelines in determining the defendant's sentence. However, the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, and the Court is free to exercise its discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crime(s) of conviction, unless there are stipulations to the contrary that the Court accepts.

III. AGREEMENTS REGARDING SENTENCING

- 1. <u>Non-Binding Estimate of Applicable Sentencing Guidelines</u>. The parties agree that the following Sentencing Guidelines are applicable in this case:
 - a. U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(1) Base Offense Level 6
 - b. U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(9)(c) Sophisticated Means +2
 - c. Criminal History Category I.
- 2. The United States and the defendant agree that the parties are free to argue for the application of additional adjustments and enhancements, or object to the guidelines calculation of the U.S. Probation Office as appropriate, under the Sentencing Guidelines.

3. <u>Non-Binding Nature of Recommendations</u>. Defendant understands that the recommendations in paragraph 1 above are not binding on the Court.

- 4. <u>Restitution.</u> Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663 and/or 3663A, the defendant specifically agrees to make restitution in an amount to be determined by the Court at the time of sentencing. This restitution order shall include restitution, if ordered, for dismissed counts and uncharged conduct.
- 5. <u>Assets.</u> The defendant shall make a full accounting of all assets in which the defendant has any legal or equitable interest. The defendant shall not (and shall not aid or abet any other party to) sell, hide, waste, spend, or transfer any such assets or property before sentencing, without the prior approval of the United States (provided, however, that no prior approval will be required for routine, day-to-day expenditures).
- 6. Acceptance of Responsibility. If the defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for this offense up to and including the time of the sentencing, consistent with U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, the United States will recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level pursuant to U.S.S.G.§ 3E1.1(a). If the defendant has an offense level of 16 or more, the United States will recommend an additional one-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b).

IV. AGREEMENT TO DISMISS OR NOT TO PROSECUTE

- 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(A), at the time of the defendant's sentencing, the United States shall dismiss Counts 2 through 28 and Counts 30 and 31 of the Indictment in CR-09-372-2-PHX-SRB against the defendant.
- 2. The United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section and the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Arizona will not prosecute the defendant for any offenses committed by the defendant, and known by the United States, in connection with the conduct underlying and related to the defendant's guilty plea.
 - 3. This agreement does not, in any manner, restrict the actions of the United

States by another office of the United States Department of Justice, other district, or bind any other United States Attorney's Office (other than the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Arizona).

4. If the Court rejects the plea agreement, this case will be scheduled for trial for the Indictment.

V. <u>COURT APPROVAL REQUIRED; REINSTITUTION OF PROSECUTION</u>

If the Court, after reviewing this plea agreement, concludes that any provision contained herein is inappropriate, it may reject the plea agreement and give the defendant the opportunity to withdraw the guilty plea in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(5).

If the defendant's guilty plea or plea agreement is rejected, withdrawn, vacated, or reversed at any time, this agreement shall be null and void, the United States shall be free to prosecute the defendant for all crimes of which it then has knowledge and any charges that have been dismissed because of this plea agreement shall automatically be reinstated. In such event, the defendant waives any and all objections, motions, and defenses based upon the Statute of Limitations, the Speedy Trial Act, or constitutional restrictions in bringing later charges or proceedings. The defendant understands that any statements made at the time of the defendant's change of plea or sentencing may be used against the defendant in any subsequent hearing, trial, or proceeding subject to the limitations of Fed. R. Evid. 410.

IV. WAIVER OF DEFENSES AND APPEAL RIGHTS

Providing the defendant's sentence is consistent with this agreement, the defendant waives (1) any and all motions, defenses, probable cause determinations, and objections that the defendant could assert to the indictment or information; and (2) any right to file an appeal, any collateral attack, and any other writ or motion that challenges the conviction, an order of restitution or forfeiture, the entry of judgment against the defendant, or any aspect of the defendant's sentence, including the manner in which the sentence is determined, including but not limited to any appeals under 18 U.S.C. § 3742 and motions under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241 and 2255. The defendant acknowledges that if the Court has sentenced the

defendant according to the terms of this agreement, this waiver shall result in the dismissal of any appeal, collateral attack, or other motion the defendant might file challenging the conviction, order of restitution or forfeiture, or sentence in this case.

VII. <u>DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION</u>

- 1. The United States retains the unrestricted right to provide information and make any and all statements it deems appropriate to the U.S. Probation Office and to the Court in connection with the case.
- 2. Any information, statements, documents, and evidence that the defendant provides to the United States pursuant to this agreement may be used against the defendant at any time.
- 3. The defendant shall provide complete and truthful responses to questions posed by the U.S. Probation Office including, but not limited to, questions relating to:
 - (a) criminal convictions, history of drug abuse, and mental illness; and
- (b) financial information, including present financial assets or liabilities that relate to the ability of the defendant to pay a fine or restitution.

VIII. FORFEITURE, CIVIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to protect the defendant from administrative or civil forfeiture proceedings or prohibit the United States from proceeding with and/or initiating an action for civil forfeiture. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3613, all monetary penalties, including restitution imposed by the Court, shall be due immediately upon judgment and subject to immediate enforcement by the United States. If the Court imposes a schedule of payments, the schedule of payments shall be merely a schedule of minimum payments and shall not be a limitation on the methods available to the United States to enforce the judgment.

