1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
2	WESTERN DIVISION		
3	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
4			
5		CIVIL ACTION NO.	
6		CV 00-03691-GHK (SHx)	
7		SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER BETWEEN	
8	ESPINOSA, DONALD JOHNSON, RAY)	PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES AND DEFENDANT CITY OF SANTA	
9		PAULA, CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	Defendants,)		
12	SANTA PAULA VOTERS OPPOSED TO) ELECTORAL REDISTRICTING,)		
13) Defendant-Intervenor.)		
14)		
15	Plaintiff United States of America (the "United States")		
16	filed this action pursuant to Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act		
17	of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1973. The Complaint alleges that		
18	the at-large election system for electing the Santa Paula City		
19	Council has the effect of diluting Hispanic voting strength,		
20	resulting in Hispanic citizens being denied an opportunity equal		
21	to that afforded to other members of the electorate to		
22	participate in the political process and elect representatives of		
23	their choice.		
2₫	Defendant City of Santa Paula, (California ("City of Santa	
25	Paula"), has denied that the at-large system for electing the		
26	Santa Paula City Council violates Section 2 or dilutes Hispanic		
. 27	voting strength. The City of Santa 1	Paula contends that there is	

1 19 - 14

28 no past or current condition of vote dilution in Santa Paula,

that Hispanic voters would not elect more Hispanic preferred 1 Hispanic candidates under a district election system than under 2 the current at large system and that this lawsuit is barred by З the disclaimer in Section 2 that proportional representation is 1 not required. Santa Paula also contends that there can be no 5 vote dilution as a matter of law, because Hispanics, who have б become a majority of the electorate, have an equal opportunity to 7 elect. 8

9 In its Answer to the Complaint, Defendant-Intervenor Santa
10 Paula Voters Opposed to Electoral Redistricting has denied that
11 the method of electing the Santa Paula City Council violates
12 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

In an effort to conserve judicial resources and the resources of the parties, the United States and the City of Santa Paula have arrived at a Stipulation of Facts and have agreed to the following terms:

(1) The City of Santa Paula shall place a proposition on the ballot for the November 5, 2002 election, which shall provide voters with an opportunity to vote on whether the members of the Santa Paula City Council should be elected by district as provided by Cal. Gov't Code § 34871.

(2) For all purposes between the United States and the City of Santa Paula, the facts contained in Stipulation of Facts executed on August 30, 2001, shall be considered undisputed and established facts as of the date the Stipulation of Facts is executed;

(3) This action shall be dismissed without prejudice.

28

27

Wherefore, by agreement of the United States and the City of 1 Santa Paula, the Court hereby finds as follows: 2 3 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant : 4 to 42 U.S.C. 1973j(f) and 28 U.S.C. 1345. 5 2. The terms of this Settlement Agreement are reasonable, б lawful, and fair. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that: 7 The Stipulation of Facts attached hereto as Exhibit A is 8 Ι. incorporated into this Settlement Agreement and Order. 9 10 II. The City of Santa Paula shall submit to the voters during the November 5, 2002 election one ordinance providing for 11 12 the members of the Santa Paula City Council to be elected by district. The ordinance shall provide for one of the following 13 methods of election: 14 15 (a) Five council members elected by single-member districts; 16 Four council members elected by single-member 17 (b) districts and a mayor elected citywide; or 18 If authorized by the Legislature, four 19 (C) council members elected by two two-member 20 districts and a mayor elected citywide. 21 22 III. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be 23 construed to require the voters of Santa Paula to change the current method of electing members of the City Council, or to 24 suggest that they should. 25 26 The ordinance required by Paragraph II above shall be IV. placed on the ballot in accordance with Sections 34871-34879 of 27 28 the California Government Code, and the term "by districts" shall

- 3 -

்தை தாதை மானா கூட்டின் கொட்டா ட தார் தோற தார் தேன் கிதிரில் பாரா நாலா have the same meaning as it is defined in Section 34871 of the
 California Government Code.

V. Any electoral districts used to elect the Santa Paula
City Council shall comply with the provisions of California
Elections Code § 21601 and with Section 2 of the Voting Rights
Act.

7 VI. Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be 8 construed as an admission of liability by the City of Santa Paula 9 or an admission by the United States that the at-large method of 10 electing the Santa Paula City Council does not violate Section 2 11 of the Voting Rights Act.

