UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION		
UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA,)
	Plaintiff,))
v .) No. 4:16-CV-180-CDP)
CITY OF FERGUSON,	MISSOURI,)
	Defendant.)
	STATUS CONF	ERENCE
	THE HONORABLE ONITED STATES DIS	
APPEARANCES: Special Master:	Natashia Tidwa Karla J. Aghea Courtney A. Ca HOGAN LOVELLS	do, Esq. aruso, Esq.
For Plaintiff:	Jude J. Volek Amy Senier, E. Megan R. Mark UNITED STATES	sq.
For Defendant:	Aarnarian (Apo LEWIS RICE LLO	ollo) D. Carey, Esq. C
REPORTED BY:	Official Cour United States 111 South Ten	en, CSR, RDR, CRR t Reporter District Court th Street, Third Floor 63102 (314) 244-7987
(Produced by		mechanical stenography.)

1	(Proceedings commenced at 3:02 p.m.)
2	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We're here
3	in the case of United States of America versus City of
4	Ferguson. This is Case No. 4:16-CV-180, and we're here for a
5	quarterly status hearing on the status of implementation of
6	the Consent Decree in this case. So let me ask the parties
7	and lawyers, Monitor, to please introduce yourselves for the
8	record. So, first, with the for the Monitor.
9	MS. TIDWELL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Natashia
10	Tidwell, the Lead Monitor. With me today is Courtney Caruso
11	and Karla Aghedo.
12	MS. CARUSO: Good afternoon.
13	MS. AGHEDO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
15	And for the Department of Justice.
16	MR. VOLEK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jude Volek.
17	MS. SENIER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Amy Senier.
18	MS. MARKS: Good afternoon. Megan Marks.
19	THE COURT: Megan Marks?
20	MS. MARKS: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And for the City.
22	MR. CAREY: Good afternoon, Judge Perry. Apollo
23	Carey for the City of Ferguson.
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25	All right. So as we have done before, the purpose of

Γ

1	3 this hearing is to have the parties report on what's going on
2	and how things are progressing.
3	And so, Ms. Tidwell, I'll start by asking you to make
4	any statements you wish.
5	MS. TIDWELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	So, Your Honor, I'd like to just briefly just go
7	through some of the Monitoring Team's activities since the
8	June status conference. I imagine that the parties will delve
9	into a little bit more detail about some of these things. So
10	I'll just go over some of the big-ticket items that the
11	Monitoring Team has been involved in. I know the parties have
12	been working on other things with one another.
13	As we've discussed before, we have different stages
14	of implementation of the provisions of the Consent Decree.
15	For the most part, on the police side, things start with
16	policy development, and then they move to training, and then
17	they move to an implementation phase during which the
18	Monitoring Team is able to conduct audits to assess compliance
19	with various aspects of the Consent Decree. In the area of
20	policy development, I know that the parties, as I said, will
21	talk a little bit more about this, but during since the
22	last status conference, the Monitoring Team has received,
23	reviewed, and returned policies in a few areas, internal
24	investigations being one. I know there is a suite of
25	accountability policies that the parties are waiting to

Γ

4

1 complete and send to the Monitoring Team that include the 2 disciplinary matrix, a mediation policy as well as a revised 3 citizen complaint form. Once all are completed, then we can 4 move to implementation, probably in the form of some kind of 5 roll call training. So the Monitoring Team is just awaiting 6 the rest of those policies, and I think they're going to be 7 coming fairly soon.

8 In the area of investigatory stops and detention or 9 stops, searches, and arrests, those policies are in the early 10 stages of development, but the Monitoring Team asked for and 11 received an early draft of the investigatory stops and 12 detention policy in order to -- along with existing policies 13 and forms that FPD has in that area -- to guide us in creating 14 a methodology for our audit in the stop, search, and arrest 15 area. So subject matter expert Bob Stewart and I reviewed the 16 policy and had a fruitful discussion with Ms. Senier and 17 Assistant Chief McCall about that specific policy as well as the parties' plan to roll out the rest of the policies in the 18 19 area. It's quite lengthy. Being the Fourth Amendment, there 20 are a lot of policies that sort of tie into it either 21 explicitly or implicitly, and the Department is -- and DOJ are going through those and have provided us with a list that sort 22 23 of is a framework for how they plan to proceed through those. 24 THE COURT: And then that's helping you to do the