IX. ELEMENTS

Conspiracy (18 U.S.C. § 371)

First, beginning in or about January 2001, until in or about September 2006, in the District of Arizona and elsewhere, the defendant and others did willfully agree and conspire with each other to commit offenses against the United States, namely, securities fraud and mail fraud.

Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and

Third, one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act in the District of Arizona for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

Elements of Securities Fraud (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff)

First, from in or about January 2001 to in or about September 2006, within the District of Arizona, the defendant, directly or indirectly, willfully used a device or scheme to defraud, made an untrue statement of a material fact, or engaged in an act, practice, or course of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit on any person;

Second, the defendant's acts were in connection with the purchase or sale of CSK Auto Corp. ("CSK") securities; and

Third, the defendant used the mail, an instrumentality of interstate commerce, or any facility of a national security exchange in connection with these acts.

Elements of Mail Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1341)

First, from in or about January 2001 to in or about September 2006, within the District of Arizona, the defendant devised and intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, the defendant knew that the promises or statements were false;

Third, the promises or statements were material, that is they would reasonably influence

a person to part with money or property;

Fourth, the defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and

Fifth, the defendant used, or caused to be used, the mails to carry out or attempt to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

X. FACTUAL BASIS

The defendant admits that if this matter were to proceed to trial the United States could prove the following facts beyond a reasonable doubt:

- 1. At all times relevant to the Indictment, the defendant was a resident in the District of Arizona and an employee of CSK, a large specialty retailer of automobile parts. The defendant was a senior vice president and chief financial officer ("CFO") until in or about October 2005, at which time he was replaced as CFO and became the chief administrative officer. He was employed at CSK until in or about September 2006.
- 2. As part of its business, CSK purchased hundreds of millions of dollars worth of parts and accessories every year from vendors. CSK entered into agreements with many vendors to receive discounts, or allowances, for products it purchased in exchange for CSK using the allowances, generally, for marketing of the vendors' products for sale in its stores. CSK's largest vendor allowance program was called "Let's Work Together" ("LWT").
- 3. The defendant and his co-conspirators conspired to artificially inflate CSK's earnings from in or about January 2001 through in or about September 2006. The methods willfully used by the defendant and his co-conspirators primarily included the following: hiding that CSK could not collect a material amount of LWT allowances that CSK had recognized and that CSK had not written off as uncollectible, and recognizing vendor allowances and other income that CSK had not earned.
- 4. During the course of the conspiracy, the defendant and his co-conspirators willfully caused CSK to hide that it had earned millions of dollars less in pre-tax income than

represented in its publicly filed financial statements. To do so, the defendant and his co-conspirators willfully engaged in at least two methods to artificially inflate CSK's pre-tax income and earnings from the LWT program: (I) intentionally misapplying collections for later LWT program years to prior year LWT accounts receivable through improper debit memos, which were generally sent to vendors through the U.S. mail and (ii) accounting for amounts paid back through credit memos to vendors for prior LWT program years as increases to current or subsequent year LWT accounts receivable instead of writing off the amounts, all done to give the false appearance that the prior year LWT receivables had been properly collected.

- 5. As a result of these actions, the defendant and his co-conspirators willfully caused CSK to conceal uncollectible LWT receivables that should have been written off, including approximately \$10 million for fiscal year 2002, approximately \$23 million for fiscal year 2003, and approximately \$19 million for fiscal year 2004. By failing to write off these amounts, the defendant and his co-conspirators willfully caused CSK to falsely report materially higher pretax income and LWT receivable balances in its financial statements for the years 2001 through 2004, including in annual reports (Forms 10-K) and quarterly reports (Forms 10-Q) filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.
- 6. The defendant, as CFO, signed Forms 10-K and certified, as required by the securities laws, that they did not contain any material untrue statements, fairly presented the financial condition of CSK, and did not contain "[a]ny fraud, whether or not material." As CFO, the defendant signed Forms 10-Q and certified that the information in the reports fairly presented the financial condition and result of operations of CSK. Despite signing these certifications, the defendant did not disclose his participation in or knowledge of the fraudulent scheme described above.
- 7. In or about June 2005, the defendant knew that CSK continued to have a substantial uncollectible balance in its 2004 LWT account receivable. As represented to the defendant by his co-conspirators, this uncollectible balance was approximately \$15 million. This balance consisted of amounts that CSK had already collected and moved to prior years. It also

contained amounts that CSK had paid back to vendors from prior years and should have been

written off. The defendant directed his co-conspirators to continue to attempt to collect this

balance rather than write it off, as should have been done. In or about July 2005, the defendant's

co-conspirators caused CSK to send debit memos to vendors attempting to collect the

outstanding balance of approximately \$30 million in the 2004 LWT account receivable. This

balance included the approximately \$15 million in uncollectible LWT amounts, as described