The Stipulation of Facts between the United States and 12 VII. 13 the City of Santa Paula is without prejudice to Defendant-Intervenor Santa Paula Voters Opposed to Electoral Redistricting 14 15 and does not constitute findings of fact by this Court. Ιſ Defendant-Intervenor seeks to intervene in future litigation 16 17 between the United States and the City of Santa Paula concerning the system for electing the Santa Paula City Council, the United 18 19 States and the City of Santa Paula shall confer in good faith 20 with Defendant-Intervenor before either party opposes such 21 intervention.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

د الدروم الاستيارية ^{ومع}ار مريكم

- 4

Plaintiff United States and the City of Santa Paula VIII. 1 2 shall bear their own costs and fees in this action. 3 This action is dismissed without prejudice. FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: 4 5 RALPH F. BOYD, JR. Assistant Attorney General б Civil Rights Division JOHN S. GORDON 7 United States Attorney MICHELE C. MARCHAND 8 Assistant United States Attorney 9 DATED: September 25, 2001 10 JOSEPH D. RTCH ROBERT A. KENGLE 11 JON M. GREENBAUM DAVID J. BECKER PATRICIA A. FIRST 12 Attorneys, Voting Section Civil Rights Division 13 Department of Justice P.O. Box 66128 14 Washington, D.C. 20035-6128 15 (202) 307-3113 16 FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF SANTA PAULA: 17 PHILLIP H. ROMNEY Santa Paula City Attorney 18 19 September $\mathcal{A}_{\underline{C}}$, 2001 DATED: 20 JOHN E. MCDERMOTT TRACY J. DALTON HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE 21 550 South Hope Street 22 Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90071 23 (213) 892-1800 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 United States District Judge Date 27 28 - 5 -

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION		
3			
4	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
5	Plaintiff,		
б	v.	CIVIL ACTION NO.	
7) CITY OF SANTA PAULA, CALIFORNIA;)	CV 00-03691-GHK(SHx)	
8	SANTA PAULA CITY COUNCIL;) RICHARD COOK, LAURA FLORES)	STIPULATION OF FACTS BETWEEN PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES AND	
9	ESPINOSA, DONALD JOHNSON, RAY) LUNA, JOHN PROCTER, members of)	DEFENDANT CITY OF SANTA PAULA, CALIFORNIA	
10	the Santa Paula City Council;) RICHARD DEAN, Ventura County)		
11	Clerk-Recorder,)		
12	Defendants,)		
13	SANTA PAULA VOTERS OPPOSED TO) ELECTORAL REDISTRICTING,)		
14) Defendant-Intervenor.)		
15)		
16	Plaintiff United States of America (the "United States")		
17	filed this action pursuant to Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act		
18	of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1973 (hereinafter "Section 2").		
19	The Complaint alleges that the at-large election system for		
20	electing the Santa Paula City Council has the effect of diluting		
21	Hispanic voting strength, resulting in Hispanic citizens being		
22	denied an opportunity equal to that	t afforded to other members of	
23	the electorate to participate in t	he political process and elect	
24	representatives of their choice.		
25	Defendant City of Santa Paula	, California ("City of Santa	
26	Paula"), has denied that the at-large system for electing the		
27	Santa Paula City Council violates Section 2 or dilutes Hispanic		
28	voting strength. The City of Santa Paula contends that there is		

no past or current condition of vote dilution in Santa Paula, 1 that Hispanic voters would not elect more Hispanic preferred 2 Hispanic candidates under a district election system than under 3 the current at large system and that this lawsuit is barred by 4 the disclaimer in Section 2 that proportional representation is 5 not required. The City of Santa Paula also contends that there 6 7 can be no vote dilution as a matter of law, because Hispanics, who have become a majority of the electorate, have an equal 8 opportunity to elect. 9

In its Answer to the Complaint, Defendant-Intervenor Santa
Paula Voters Opposed to Electoral Redistricting has denied that
the method of electing the Santa Paula City Council violates
Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

In an effort to conserve judicial resources and the resources of the parties, and to facilitate settlement of this matter, the United States and the City of Santa Paula have agreed to stipulate to the following facts as being established for all purposes between the United States and the City of Santa Paula as of the date this Stipulation of Facts is executed:

The City of Santa Paula has an at-large election system
 for electing its five member City Council. All voters in the
 City can vote for all five Councilmembers.

23 2. Councilmember terms are staggered, with two
 24 Councilmembers elected during one biennial election, and the
 25 other three elected two years later.

3. All candidates run on the same ballot for the seats up
for election on a plurality win basis. There is no runoff or

28

Exhibit A, Page 7

2 -

and a com-

majority vote requirement. There is no prohibition against
 bullet voting.

4. The Hispanic population within the City of Santa Paula
is sufficiently large and geographically compact so that
Hispanics could comprise a majority of the voting age citizens,
registered voters, and voters turning out to vote in at least two
of five properly-apportioned districts.