25 matrix or, well, whatever you need for your audit or at least

	5
1	to get started on how to plan the audit?
2	MS. TIDWELL: That's correct. So we were trying to
3	pinpoint how we're going to conduct an audit in the area of
4	Terry stops or field investigations, and as I'll get to when
5	we talk a little bit more about the audit phase, we asked the
6	City to provide us with the numbers of reports that were
7	filed, whether they be arrest reports or field interview
8	reports and use-of-force reports, and the there were only a
9	handful of field interview reports from which we could draw
10	any information. So in trying to figure out how best to get
11	at that, we asked for the policy that's in development so we
12	could try to figure out some other ways that we can sort of
13	get at that to try to get some information now while the
14	policies are being developed.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. TIDWELL: And then, finally, the community
17	policing parties the community policing policy the
18	parties have just returned that to the Monitor, the Monitoring
19	Team, to myself and Mr. Stewart, following a series of
20	revisions, and so we'll hopefully get that back to them in the
21	next week or so.
22	Moving on to training, as we discussed in the last
0.0	

22 Moving on to training, as we discussed in the fast 23 status conference and in our status report that was filed in 24 the spring, we had set a timeline for the required Consent 25 Decree briefing for the police department as well as the

	6
1	briefing or the explanation of the recruitment plan to the
2	rank and file officers as required by paragraph 284.
3	We're pleased Lieutenant Dilworth has put together a
4	comprehensive slide deck which, after review and suggested
5	edits from the Monitoring Team and DOJ, I believe, went live
6	today, and there will be another session tomorrow that I'm
7	hoping to sit in on, and I believe the Department of Justice
8	will as well. So that's one thing we can check off the list.
9	As far as the recruitment plan, the parties, I think,
10	have agreed to table the rollout on that just while they work
11	out some of the issues with some of the requirements of the
12	recruitment plan. So we'll look for so we'll put that in
13	the status report for completion during the next six-month
14	period.
15	And in the use-of-force area, FPD, again, through
16	Lieutenant Dilworth, had submitted to us a series of training
17	materials designed to accompany a rollout of the umbrella
18	use-of-force policy. It's been reviewed and returned and
19	looks to be in pretty good shape. We anticipate that those
20	trainings or those roll call briefings will begin relatively
21	soon.
22	Lieutenant Dilworth is also working on
23	weapon-specific training materials, and we're a little
24	unclear, and we're hoping the parties can sort of explain or

the City whether we're going to wait until the weapon-specific

25

1	7 portion of the training is done, of the training materials are
2	done, or if the police department plans to just roll out the
3	umbrella policy while the other ones are being completed.
4	And then, finally, body-worn and in-car camera
5	policies. The training materials were reviewed by the
6	Monitoring Team and DOJ. I think one of the issues that came
7	up was how to harmonize the FPD policy with the state sunshine
8	laws as well as various local regulations. I believe that's
9	been sorted out, and the parties can talk to that in a little
10	bit more detail, but assuming that is so, we anticipate that
11	the roll call briefings will begin relatively soon.
12	And so, finally, the last phase, implementation, and
13	the auditing phase. And although the police-related Consent
14	Decree provisions are mainly in policy development and the
15	training stages, we had wanted to start auditing or
16	establishing baselines in several areas, as we've talked about
17	before. So in late June, we asked that FPD provide a list
18	detailing the number of use-of-force reports, the number of
19	arrest reports, and the number of field interview reports
20	created by FPD from January 2017 through the date of the
21	letter. I received that listing. There were about 78
22	use-of-force reports. So we're still trying to figure out
23	whether we'll get the entire population of those. We're
24	trying to through a listing that FPD was helpful enough to
25	provide us, we have a list of all the offenses that were

