

COMPLAINT

The United States of America alleges:

1. This action is brought by the United States to enforce the Fair Housing Act, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 ("Fair Housing Act"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619, and Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181-12189.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 3614(a) and 12188(b)(1)(B). The Court may grant declaratory and other relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1392 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged in this action arose in the Northern District of Texas.

Properties

- 4. Jefferson at Mission Gate ("Mission Gate") is an apartment property located at 8025 Ohio Drive, Plano, Texas 75024-2316. Mission Gate has a rental office.
- 5. Jefferson Center Apartments ("Jefferson Center") is an apartment property located at 8701 West Palmer Lane, Austin, Texas 78729-4941. Jefferson Center has a rental office.

The Defendants

- 6. Defendant JPI Construction, L.P. is a limited partnership organized under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas and other states, with its principal place of business at 600 E. Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant JPI Construction, L.P. is a general contractor for multi-family housing, including housing that was built for first occupancy after March 13, 1991. It works with other JPI-related companies engaged in the development of multi-family housing. It was the general contractor for Mission Gate and Jefferson Center, and, in those capacities, was involved in the design and construction of Mission Gate, Jefferson Center, and other covered multi-family dwellings.
- 7. Defendant Multifamily Construction, L.L.C. is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas and other states, with its principal place of business at 600 E. Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant Multifamily Construction, L.L.C. is a general partner of Defendant JPI Construction, L.P. and engages in the business of constructing multi-family housing properties.

In that capacity, Defendant Multifamily Construction, L.L.C. was involved in the design and construction of Mission Gate, Jefferson Center, and other covered multi-family dwellings.

- 8. Defendant JPI Apartment Development, L.P., also doing business as JPI Campus Quarters, is a limited partnership under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas and other states, with its principal place of business at 600 E. Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant JPI Apartment Development, L.P. was an owner and developer of Mission Gate and Jefferson Center during the design and construction of Mission Gate and Jefferson Center, and, in those capacities, was involved in the design and construction of Mission Gate, Jefferson Center, and other covered multi-family dwellings.
- 9. Defendant Lifestyle Apartment Development Service, L.L.C. is a limited liability company under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas and other states, with its principal place of business at 600 E. Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant Lifestyle Apartment Development Service, L.L.C. engages in the business of residential real estate ownership and development. Defendant Lifestyle Apartment Development Service, L.L.C. was a general partner in Defendant JPI Apartment Development, L.P. and was involved in the design and construction of Mission Gate, Jefferson Center, and other covered multi-family dwellings.
- 10: Defendant Jefferson Bend, L.P., also doing business as Jefferson at Mission Gate, is a limited partnership organized under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas, with its principal place of business at 600 E. Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant Jefferson Bend, L.P. was an owner and a developer of Mission

Gate during the time of its design and construction. In that capacity, Defendant Jefferson Bend, L.P. was involved in the design and construction of Mission Gate.

- 11. Defendant Jefferson Lake Creek, L.P., also doing business as Jefferson Center Apartments, is a limited partnership organized under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas, with its principal place of business at 600 Las Colinas Blvd. East, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant Jefferson Lake Creek, L.P. was an owner and a developer of Jefferson Center during the time of its design and construction. In that capacity, Defendant Jefferson Lake Creek, L.P. was involved in the design and construction of Jefferson Center.
- 12. Defendant Apartment Community Realty, L.L.C. is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Delaware and registered to do business in Texas and other states, with its principal place of business at 600 E. Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1800, Irving, Texas 75039-5625. Defendant Apartment Community Realty, L.L.C. engages in the business of residential real estate ownership and development. Defendant Apartment Community Realty, L.L.C. was a general partner in Defendant Jefferson Bend, L.P. and Defendant Jefferson Lake Creek, L.P. and was involved in the design and construction of Mission Gate and Jefferson Center.

Other Properties

13. The Defendants have designed and constructed 205 or more other multi-family housing properties in 26 states and the District of Columbia. These other multi-family housing properties typically have rental or sales offices.