2 3 4 5 6 7 above. Although the defendant was not directly involved in the creation and delivery of these 8 debit memos, by no later than August 2005 he understood that his co-conspirators sent debit 9 memos to vendors seeking to collect these LWT amounts that the vendors did not actually owe 10 CSK. The defendant and his co-conspirators continued to try to collect the balances even as 11 vendors disputed the collections. For example, on or about August 1, 2005, the defendant and 12 his co-conspirators intentionally caused CSK to seek to collect from Honeywell/Allied 13 14 defendant and his co-conspirators knew that this amount was not owed to CSK. As a result of 15 the scheme, the defendant and his co-conspirators caused this debit memo to be mailed from the 16 District of Arizona by United States Postal Service to Honeywell/Allied Aftermarket in Danbury, 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Connecticut, on our about August 1, 2005. The defendant shall swear under oath to the accuracy of this statement and, if the defendant should be called upon to testify about this matter in the future, any intentional material inconsistencies in the defendant's testimony may subject the defendant to additional penalties for perjury or false swearing, which may be enforced by the United States under this agreement.

Aftermarket more than \$1 million through debit memo D2426385LWS when in fact the

APPROVAL AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE DEFENDANT

I have read the entire plea agreement with the assistance of my attorney. I understand each of its provisions and I voluntarily agree to it.

I have discussed the case and my constitutional and other rights with my attorney. I understand that by entering my plea of guilty I shall waive my rights to plead not guilty, to trial

by jury, to confront, cross-examine, and compel the attendance of witnesses, to present evidence in my defense, to remain silent and refuse to be a witness against myself by asserting my privilege against self-incrimination, all with the assistance of counsel, and to be presumed innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

I agree to enter my guilty plea as indicated above on the terms and conditions set forth in this agreement.

I have been advised by my attorney of the nature of the charges to which I am entering my guilty plea. I have further been advised by my attorney of the nature and range of the possible sentence and that my ultimate sentence shall be determined by the Court after consideration of the advisory Sentencing Guidelines.

My guilty plea is not the result of force, threats, assurances, or promises, other than the promises contained in this agreement. I voluntarily agree to the provisions of this agreement and I agree to be bound according to its provisions.

I understand that if I am granted probation or placed on supervised release by the Court, the terms and conditions of such probation/supervised release are subject to modification at any time. I further understand that if I violate any of the conditions of my probation/supervised release, my probation/supervised release may be revoked and upon such revocation, notwithstanding any other provision of this agreement, I may be required to serve a term of imprisonment or my sentence otherwise may be altered.

This written plea agreement, and any written addenda filed as attachments to this plea agreement, contain all the terms and conditions of the plea. Any additional agreements, if any such agreements exist, shall be recorded in a separate document and may be filed with the Court under seal; accordingly, additional agreements, if any, may not be in the public record.

I further agree that promises, including any predictions as to the Sentencing Guideline range or to any Sentencing Guideline factors that will apply, made by anyone (including my attorney) that are not contained within this written plea agreement, are null and void and have

no force and effect.

I am satisfied that my defense attorney has represented me in a competent manner.

I fully understand the terms and conditions of this plea agreement. I am not now using or under the influence of any drug, medication, liquor, or other intoxicant or depressant that would impair my ability to fully understand the terms and conditions of this plea agreement.

5/13/2011 Date

DON WALTER WATSON

Defendant

APPROVAL OF DEFENSE COUNSEL

I have discussed this case and the plea agreement with my client in detail and have advised the defendant of all matters within the scope of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, the constitutional and other rights of an accused, the factual basis for and the nature of the offense to which the guilty plea will be entered, possible defenses, and the consequences of the guilty plea including the maximum statutory sentence possible. I have further discussed the concept of the advisory Sentencing Guidelines with the defendant. No assurances, promises, or representations have been given to me or to the defendant by the United States or any of its representatives that are not contained in this written agreement. I concur in the entry of the plea as indicated above and that the terms and conditions set forth in this agreement are in the best interests of my client. I agree to make a bona fide effort to ensure that the guilty plea is entered in accordance with all the requirements of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11.

5/13/11

Date

EDWARD F. NOVAK

ZACHARY D. CAIN MELISSA S. HO

Attorneys for Defendant

1	APPROVAL OF THE UNITED STATES		
2	I have reviewed this matter and the plea agreement. I agree on behalf of the United States		
3	that the terms and conditions set forth herein are appropriate and are in the best interests of		
4			
5			
6	DEPRICE DIDICE		
7	DENNIS K. BURKE United States Attorney		
8	District of Arizona		
9			
	DENIS J. McINERNEY		
10	United States Department of Justice Chief		
11	Criminal Division, Fraud Section		
12	11		
13	5/12/11		
14	Date By: Patrick F. Stokes		
15	Deputy Chief, Fraud Section		
16	Jennifer R. Taylor		
17	Trial Attorney, Fraud Section		
18			
19	ACCEPTANCE BY THE COURT		
20			
21			
22	Date HON. SUSAN R. BOLTON		
23	United States District Judge		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			