	8
1	charged that were the offenses of arrest. There were about
2	1,900 arrest reports, but we asked them to break down by
3	offense so that we wouldn't have to get all of them and we'd
4	know which how best to sort of go at our audit. And we're
5	hoping to use some of the data from that not only to get
6	arrest reports that might be helpful for the field
7	interrogation portion of the audit so we can see with various
8	possessory offenses maybe something began as a Terry stop and
9	we can glean some information that way but then also some
10	offenses that are likely to result in the use of force by
11	officers too as a way to cross-reference the existing
12	use-of-force reports to see if use-of-force reports were
13	consistently and completely filled out for when each time that
14	force was used.
15	THE COURT: And did you get the I think you said
16	the time period was from January of 2017 up until June of this
17	year.
18	MS. TIDWELL: That's right, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And did you get you're comfortable you
20	got the reports for that whole period?
21	MS. TIDWELL: We have the numbers of reports. We
22	haven't done the
23	THE COURT: The numbers, yeah.
24	MS. TIDWELL: Yeah, we have the numbers, yes. We're
25	very confident that the City we would have liked there to

	9
1	have been more field interrogation reports for us to work
2	from, but we think with the number of use-of-force reports and
3	the way that we plan to look at the arrest reports by offense
4	in making a document request, we're hopeful that we can glean
5	quite a bit of information from that to establish a baseline
6	for future audits.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. TIDWELL: So that would be the use-of-force audit
9	as well as the FIR audit.
10	The municipal court we continue to conduct audits
11	in that area twice a year. So Ms. Aghedo is in town because
12	she's currently conducting the municipal court audit today and
13	tomorrow. The we will report on the results of the March
14	audit in our upcoming status report, but one of the main
15	the main focus of Ms. Aghedo's visit is the a review of the
16	cases that were kept open under the comprehensive amnesty
17	provision. As Your Honor recalls, we had requested that the
18	City categorize the which provision of the amnesty clause
19	was used to continue prosecution so that we could best assess
20	how to go about auditing cases and reviewing cases. The City
21	did provide us with that list, and Ms. Aghedo is going to
22	review approximately 10 percent, maybe a little bit more, of
23	each of those cases, but we have them broken down by category.
24	We're going to review there were eight cases that were kept
25	open under the so-called catchall provision, which is if, in

	10
1	the interest of justice, the City Prosecutor determines that a
2	case should be continued. We're going to review all of those.
3	And then in categories (1), (2), and (3), we'll review 10
4	percent of those with special, I guess, attention paid to
5	trespassing cases where that were kept open under the
6	provision that says if there is an identifiable victim that
7	wishes to be involved in the case and move it forward. We'd
8	like to see how the trespassing cases are being treated under
9	that category. And there were no category (4) cases. So it's
10	just (1), (2), and (3), a 10 percent sample, and all of
11	category (5).
12	THE COURT: And what's the total number of the (1),
13	(2), and (3) or approximate?
14	MS. TIDWELL: So I have let's see six, nine,
15	15. I would say about 1,800. Is that right, Ms. Aghedo?
16	MS. AGHEDO: (Nods head up and down.)
17	MS. TIDWELL: Yeah, roughly 1,800.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MS. TIDWELL: And I think we're going to I think
20	with our the notice to the City, we came out at 175 cases
21	to review.
22	THE COURT: To review? Okay.
23	MS. TIDWELL: To review, yeah. And I think
24	Ms. Aghedo is going to call in reinforcements, and Ms. Caruso
25	is going to be giving her a hand with that tomorrow at the

1	municipal	court
1	municipal	COULL.

2 And then, finally, Your Honor, we've made some progress on the police officer survey as well as the community 3 4 The Police Foundation in Washington, DC has survev. 5 generously offered to give us some assistance in this area. 6 They had an introductory call with Mr. Carey and, I believe, 7 Mr. Seewood. I'm not sure if he was on the call as well, but 8 the City has signed up to use the Police Foundation's platform 9 for administering surveys to both the police officers and to 10 the community. So we'll begin with a police officer survey. 11 Mr. Carey and I have been discussing the -- which one is best 12 to start off with. The Police Foundation offers several. 13 Even though the Consent Decree itself only requires one 14 specific type, we think it might be helpful for the police 15 department to undergo some of the other surveys, particularly 16 as it relates to officer wellness, because those -- that's 17 information that might be helpful when we get to that section of the Consent Decree. So we're still discussing with the 18 19 City how best to begin and what the methodology will be for 20 administering that survey, and then we'll move to the 21 community survey and look to, hopefully, find the right 22 methodology that gets to the various types of whether people 23 receive information by phone or online or in person so that we 24 can, as best we can, get to as many populations of the city as 25 we can in that, in the community survey.