Factual Allegations

- 14. The properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 were designed and constructed for first occupancy after March 13, 1991.
- 15. The properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 contain "dwellings" as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 3602(b).
- 16. The properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 contain "covered multi-family dwellings" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f).
- 17. The covered multi-family dwellings at the properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 are subject to the accessibility requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f).
- 18. The covered multi-family dwellings at the properties described in paragraphs 4 and 5 are not designed and constructed in a manner so that:
 - a. the public use and common use portions of the dwellings therein are readily accessible to and usable by handicapped persons;
 - b. all doors designed to allow passage into and within all premises within such dwellings are sufficiently wide to allow passage by handicapped persons in wheelchairs;
 - c. all premises within such dwellings contain the following features of adaptive design:
 - i. accessible routes into and through the dwellings;
 - ii. light switches, electrical outlets, thermostats, and other environmental controls in accessible locations; and
 - iii. usable kitchens and bathrooms such that an individual in a wheelchair can maneuver about the space.

- 19. Some of the covered multi-family dwellings at the properties described in paragraph13 are not designed and constructed in a manner so that:
 - a. the public use and common use portions of the dwellings therein are readily accessible to and usable by handicapped persons;
 - b. all doors designed to allow passage into and within all premises within such dwellings are sufficiently wide to allow passage by handicapped persons in wheelchairs;
 - c. all premises within such dwellings contain the following features of adaptive design:
 - i. accessible routes into and through the dwellings;
 - ii. light switches, electrical outlets, thermostats, and other environmental controls in accessible locations; and
 - iii. usable kitchens and bathrooms such that an individual in a wheelchair can maneuver about the space.
- 20. The rental or sales offices at the properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 are public accommodations within the meaning of Section 301(7)(E) of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(E).
- 21. The rental or sales offices of the properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 were designed and constructed for first occupancy after January 26, 1993.
- 22. The rental or sales offices of the properties described in paragraphs 4, 5 and 13 are subject to the requirements of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181-12183.
- 23. The rental or sales offices of the properties described in paragraphs 4 and 5 are not designed and constructed so that they are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with

disabilities, as required by Section 303(a)(1) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12183(a)(1). These rental or sales offices fail to comply with the Department of Justice's regulation implementing Title III of the ADA, 28 C.F.R. Part 36, including the Standards for Accessible Design, 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A ("the ADA Standards").

24. The rental or sales offices of some of the properties described in paragraph 13 are not designed and constructed so that they are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, as required by Section 303(a)(1) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12183(a)(1). These rental offices fail to comply with the Department of Justice's regulation implementing Title III of the ADA, 28 C.F.R. Part 36, including the ADA Standards.

Fair Housing Act Claims

- 25. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 24 are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 26. The Defendants have failed to design and construct covered multi-family dwellings in the manner set forth in 42 U.S.C. §§ 3604(f)(3)(C).
- 27. The Defendants' conduct described above violates 42 U.S.C. §§ 3604(f)(1), (f)(2), and (f)(3)(C).
 - 28. The Defendants' conduct constitutes:
 - a. a pattern or practice of resistence to the full enjoyment of rights granted by the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 3619; or
 - U.S.C. §§ 3601 3619, which raises an issue of general public importance.

- 29. Persons who may have been the victims of the Defendants' discriminatory housing practices are aggrieved persons as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 3602(i), and may have suffered injuries as a result of the conduct described above.
- 30. The Defendants' conduct described above was intentional, willful, and taken in disregard for the rights of others.

Americans with Disabilities Act Claims

- 31. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 24 are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 32. The Defendants have failed to design and construct the rental or sales offices and other public use areas at the properties described in paragraphs 4 and 5 in a manner required by 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1) and the ADA Standards.
- 33. The Defendants have failed to design and construct the rental or sales offices and other public use areas at some of the properties described in paragraph 13 in a manner required by 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1) and the ADA Standards.
 - 34. The Defendants' conduct constitutes:
 - a. a pattern or practice of discrimination within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(1)(B)(i) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.503(a); or
 - b. unlawful discrimination that raises an issue of general public importance within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(1)(B)(ii) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.503(b).
- 35. Persons who may have been the victims of the Defendants' discriminatory conduct are aggrieved persons as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(2)(B), and may have suffered injuries as a result of the conduct described above.