8 5. As of this date, the United States can satisfy the
9 first precondition of <u>Thornburg v. Gingles</u>, 478 U.S. 30, 106 S.
10 Ct. 2752, 92 L. Ed. 2d 25 (1986).

11 6. According to the 1990 Census, Hispanic persons 12 comprised 59 percent of Santa Paula's total population, 54 13 percent of its voting age population, and approximately 38 14 percent of its citizen voting age population. Anglo persons 15 comprised 39 percent of Santa Paula's total population, 46 16 percent of its voting age population, and approximately 60 17 percent of its citizen voting age population.

18 7. According to the 2000 Census, Hispanic persons comprise 19 72 percent of Santa Paula's total population of 28,598, and 66 20 percent of its voting age population. Anglo persons comprise 26 21 percent of the total population and 31 percent of the voting age 22 population in Santa Paula. Citizen voting age population data 23 for Santa Paula is not yet available from the 2000 Census.

8. The share of Hispanic registered voters has increased
 since the November 1988 election when Spanish surnamed registered
 voters constituted 32 percent of all registered voters. In the
 November 2000 election, Spanish surnamed registered voters
 constituted 49 percent of all registered voters. Spanish

د مىرى بىر يېلىكى ي يېلىكى - 3 - Exhibit A, Page 8

surnaming registrants tends to slightly underestimate the
 percentage of Hispanic origin registrants.

9. The share of Hispanic persons who cast ballots has
increased since the November 1988 election when Spanish surnamed
voter turnout constituted 29 percent of all voters. In the
November 2000 election, Spanish surnamed voters constituted 46
percent of all voters. Spanish surnaming voters tends to
slightly underestimate the percentage of Hispanic origin voters.

Ģ 10. Since 1966, at least one Hispanic person has served on Santa Paula City Council at all times: Henry Vela and Joe Bravo 10 served on the Santa Paula City Council from 1966 to 1974; Al 11 Urias served on the Santa Paula City Council from 1974 to 1986 12 and from 1988 to 1996; Al Escoto served on the Santa Paula City 13 Council from 1984 to 1988; Laura Flores Espinosa has served on 14 the Santa Paula City Council since 1994; and Ray Luna has served 15 on the Santa Paula City Council since 2000. 15

17 11. When the Complaint was filed on April 6, 2000, Ms.
18 Espinosa was the only member of the Santa Paula City Council at
19 the time who had been preferred by Hispanic voters. With Mr.
20 Luna's election in November 2000, two of the five current
21 Councilmembers are Hispanic persons who were preferred by
22 Hispanic voters.

12. Robin Sullivan served on the Santa Paula City Council from 1992 to 2000. During her 1996 campaign for Santa Paula City Council, Ms. Sullivan distributed a flier addressed "TO THE LATINO COMMUNITY IN SANTA PAULA," in which she stated that her grandmother was "a full blooded Mexican." There is no evidence

28

- 4 -

Exhibit A, Page 9

and a set of the subsequence of the set of t

that Ms. Sullivan was generally known as being Hispanic when she
 was elected to the Santa Paula City Council in 1992.

3 13. In each Santa Paula City Council election between 1988
4 and 2000, at least one Hispanic candidate ran for election to the
5 Santa Paula City Council.

In 1988, Al Urias and Al Escoto were Hispanic 6 14. 7 candidates for Santa Paula City Council. Hispanic voters supported Mr. Urias and Mr. Escoto more strongly in this election 8 9 than any other candidate(s), and both would have been elected if 10 the election would have been held only among Hispanic voters. 11 Les Maland was the most preferred candidate of non-Hispanic voters. Mr. Escoto and Paul Kaiser received the next highest 12 13 levels of support of non-Hispanic voters and they received 14 approximately the same level of support. Mr. Maland and Mr. 15 Urias were elected.

16 In 1990, Jesse Ornelas and Bob Borrego were Hispanic 15. 17 candidates for the Santa Paula City Council. Hispanic voters 18 supported Mr. Ornelas and Mr. Borrego more strongly in that election than any other candidate(s), and both would have been 19 20 elected if the election would have been held only among Hispanic 21 voters. Wayne Johnson, Margaret Ely, and John Melton were the 22 candidates preferred by non-Hispanic voters. Mr. Johnson, Ms. 23 Ely, and Mr. Melton were elected. For this election, Spanish-24 surnamed persons comprised 34% of the registered voters and 30% 25 of those who cast ballots.

16. In 1992, Al Urias was a Hispanic candidate for the
Santa Paula City Council. Hispanic voters supported Mr. Urias
more strongly in that election than any other candidate(s), and

- no selection desire a

- 5 -

Exhibit A, Page 10

he would have been elected if the election would have been held
 only among Hispanic voters. Robin Sullivan and Flo Zakrajshek
 were the candidates preferred by non-Hispanic voters. Ms.
 Sullivan and Mr. Urias were elected.