	12
1	And then, finally, the Monitoring Team submitted to
2	the parties late last week a draft or a skeleton outline of a
3	work plan, and we're meeting today after court to discuss that
4	in further detail. What we did was we listed out what we
5	thought was an aggressive but realistic series of
6	accomplishments for year three, which is the year we're
7	currently in, and once we talk to the parties about that and
8	their plans, which may differ as to their intent to as to
9	what they plan to cover, we will complete it and round out the
10	remaining years of the Consent Decree and the remaining
11	provisions. So we're looking forward to that discussion today
12	so that we can complete work on the work plan and have it
13	filed with the Court.
14	And that's it for me, Your Honor, unless you have
15	questions.
16	THE COURT: When do you do you have any timeline
17	on the work plan completion and filing?
18	MS. TIDWELL: So we're hoping after our meeting today
19	we'll have a pretty good sense of where the parties think.
20	You know, if they completely disagree with the direction that
21	we've laid out, then we probably have a little bit more work
22	ahead of us than we anticipated, but if they think that, you
23	know, we're sort of on the right track and we're in agreement,
24	I don't think it will take that far into October for us to
25	complete it.

	13
1	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
2	MS. TIDWELL: Okay. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Volek or whoever else from your team
4	wishes to speak on behalf of the United States.
5	MR. VOLEK: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	I'll very briefly supplement Ms. Tidwell's
7	presentation by talking about five main areas of work that the
8	parties and the Monitor have been engaged in in the last
9	several months.
10	The first is one that Ms. Tidwell mentioned, which is
11	rolling out policies that have already been completed. These
12	are the roll call trainings. As Ms. Tidwell reported, the
13	Consent Decree roll call training began this morning. We're
14	going to be attending tomorrow morning. We're very excited
15	about that. We reviewed the curriculum and approved that
16	together with the Monitoring Team and are eager to observe
17	that tomorrow. The other roll call trainings that we've been
18	in discussions about are the use-of-force roll call training,
19	which has been reviewed and approved, the body-worn camera and
20	in-car camera training, and the recruitment training. So
21	we've, in the last several months, been reviewing these
22	curricula and making sure that they comport with Consent
23	Decree requirements so that these policies can actually be
24	presented to officers, explained to officers, and so that
25	officers can ask any questions that they have about the new

newly revised policies. Once we have those roll call trainings completed, then we'll actually have those policies done, on the website, and they will be in effect. So we're all very eager to bring that to fruition.

5 The second main area that we've been working on is 6 working on the policies that have not yet been done, that are 7 not yet ready to be rolled out to officers. One that has been 8 submitted to the Monitoring Team already is the community 9 policing policy. As we have reported to the Court at previous 10 quarterly status hearings, the parties have worked together to 11 come up with a draft of that policy. That policy was shared 12 with the Neighborhood Policing Steering Committee as well as 13 other community stakeholders. We got some really valuable feedback on that and have worked together with the Ferguson 14 15 Police Department to incorporate that feedback and make sure 16 that the community policing policy is reflective of what the 17 community actually wants to see in that policy. In the last month or two, we've gone back and forth quite a bit about 18 19 that. We've reached agreement. We've submitted that to the 20 Monitoring Team. And next month, at the October Neighborhood 21 Policing Steering Committee meeting, we will hope to go through that policy and explain the various changes that were 22 23 made in light of the feedback that we received. And so we're 24 very appreciative to the NPSC for their feedback, and 25 hopefully, everybody is satisfied with where the policy is.