36. The Defendants' conduct described above was intentional, willful, and taken in disregard for the rights of others.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court enter an order that:

- 1. Declares that the Defendants' conduct as alleged herein violates the Fair Housing Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act;
- 2. Enjoins the Defendants, their officers, employees, agents, successors, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from:
 - a. at the properties identified in paragraphs 4 and 5, failing or refusing to bring the covered multi-family dwelling units and public use and common use areas into full compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f);
 - b. at the properties identified in paragraphs 4 and 5, failing or refusing to conduct a compliance survey to determine whether the retrofits ordered in paragraph (a), above, were made properly;
 - c. at the properties identified in paragraphs 13 and all other covered multi-family dwellings built for first occupancy after March 13, 1991, in which any Defendant was involved in the design and construction, failing or refusing to: (i) if not already done, conduct a compliance survey to identify violations of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f), and (ii) with respect to any violations found, failing to retrofit the covered multi-family dwelling units and public and common use areas to bring them into compliance with the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f);

- d. designing or constructing any covered multi-family dwellings in the future that do not comply with 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f); and
- e. failing or refusing to take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to restore, as nearly as practicable, the victims of the Defendants' unlawful practices to the position they would have been in but for the discriminatory conduct.
- 3. Enjoins the Defendants, their officers, employees, agents, successors and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them from:
 - a. at the properties identified in paragraphs 4 and 5, failing or refusing to bring the public accommodations, including the rental offices, into full compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1), 28 C.F.R. §§36.401 and 36.406, and 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A;
 - b. at the properties identified in paragraphs 4 and 5, failing or refusing to conduct a compliance survey to determine whether the retrofits ordered in paragraph (a), above, were made properly;
 - c. at the properties identified in paragraph 13 and at all other covered multifamily dwellings, with respect to the areas that are public accommodations, including rental or sales offices, designed and constructed by any of the Defendants for first occupancy after January 26, 1993, failing or refusing to: (i) if not already done, conduct a compliance survey of these public accommodations to identify violations of 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1), 28 C.F.R. §§36.401 and 36.406, and 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A, and (ii) with respect to any violations found, retrofit the public accommodations areas to bring

them into compliance with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act and the ADA Standards;

- d. designing or constructing any public accommodations at any covered multi-family dwellings in the future that do not comply with 42 U.S.C. §12183(a)(1), 28 C.F.R. §\$36.401 and 36.406, and 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A; and
- e. failing or refusing to take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to restore, as nearly as practicable, the victims of the Defendants' unlawful practices to the position they would have been in but for the discriminatory conduct.
- 4. Awards such monetary damages as would fully compensate each person for injuries resulting from the above described discriminatory conduct against the Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §3614(d)(1)(B) and 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(2)(B);
- 5. Assesses a civil penalty against each of the Defendants in the maximum amount authorized by 42 U.S.C. §3614(d)(1)(C) and 42 U.S.C. §12188(b)(2)(C), to vindicate the public interest.

 //
 //
 //
 //
 //

// // The United States further prays for such additional relief as the interests of justice may require.

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR. Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

AMES T. JACKS

Acting United States Attorney
Northern District of Texas
1100 Commerce Street, Suite 300
Dallas, Texas 75242-1699

DONNA M. MURIHY

DC Bar # 438436

Acting Chief, Housing and Civil Enforcement Section

Acting Assistant Attorney General

Enforcement Section

JOHN R. PARKER

Chief, Civil Division

United States Attorney's Office Northern District of Texas 1100 Commerce Street, Suite 300

Dallas, Texas 75242-1699

MICHAELS. MAURER

DC Barc# 420908

Deputy Chief, Housing and Civil

Enforcement Section

KEVIN J. KIJEWSKI, KY Bar # 86448

JENNIFER E. MCALLISTER, VA Bar # 71180

Trial Attorneys

United States Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

Housing and Civil Enforcement Section

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20530

202-305-2913

202-514-1116 (fax)