5 17. In 1994, Laura Espinosa and Victor Salas were Hispanic б candidates for the Santa Paula City Council. Hispanic voters supported Ms. Espinosa and Mr. Salas more strongly in that 7 election than any other candidate(s), and both would have been 8 elected if the election would have been held only among Hispanic 9 10 voters. Don Johnson, John Melton, and Flo Zakrajshek were the candidates preferred by non-Hispanic voters. Ms. Espinosa, Mr. 11 12 Johnson, and Mr. Melton were elected.

In 1996, Al Urias and Gabriella Araiza-Reeves were 13 18. 14 Hispanic candidates for the Santa Paula City Council. Hispanic voters supported Mr. Urias and Ms. Gabriella Araiza-Reeves more 15 strongly in that election than any other candidate(s), and both 16 would have been elected if the election would have been held only 17 among Hispanic voters. Robin Sullivan and James Garfield were 18 the candidates preferred by non-Hispanic voters. Ms. Sullivan 19 and Mr. Garfield were elected. 20

In 1998, Laura Espinosa, Richard Garcia and Al Escoto 21 19. were Hispanic candidates for the Santa Paula City Council. 22 23 Hispanic voters supported Ms. Espinosa, Mr. Garcia and Mr. Escoto more strongly in that election than any other candidate(s), and 24 all three would have been elected if the election would have been 25 held only among Hispanic voters. Don Johnson, Richard Cook, and 26 Scott Dunbar were the candidates preferred by non-Hispanic 27 voters. Mr. Cook, Mr. Johnson, and Ms. Espinosa were elected. 28

- 6 - Exhibit A, Page 11

ین مربق میشدند. ۲۰۰ - بیاف سیندیشد در مشرود

20. In 2000, Al Guilin and Ray Luna were Hispanic 1 2 candidates for the Santa Paula City Council. Hispanic voters 3 supported Mr. Guilin and Mr. Luna more strongly in that election 4 than any other candidate(s), and both would have been elected if the election would have been held only among Hispanic voters. 5 John Procter received almost as much Hispanic support as Mr. б Luna. Mr. Procter and James Garfield were the candidates 7 preferred by non-Hispanic voters. Mr. Procter and Mr. Luna were 8 elected. 9

Hispanic voters generally were politically cohesive in
 Santa Paula City Council elections from 1990 to 2000.

12 22. As of this date, the United States can satisfy the
13 second precondition of <u>Thornburg v. Gingles</u>, 478 U.S. 30, 106 S.
14 Ct. 2752, 92 L. Ed. 2d 25 (1986).

15 23. Three of the five elected members on both the Santa
16 Paula Elementary School District Board of Trustees and the Santa
17 Paula High School District Board of Trustees are Hispanic
18 persons.

19 24. Measure I, to curb development, was enacted in the 20 November 2000 election. Hispanic voters favored Measure I and 21 non-Hispanic voters did not. Hispanic turnout as a percentage of 22 Hispanic registration was lower than non-Hispanic turnout. 23 Measure I was enacted because Hispanic voters favored Measure I 24 more strongly than non-Hispanic voters opposed it.

7

25 26

- 27
- 28

- and the second s

Exhibit A, Page 12

- 3	450)	
	1	25. Facts not included in this Stipulation of Facts may be
	2	relevant to the totality of circumstances and/or whether the
	3	success or defeat of any particular candidate was the result of
	4	special circumstances.
	5	FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
	6	RALPH F. BOYD, JR.
	7	Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division
	8	JOHN S. GORDON
	9	United States Attorney MICHELE C. MARCHAND
	10	Assistant United States Attorney
	11	DATED: August 29, 2001
	12	JØSEPH D. RICH ROBERT A. KENGLE
	13	JON M. GREENBAUM DAVID J. BECKER PATRICIA A. FIRST
	14	Attorneys, Voting Section
	15	Civil Rights Division Department of Justice P.O. Box 66128
	16	Washington, D.C. 20035-6128 (202) 307-3113
	17 FOR DEFENDANT CITY OF SANTA PAULA, CALIFORNIA:	
	18	PHILLIP H. ROMNEY
	19	Santa Paula City Attorney
	20	DATED: August 3, 2001 Setur & Mc Down St
	21	JOHN E. MCDERMOTT TRACY J. DALTON
	22	LHÓWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE 550 South Hope Street
a	23	Suite 1400 Los Angeles, California 90071
	24	(213) 892-1800
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		- 8 - Exhibit A, Page 13