We certainly feel that it complies with the Consent Decree 1 2 requirements. The second set of policies that we've been working on are stop, search, and arrest policies. That has 3 4 been an ongoing process that Ms. Tidwell talked about in great 5 detail about the complexity of the Fourth Amendment and how 6 that requires a lot of very specific policy detail. And then 7 the final set that we've been spending a lot of time on are 8 the accountability policies. We've talked about these 9 previously as well. We have talked about how the internal 10 investigations policy -- that sets out the way that FPD takes 11 complaints, responds to complaints, investigates complaints. 12 That policy has been completed for some time now. We've made some real progress in the disciplinary matrix, which sets 13 14 forth the guidelines for what discipline should be imposed if 15 a particular officer is found to have engaged in misconduct. 16 And there have been some new items thrown into the mix with 17 this policy suite. One is the misconduct complaint form. We 18 have been waiting for a bit from -- for a proposed form from 19 the Ferguson Police Department. We got that proposal. We 20 went back and forth a bit on that, and we've submitted that to 21 the Monitoring Team. And the other -- the other item that's 22 been added to this suite is the mediation policy. The Consent 23 Decree requires a mediation program that individuals who make 24 complaints about law enforcement officers can voluntarily 25 participate in. This is a program that's had great successes

16
1 elsewhere around the country, and it really goes a long way to
2 bridging the divide between community members and police
3 officers. It helps to engage in constructive dialogue for
4 low-level complaints, and so that policy, we've just about
5 neared finalization. And so once that entire package is
6 ready, we'll submit that all to the Monitoring Team, which I
7 do believe will happen this week.

8 So in addition to roll call trainings, policies that 9 we're still working on, we've also been paying attention to 10 progress within the municipal court. There are, thankfully, 11 new policies that are -- that have been implemented, the court 12 staff have been trained on, and our understanding is that the 13 amnesty program has been fully implemented as well. We are 14 very encouraged by the reports that the amnesty program 15 resulted in pre-2014 cases going from 7,900 roughly to 1,800 16 under the good-cause criteria, and we look forward to the 17 results of the Monitoring Team's audit of that amnesty program 18 implementation.

19 The fourth area that we've been focused on is data 20 collection. This is something that is -- is one particular 21 section of the Consent Decree, but it really impacts every --22 every area of the Consent Decree. Every single area of the 23 Consent Decree requires some data collection component on 24 behalf of the Ferguson Police Department. Just good 25 management of a police department requires knowing what

17

officers are doing, and so we've been in discussions with FPD 1 2 about how they can create a comprehensive plan. We're going to meet tomorrow morning again about that. We've provided the 3 4 police department with a template for how they can start 5 figuring out what data they actually need to collect, and that 6 seems to be the first step to creating an actual plan of how 7 you're going to -- of how you're going to go about collecting 8 So, hopefully, we can make some progress on that in the it. 9 next few months. That's a big lift but something that really 10 needs to happen.

11 And then the fifth item that we're focused on at DOJ 12 is -- is training generally. I talked at the beginning about 13 roll call training. That's -- that's a very quick training to explain to officers what the new policy requirements are, but 14 15 there's also requirements for in-service and academy training 16 in the Consent Decree, and we have begun thinking about how 17 do -- what role we can play in helping FPD come up with a comprehensive training plan that incorporates those 18 19 requirements.

This is really a critical time period in the life of the Consent Decree. We are about halfway through the anticipated five years of the decree. We have been trying to assist and facilitate successful implementation as much as we can. As we've reported many times, we've worked very well with -- with the police department, with Chief Moss, with

Assistant Chief McCall, with the City Attorney and really
 built up a very good relationship, a good working
 relationship, and collaborated well on developing policies,
 developing roll call trainings.

5 The next phase is going to be a phase where there are 6 more limitations on what we can actually do. We can provide 7 resources, like data collection plans that other departments have put together, but we can't help create a data collection 8 9 plan to the same extent that we can help with policy 10 development, and so over the next few months, it's really 11 going to be incumbent upon the department to roll up their 12 sleeves and get some of these items finished.

There's been a lot of progress in areas that have also not really been wrapped up in way that we would like to see, and so we're hoping to be able to close the book or turn the page or whatever the metaphor is, but, you know, I'll give you a few examples.

18 One is the body-worn camera and in-car camera 19 policies. Ferguson has worked extremely hard to come up with 20 a really solid set of body-worn camera and in-car camera 21 policies to guide officers' use of this equipment, and those 22 are ready to go. We've signed off on them. They've developed 23 roll call training as well. But part of that policy is a 24 reference to a video footage sharing policy on behalf of the 25 City, and so that's something that sets forth City policy as

to when a body-worn camera recording is going to be shared 1 2 with the public. We're sort of waiting until that -- that -that footage sharing policy is created before we can -- before 3 FPD can roll out the body-worn camera policy itself, and so 4 5 we've got this policy sitting that the parties have worked 6 really hard to get done that hasn't been rolled out yet 7 because of that reason, and so that's one example of an area 8 where, with a bit of targeted focus, the City can really, you 9 know, put that to bed and move on to other things.

10 A second example is the use-of-force policies. 11 There's been a use-of-force reporting policy for some time, 12 but because of the limitations with data collection, there are 13 still uncertainties regarding how uses of force are actually 14 going to be documented and recorded. There is a new data 15 collection system that the City is transitioning to, but we 16 have not yet seen any actual framework for the form that 17 officers are going to use to record uses of force. That's something that, obviously, needs to be approved as part of the 18 19 use-of-force policies before they can be rolled out to 20 officers.

The same with stop, search, and arrest policies. The stop, search, and arrest policies detail what an officer has to do in conducting a stop and searching somebody. Again, because of the limitations with data collection, we haven't been able to finalize those policies and articulate the

1	20 specific ways that officers document their conduct.
2	So those are a few examples of areas where there has
3	been a lot of effort and a lot of really good work done on
4	behalf of the department, but there's just a few things that
5	are holding up being able to we'll go with turn the page
6	and move on to other things. So over the next few months, we
7	really want to be able to wrap these things up and move on to
8	some of the more pressing issues, such as developing a
9	comprehensive training program.
10	But all in, we continue to be appreciative of the
11	leadership of the Chief and of Assistant Chief McCall and of
12	the City Manager, and we are optimistic that we can continue
13	to make some strides in the next few months, particularly with
14	the Monitor's work plan that the Monitoring Team has
15	submitted. We think that having some concrete deadlines and
16	expectations will really assist not only Ferguson's efforts
17	but the entire process.
18	Unless you have any further questions, I'll yield
19	over to the City Attorney.
20	THE COURT: I think you've I think you've covered
21	a lot there. So I'll hear from Mr. Carey.
22	MR. VOLEK: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: And if you want to introduce the people
24	who are with you, as I know you usually do, I would appreciate
25	that.

	9/18/2018 Status Conference
1	21 MR. CAREY: You took the words out of my mouth, Your
2	Honor. Thank you.
3	Good afternoon. Of course, you know, with me today
4	is our City Manager De'Carlon Seewood, our Assistant Chief
5	Frank McCall. Used to be Commander McCall. Now it's
6	THE COURT: Assistant Chief.
7	MR. CAREY: Assistant Chief. We're still
8	struggling with the right title, trying to get it out of our
9	mouths.
10	Of course, Chief Delrish Moss. Behind Chief Moss is
11	our Court Administrator Christine Lanfersieck, our Municipal
12	Judge, Judge Terry Brown, and then we have our councilwoman,
13	Ms. Ella Jones, and our former councilwoman, Ms. Laverne
14	Mitchom here. And, of course, you see the citizens, some of
15	the citizens that are pretty much here every time, you know,
16	to observe what's going on.
17	So, Your Honor, I you know, I think the Monitor
18	and the Department of Justice have done a really good job of
19	kind of summarizing. I know you may have some questions. I
20	do have maybe two things that I would like to touch on with
21	regard to the City's progress under the Consent Decree.
22	One of the requirements of the Consent Decree talks
23	about the hiring of a community liaison for FPD, and we
24	recently just hired a community an economic development
25	director who will have some community liaison function, but

22 that person is not envisioned to be the, you know, solution 1 2 for our community liaison as it relates to the Consent Decree. One of the suggestions that the Monitor made was that the City 3 look into hiring an individual, preferably a civilian 4 5 individual, to kind of serve as this community liaison 6 position. So what we've recently been doing at the City is 7 we've been working with a local university as well as a local 8 nonprofit group to discuss potentially cross grants that would 9 help us fund such a position because the City's in a situation 10 right now where budget-wise they can't. You know, it's 11 unfeasible to, you know, just kind of hire that person 12 straight out, and so what we did was kind of try to work on a 13 collaboration or a partnership with two local organizations to 14 get some grants so that we can help fund that position. 15 That's a very promising-looking endeavor, and we should have 16 more on that the next time that we -- that we meet here. 17 We -- I -- I did want to follow up a little bit on the Department of Justice's comments about, you know, the 18 19 City's -- you know, kind of this next phase of the Consent 20 Decree and the City kind of rolling up its sleeves and doing 21 some of this stuff, and I think it is true that the Department of Justice has been very, you know, obviously, helpful and 22 23 prescriptive as it -- you know, as it relates to policy

24 development, and we can definitely tell that in terms of 25 phases of the Consent Decree we're entering that phase of the

23 Consent Decree where the City, you know, probably has a little 1 2 bit more responsibility in terms of being prescriptive and 3 kind of, you know, leading the way and leading the charge as it relates to data collection specifically, and I know in the 4 5 last maybe two weeks or so we have had a conversation or 6 two -- I think maybe even just last week we had a telephone 7 conversation with the Department of Justice regarding this 8 issue of data collection, and I know -- I think, as Mr. Volek 9 said, we're going to kind of discuss that either today or 10 tomorrow, but we know we need to -- we need to get there, and 11 so they -- the Department of Justice has provided the template 12 that we talked about in our last telephone status conference, 13 and we have looked at that, but, you know, as we -- we 14 discussed, we haven't done anything real with it until we get 15 an opportunity to talk with the Department of Justice. And we 16 do understand how -- I mean it becomes obvious as we are doing 17 the work of the Consent Decree how this -- how important this 18 data collection piece is for the City to -- to work out, to 19 make sure that we are collecting the data in a way that will 20 show the Monitor exactly what it is we're doing for purposes 21 of Consent Decree compliance, and I think we'll still continue to work dutifully with the Department of Justice on developing 22 23 what are the inputs that we need to have in this system so 24 that when we get a report, an output, it says what it wants to 25 say.

1	THE COURT: Right. I mean without
2	MR. CAREY: What it needs to say. Excuse me.
3	THE COURT: Without the appropriate data collection,
4	we're not going to be able to figure out how things stand.
5	MR. CAREY: If we're doing what we're supposed to be
6	doing.
7	THE COURT: Yeah.
8	MR. CAREY: Right. And so that is first and foremost
9	on the City's mind as it relates to this next phase of the
10	Consent Decree. And so with those two things, I really you
11	know, I'm happy to I know you probably have questions of me
12	and the City, so I'm happy to answer those.
13	THE COURT: Let me see what I noticed. One a
14	couple of things. So on the there was training that
15	started today on the general training on the Consent Decree;
16	is that right?
17	MR. CAREY: Yes, ma'am.
18	THE COURT: At the roll call training?
19	MR. CAREY: Yes, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: And from the City's perspective, how do
21	you think that went? I mean how what's your reporting
22	back?
23	MR. CAREY: All reports to me and as a matter of
24	fact, I just spoke with Assistant Chief McCall about this
25	before the hearing, and we think it went very well. I think

1	25 we have another one scheduled tomorrow, and I think the
2	Department of Justice plans on sitting in on that as well.
3	THE COURT: Yeah. That would be good.
4	MR. CAREY: Yeah, it's going according to plan, and
5	we think it went well.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And then the other one was
7	yeah, what what tell me on the let me see if I have
8	this. On the weapon-specific policies under the use of force,
9	where do you stand on that?
10	MR. CAREY: I believe there are drafts are there
11	drafts? that were given to the Department of Justice, I
12	believe. You have not received them? You've got them and
13	given them back. So maybe the ball is in our court.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So it sounds
15	MR. CAREY: I'm actually not
16	THE COURT: Yeah, it sounds like maybe it is.
17	That's
18	MR. CAREY: I think the ball may be in our court
19	THE COURT: Yeah.
20	MR. CAREY: on that issue to to get those to
21	get those going.
22	THE COURT: And then on the on the camera
23	policies, the body-worn and the in-car cameras, the issue
24	about video
25	MR. CAREY: Footage sharing?

	26
1	THE COURT: Yeah. What becomes public? What can be
2	shared? What can't? Where do you stand on that?
3	MR. CAREY: Right. And that's just a policy that,
4	you know, we're preparing a draft of that policy now. It was
5	something that was brought to our attention recently as we
6	were talking about rolling out the body-worn and in-car camera
7	footage was that there was reference in those policies to this
8	footage sharing policy that we had not drafted yet. So we
9	have, in earnest, begun drafting that policy, and I think we
10	committed to have that to the Department of Justice by the end
11	of the month, and so we will you know, we'll just get that
12	to them, and then we'll go back and forth with that. I would
13	expect before the next hearing we would have probably a
14	finalized policy and be ready to to roll that out as well.
15	THE COURT: And that is one of the trickier parts of
16	this kind of a policy, and it's important that it, you know,
17	get done.
18	MR. CAREY: That's right. Yeah. When it
19	references when you have a policy that references another
20	policy, we've just got to make sure they're all on the same
21	page.
22	THE COURT: Let's see other notes I had. What about
23	the number of officers you have on the force now? Tell me
24	your status in terms of hiring and recruiting.
25	MR. CAREY: I think we're at 43 now. At the last we

1	had, we were up to 46, and we had some some some
2	attrition due to several reasons, and so we're down to 43 now,
3	but I believe, last time I spoke with Chief Moss about this,
4	we have two or three folks that we're ready to make offers on
5	coming out of the academy. So we're hoping to get back up to
6	that 46 number. Again, I think our target is either 51 or 52.
7	So we're just moving as close as we can to that number. Of
8	course, you know, you can never anticipate the attrition
9	piece; right?
10	THE COURT: Correct.
11	MR. CAREY: So you add a little, and then, you know,
12	somebody leaves, and I know you know we had just recently a
13	publicized issue with an officer that was terminated for a
14	certain reason, and so, you know, those kind of things happen,
15	and we just have to keep moving forward.
16	THE COURT: Okay. I think those were all the
17	specific questions I had. Did either the Monitor or the
18	Department of Justice want to raise anything else given
19	Mr. Carey's comments?
20	MS. TIDWELL: No, nothing from the Monitoring Team,
21	Your Honor.
22	MR. VOLEK: Nothing from us either, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I will say I am encouraged that all the
24	parties are here. I know you had a court session. There was
25	a court session last night; correct, Mr. Carey, or is it

1	28 tonight?
2	MR. CAREY: It was there was one last night.
3	There was one today.
4	THE COURT: Right. And that, you know, I think it's
5	good that the Monitor and the Department of Justice have been
6	able to sort of plan their meetings and working in-person work
7	around when you wanted to be here to see what was going on and
8	make sure things were moving as well as like the to observe
9	the roll call training. That's an important component.
10	Obviously, there will be more detailed training as well, but
11	that's that's the quick way to get everything out to all of
12	the the officers who are going to be implementing, you
13	know, doing these policies and need to understand everything.
14	So I'm pleased with how you're doing that.
15	I will I will say keep working. It does sound
16	like the City is going to have some work to be doing.
17	Especially, this data collection piece is so important so that
18	we'll know, you know, measurably, and can we find out what's
19	really happened? And that's to everybody's advantage so that
20	we can actually look at what is actually happening as opposed
21	to just hearing, you know, bits and pieces here and there.
22	Getting the actual facts, facts do matter, and actual facts
23	will be very important as we move forward. So I encourage you
24	to continue that, and I you know, I if the parties and
25	the Monitor have nothing further for me today in this hearing,

Γ

	29
1	I will adjourn it.
2	We will set another hearing in about three months
3	that will be a public comment hearing. I'm hoping that I
4	mean I know that there are always going to be issues where
5	people are going to say, "No, this isn't what we want. We
6	want something a little better or different." And there's
7	always room for improvement, but I am hoping that by that next
8	comment period we'll have more progress to report and
9	things and the citizens will see that progress is being
10	made.
11	So with that said, keep working, and I will talk to
12	you all the next time. Thank you. Court's in recess.
13	(Proceedings concluded at 3:39 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Γ

CERTIFICATE

I, Gayle D. Madden, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 29 inclusive.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 26th day of September, 2018.

/s/ Gayle D. Madden

GAYLE D. MADDEN, CSR, RDR, CRR

Official Court Reporter